

---

**Political Science 106**  
**Introduction to International Relations**

---

**Hein Goemans**  
Harkness 347  
Office Hours: Wednesday 1–2  
hgoemans@mail.rochester.edu

**Course Info:**  
Spring 2019  
10:25–11:40 MW  
Dewey 1101

This course provides students with the background and conceptual tools they need to understand contemporary international relations. The course will introduce students to the wide range of issues involved in the study of international relations including the workings of the state system, the causes of international conflict and violence, and international economic relations. Students will be introduced to the literature in a broad way, to make them familiar with the main theoretical traditions in the field. Students will be asked, as much as possible, to read original texts, rather than from a textbook. Time permitting, we will also examine topics of particular current interest such as the evolving nature of power in the post Cold War environment as well as special global challenges like Brexit, the rise of China, and the future of international institutions.

This course is organized to give students a feel for the breadth of the field. In the beginning, students will examine the basic ingredients of the study of international relations. We will consider the actors, goals, means, and consequences of state interaction. The rest of the course is designed to give an overview of the breadth and scope of the wide-ranging field of international relations. Along the way, students will get a taste of follow-on courses they might choose to take in international security, international political economy, international law, American foreign policy, and so on.

***Course Requirements***

Participation in recitation (Friday either 11:05–12:20 or 12:30–13:45,) comprises 30% of your grade; your teaching fellow has nearly absolute autonomy in determining the requirements and assessing how well or poorly you have met them.

A midterm exam counts for 30% of your grade, and a final exam counts for 40%. The final exam is given during the period scheduled by the University. The exam is not given early — check the schedule published by the University and make your holiday travel plans accordingly.

### *Academic Integrity*

Be familiar with the University's policies on academic integrity and disciplinary action (<http://www.rochester.edu/College/honesty/students.html>). Violators of University regulations on academic integrity will be dealt with severely, which means that your grade will suffer, and I will forward your case to the Chair of the College Board on Academic Honesty.

Remember that the same technology that has made plagiarism easier to accomplish has also made it easier to detect. If you do not cite a source, it is plagiarism. If you do cite it, it is scholarship.

### *Teaching Fellows*

#### **Tom McCauley**

Harkness 338  
tmccaul3@ur.rochester.edu

#### **Emily Vanmeter**

Harkness 304  
emily.vanmeter@rochester.edu

### *Texts*

The following book is required:

Jeffrey A. Frieden, David A. Lake & Kenneth A. Schultz, *World Politics: Interests, Interactions, Institutions*. **Second Edition**. New York: W. W. Norton, 2013. Referred to throughout as **FLS**.

These books are optional:

Robert Art & Robert Jervis. (2007) *International Politics: Enduring Concepts and Contemporary Issues*. Addison-Wesley, Eighth Edition; listed below as **Art IPECCI**.

Daniel W. Drezner. (2011) *Theories of International Politics and Zombies*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Colin McEvedy, David Woodroffe. *The New Penguin Atlas of Recent History: Europe Since 1815*. Penguin, Reissue edition, 2003.

Readings not included in one of the texts can be found through one of the online databases, or in a Dropbox folder I will share. The optional readings are useful for providing background, especially for the initial part of the course on theory and concepts. You have to know about the background and substance of International Relations to appreciate and weigh the usefulness of contending theories.

In addition, I **expect** students to read one of the following newspapers: the *New York Times*, the *Washington Post*, or the *Financial Times*, the *Frankfurter Allgemeine*, *Die Zeit*, *Le Monde diplomatique* or a comparable international paper. It is entirely likely that questions on the mid-term and the final will require you to be up-to-date on current events.

## Course Outline

Wednesday January 16

1. **Introduction and Overview**
2. "How to Read Political Science: A Guide in Four Steps;" Memo. Amelia Hoover Green, Drexel University.

