

The Periodic Lambda Algebra

Brayton Gray

Department of Mathematics
University of Illinois at Chicago
850 S. Morgan
Chicago, Illinois USA 60607-7045

Abstract. The lambda algebra has been a useful tool both for making *Ext* calculations in a limited range and for understanding *Ext* on the chain level, both stably and unstably. For odd primes, the lambda algebra is inherently more complex and much less intuitive. This article presents a replacement for the lambda algebra at odd primes which is smaller, simpler, yields unstable analogs, and displays v_m periodicity both stably and unstably.

1 Introduction

The object of this work is to present a differential graded algebra $(\text{dga}) \bar{\Lambda}$ at primes $p > 2$ together with unstable approximations $\bar{\Lambda}(n)$. These objects are a significant improvement over the classical Λ algebra [B]. The advantages are:

- (1) It is considerably smaller.
- (2) The relations, and consequently the description of a basis is simpler.
- (3) There is a natural generalization to a $\text{dga } \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}$ for $m \geq -1$ ($\bar{\Lambda} = \bar{\Lambda}_{(-1)}$) whose homology is $\text{Ext}_{A_*}^{**}(H_*(V(m)))$, where $V(m)$ is the Smith-Toda complex.¹ $\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}$ is actually smaller than $\bar{\Lambda}$, as is its homology. This is contrary to what happens when a standard approach is used to calculate the *Ext* groups of a finite complex [B].
- (4) The classes v_n which figure prominently in the periodic approach to homotopy theory are clearly visible as generators in $\bar{\Lambda}$.

Some important features of the classical lambda algebra are preserved. In particular:

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 55Q40; Secondary 55T15.

¹Although $V(m)$ only exists under certain restrictions on m and p , $H_*(V(m)) \simeq E(\tau_0, \dots, \tau_m)$ always exists.

- (1) There is a multiplicative epimorphic chain equivalence

$$\overline{C}^*(A_*) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \overline{\Lambda}$$

where $\overline{C}^*(A_*)$ is the cobar construction on the dual of the Steenrod algebra. Consequently, $\overline{\Lambda}$ can be used to calculate Massey products.

- (2) There is a natural filtration by subcomplexes $\overline{\Lambda}(2n+1)$ whose homology is the appropriate unstable Ext group for S^{2n+1} .
- (3) $\overline{\Lambda}_{(m)}$ is likewise filtered by subcomplexes $\overline{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n+1)$ in a way suggestive of unstable analogs to the spectra $V(m)$ with corresponding EHP sequences, periodicity operators, and unstable compositions as in [G2]. (The complexes described in [G2] are a generalization of results of Mahowald [M] and Harper and Miller [HM]).

We now state our result:

Theorem 3.2. There is a trigraded dga $\overline{\Lambda}^{s_1, s_2, t}$ and a multiplicative epimorphic chain equivalence:

$$\iota^* : \overline{C}^*(A_*) \longrightarrow \overline{\Lambda}$$

where $\overline{C}^*(A_*)$ is the cobar construction on the dual of the Steenrod algebra. Furthermore $\overline{\Lambda}$ is generated by classes $\lambda_k \in \overline{\Lambda}^{0,1,kq}$ for $k \geq 1$ and $v_n \in \overline{\Lambda}^{1,0,2p^n-1}$ for $n \geq 0$ subject to the relations:

$$(3C) \quad \begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \lambda_i \lambda_{pi+k} = \Sigma(-1)^{j+1} \binom{(p-1)(k-j)-1}{j} \lambda_{k+i-j} \lambda_{pi+j} \\ (ii) \quad & v_n v_k = v_k v_n \\ (iii) \quad & \lambda_k v_n = v_n \lambda_k + v_{n-1} \lambda_{k+pn-1} \text{ if } n > 0 \\ (iv) \quad & \lambda_k v_0 = v_0 \lambda_k \\ (v) \quad & \partial v_n = v_{n-1} \lambda_{p^n-1} \text{ if } n > 0 \\ (vi) \quad & \partial v_0 = 0 \\ (vii) \quad & \partial \lambda_k = \Sigma(-1)^{j+1} \binom{(p-1)(k-j)-1}{j} \lambda_{k-j} \lambda_j. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let $\overline{\Lambda}_{(m)} = \overline{\Lambda}/(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m)$; write $A_{(m)}$ for the subalgebra of A generated by the P^n and Q_k with $k > m \geq -1$. Then there is an epimorphic multiplicative chain equivalence:

$$\overline{C}^*(A_{(m)*}) \xrightarrow{\iota_m^*} \overline{\Lambda}_{(m)}.$$

Finally, if L is a right $A_{(m)}$ module ($m \geq -1$), $\text{Ext}_A^{**}(E(\tau_0, \dots, \tau_m) \otimes L)$ is isomorphic with $H_*(\Lambda_{(m)} \otimes L)$ where the differential is determined by

$$\partial(1 \otimes x) = \sum \lambda_j \otimes x P^j + (-1)^{|x|} \sum v_n \otimes x Q_n.$$

Stably the complex $\overline{\Lambda}$ appears in Haynes Miller's thesis [Mi1] without the algebra structure. In fact the multiplicative structure can be derived by applying the results of [Mi2]. It does not appear explicitly in the literature, however. We choose an alternative route which is, in some sense, more elementary: we show that

the Milnor basis for the Steenrod algebra [Miln] is a Koszul basis and apply the results of Priddy [P 2].

This material was developed after conversations with S. Pemmaraju [P 1] who, without the aid of the multiplicative structure, has been making calculations in Ext for $V(1)$ when $p = 3$ for use in his thesis. Our interest in this is as a theoretical and practical calculational tool, both stably and unstably. We believe that a systematic use of these results, using a downward induction on m , is a considerable improvement over standard methods of obtaining the Ext groups. For example, Tangora [T] calculates the homology of Λ in dimensions less than 94 and the homology of the subalgebra Λ' generated by all λ_i , in dimensions less than 242 when $p = 3$.

2 Koszul Resolutions

We begin by paraphrasing and summarizing the results in [P 2]. Let A be a pre-Koszul algebra; i.e., a connected augmented algebra over $\mathbf{Z}/p$ together with a presentation as an algebra with generators a_i , $i \in I$ modulo relations of the form:

$$\sum f_i a_i + \sum f_{jk} a_j a_k = 0.$$

Thus A has a $\mathbf{Z}/p$ basis consisting of words in the a_i . Filter A by minimal word length. Then $E^0 A$, the associated graded, is generated by $a_i \in E^0_1 A$ subject to the relations:

$$\sum f_{jk} a_j a_k = 0.$$

If $J = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ with $j_k \in I$, write a_J for the word $a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_n}$. Suppose that we have chosen a $\mathbf{