Friday January 18

3. **Section Assignments**

Monday January 21

4. **Martin Luther King Day** — No class

Wednesday January 23

5. **Those who do not know the past are doomed to repeat it**
  - **FLS:** Introduction and Chapter 1
  - R. Harrison Wagner, **War and the State**, Chapter 1.
  - Steve Walt, "International Relations: One World, Many Theories", in *Foreign Policy*: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1149275?seq=9>
  - Optional. Witty and highly recommended: Daniel W. Drezner. (2011) *Theories of International Politics and Zombies*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Monday January 28

6. **The 'modern' era after 1945**
  - G. John Ikenberry, *After Victory: Institutions, Strategic Restraint, and the Rebuilding of Order after Major Wars*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2001: Chapter 6, pp. 163–214.
  - R. Harrison Wagner, "What was Bipolarity?" *International Organization* 47 (1): 77–106, Winter 1993.

Wednesday January 30

7. **The Analytical Framework: Interests, Interactions & Institutions**
  - **FLS:** Chapter 2.
  - Play this game: <http://ncase.me/trust/>

Monday February 4

## 8. **Interests and Actors**

- Arnold Wolfers, 1952. ““National Security” as an Ambiguous Symbol,” in *Political Science Quarterly*. Vol. 67, No. 4, December 1952: 481–502.
- Kenneth A. Schultz, 1999. “Do Democratic Institutions Constrain or Inform?: Contrasting Two Institutional Perspectives on Democracy and War,” *International Organization* 53(2) (Spring 1999), pp. 233-66.
- Michael C. Horowitz and Matthew Fuhrman, 2018 “Studying Leaders and Military Conflict: Conceptual Framework and Research Agenda.” *Journal of Conflict Resolution* Vol 62(10): 2072–2086.

Wednesday February 6

## 9. **War and Bargaining**

- **FLS**: chapter 3

Monday February 11

## 10. **Bargaining and War**

- Dan Reiter, “Exploring the Bargaining Model of War,” *Perspectives on Politics* 1, 1 (2003): pp.27-47
- Alasdair Roberts, “The War We Deserve,” *Foreign Policy*, (November/December 2007), pp.45–50
- James Fearon, “Rationalist Explanations for War,” *International Organization*, Vol. 49, No.3, Summer 1995: 379–414.

Wednesday February 13

## 11. **Domestic Politics and War**

- **FLS**: Chapter 4
- Giacomo Chiozza and H. E. Goemans, *Leaders and International Conflict*, Chapters 1 and 6.

Monday February 18

## 12. **International Institutions and War**

- **FLS**: Chapter 5
- Virginia Page Fortna, “Interstate Peacekeeping: Causal Mechanisms and Empirical Effects.” *World Politics*, 56 (2004): 481–519
- Michael Glennon, “Why the Security Council Failed,” *Foreign Affairs* 82, no. 3 (May/June 2003): pp. 16-35.

Wednesday February 20

13. **International Trade**

- **FLS:** Chapter 7

Monday February 25

14. **International Trade and International Institutions**

I'm deliberately leaving room here to insert up-to-date readings on the EU, NAFTA and Brexit.

- Brexit. "How Brits view Brexit: Indifferent on many aspects, but divided on others." [http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/europpblog/2017/08/17/study-how-britain-views-brexite/?fbclid=IwAR1DY8x8GcqjpSbbQ\\_-aBn6ADiL-uaRpBgf1PC0AvjC7b0\\_Bh1-oyUkpRXE](http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/europpblog/2017/08/17/study-how-britain-views-brexite/?fbclid=IwAR1DY8x8GcqjpSbbQ_-aBn6ADiL-uaRpBgf1PC0AvjC7b0_Bh1-oyUkpRXE)

Wednesday February 27

15. **International Trade and Globalization**

- Ronald Rogowski, "Political Cleavages and Changing Exposure to Trade," *American Political Science Review* 81, no. 4 (December 1987): pp. 1121-1137.
- Peter D. Sutherland, "Transforming Nations: How the WTO boosts Economies and Opens Societies," *Foreign Affairs*, 87 #2 (2008): 125-136
- Philip G. Cerny. 1995. "Globalization and the changing logic of collective action." *International Organization*, Vol. 49(4), Autumn: 595-625

Monday March 4

16. **International Financial Relations**

- **FLS:** Chapter 8

Wednesday March 6

**MID-TERM**

Monday March 11

17. **Spring BREAK**

Wednesday March 13

18. **Spring BREAK**

Monday March 18

19. **International Development**

- **FLS:** Chapter 10
- Laurie Garrett. “The Challenge of Global Health.” *Foreign Affairs* 86, # 1 (2007): 14-38.

Wednesday March 20

20. **International Regimes**

- **FLS,** Chapter 11.

Monday March 25

21. **International Law**

- Anne-Marie Slaughter, Andrew S. Tulumello, Stepan Wood, “International Law and International Relations Theory: A New Generation of Interdisciplinary Scholarship,” in *The American Journal of International Law*, Vol. 92, # 3 (July 1998): 367–397.
- K. P. Prakash, “International Criminal Court: A Review.” *Economic and Political Weekly* 37, # 4 (2002): 4113-4115.
- John R. Bolton, “The Risks and Weaknesses of the International Criminal Court from America’s Perspective.” *Law and Contemporary Problems* 64, # 1 (2001): 167-180.

Wednesday March 27

22. **Human Rights**

- **FLS:** Chapter 12

Monday April 1

23. **Terrorism**

- **FLS,** Chapter 6.
  - Mia Bloom, 2017. “Constructing Expertise: Terrorist Recruitment and “Talent Spotting” in the PIRA, Al Qaeda, and ISIS,” *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism*, 40:7, 603-623, DOI: 10.1080/1057610X.2016.1237219
  - Mia Bloom, 2015. “Cubs of the Caliphate; The CHildren of ISIS.” *Foreign Affairs*, July 21, 2015.  
<https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/2015-07-21/cubs-caliphate>
  - Optional: Louise Richardson, *What do Terrorists Want: Understanding the Enemy, Containing the Threat*. New York: Random House, 2006.

Wednesday April 3

## 24. Nuclear Weapons

- **FLS**, Chapter 14, pp.538–548
- Alexandre Debs and Nuno Monteiro, “The Strategic Logic of Nuclear Proliferation”, *International Security*, Vol. 39, No. 2 (2014), pp. 7-51.
- Scott D. Sagan and Kenneth N. Waltz, 2003. Chapter 3, “Indian and Pakistani Nuclear Weapons: For Better or Worse?” In *The Spread of Nuclear Weapons; A Debate Renewed*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company,
- Nina Tannenwald, “Stigmatizing the Bomb: Origins of the Nuclear Taboo,” *International Security* 29, no. 4 (Spring 2005): 5–49.

Monday April 8

## 25. Cyber Warfare

- Joseph S. Nye, Jr., 2017. “Deterrence and Dissuasion in Cyberspace.” *International Security* 41(3): 44-71.
- Michael Horowitz, 2018. “Artificial Intelligence, International Competition, and the Balance of Power.” *Texas National Security Review* 1(3) (May 2018)

As you can imagine, there’s a rapidly growing literature on this topic. In case you are interested, here are some more readings you might find worthwhile.

- Erica D. Borghard and Shawn W. Lonergan, 2017. “The Logic of Coercion in Cyberspace.” *Security Studies* 26(3): 452-481
- David Gompert and Martin Libicki, 2014. “Cyber warfare and Sino-American Crisis Stability,” *Survival* 56(4): 7-22
- Nadiya Kostyuk and Yuri Zhukov, 2019. “Invisible Digital Front: Can Cyber Attacks Shape Battlefield Events?” *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 63(2) 317–347:
- Jon Lindsay, 2013. “Stuxnet and the limits of cyber warfare.” *Security Studies* 22(3): 365-404
- Jon Lindsay, 2015. “The Impact of China on Cybersecurity: Fiction and Friction.” *International Security* 39(3): 7-47.
- Thomas Rid, 2012. “Cyber war will not take place.” *Journal of Strategic Studies* 35(1): 5-32.
- Rebecca Slayton, 2017. “What Is the Cyber Offense-Defense Balance? Conceptions, Causes, and Assessment.” *International Security* 41(3): 72-109
- Brandon Valeriano and Ryan C. Maness, 2014. “The dynamics of cyber conflict between rival antagonists, 2001–11.” *Journal of Peace Research* 51(3): 347-360.
- Check out the RAND Corporation’s page on Cyber Warfare:  
<https://www.rand.org/topics/cyber-warfare.html>
- Tarah Wheeler, 2018. “In cyberwar, there are no rules; Why the world desperately needs digital Geneva Conventions.” *Foreign Policy*, September 12, 2018. <https://foreignpolicy.com/2018/09/12/in-cyberwar-there-are-no-rules-cybersecurity-war-defense/>

Monday April 15

## 26. Democratic Backsliding

- Anne Appelbaum, 2018. “A Warning From Europe: The Worst Is Yet to Come.” *The Atlantic*, October 2018. <https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2018/10/poland-polarization/568324/>
- Steven Levitsky and Daniel Ziblatt. “This is how democracies die.” *The Guardian*, Sunday 21 January 2018. <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/commentisfree/2018/jan/21/this-is-how-democracies-die>
- Nancy Bermeo, 2016. On Democratic Backsliding. *Journal of Democracy*, 27(1): 5–19.
- Optional. Watch Timothy Snyder’s talk: “On Tyranny: Twenty Lessons from the Twentieth Century.” <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j6bfzd0Nyhk>

Wednesday April 10

## 27. The Environment

- **FLS**: Chapter 13
- Garret Hardin, “The Tragedy of the Commons,” [https://www.garretthardinsociety.org/articles\\_pdf/tragedy\\_of\\_the\\_commons.pdf](https://www.garretthardinsociety.org/articles_pdf/tragedy_of_the_commons.pdf). Originally published in *Science*, New Series, 162, No.3859, December, 1968: 1243–1248.
- Aarne Granlund, 2017. “Three Things We Don’t Want To Understand About Climate Change.” In *Medium*, 30 August 2017. [https://medium.com/@aarnegranslund/three-things-we-dont-understand-about-climate-change-c59338a1c435?fbclid=IwAR09eAWvoj3UVBS7muS0rP3Uz0jZHePI\\_LTaATLBcRmp4QnfMiJ6wfTs](https://medium.com/@aarnegranslund/three-things-we-dont-understand-about-climate-change-c59338a1c435?fbclid=IwAR09eAWvoj3UVBS7muS0rP3Uz0jZHePI_LTaATLBcRmp4QnfMiJ6wfTs)
- Robinson Meyer, 2018. “Does Climate Change Cause More War?” in *The Atlantic*, February 2018. <https://www.theatlantic.com/science/archive/2018/02/does-climate-change-cause-more-war/553040/>

Wednesday April 17

## 28. The Rise of China

- **FLS**, Chapter 14, pp.548–556.

Monday April 22

## 29. Game Theory and Empirical IR

- Alexandre Debs, “The Empirical Promise of Game Theory,” in *The Oxford Encyclopedia of Empirical International Relations Theory*, William Thompson, ed. (Oxford University Press. Available at <http://politics.oxfordre.com/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228637.001.0001/acrefore-9780190228637-e-515>).

- Hein Goemans and William Spaniel, “Multi-Method Research: The Case for Formal Theory.” *Security Studies*, Vol. 25, No.1, January 2016: 25–33. Multi-Method Symposium special issue.

Wednesday April 24

30. **Real World IR of this semester**

- Making sense of what happened in the last couple of months.

**Please give me some information about yourself: Who are you?** To that end, hand in one page bio on yourself:

1. Name, e-mail, year, major.
2. Why did you sign up for this course?
3. What are your interests, extra-curricular?
4. What is true about you and no one else?
5. Should the US withdraw from Iraq? If so, on what conditions?
6. What are your biggest expectations of a U of R Professor.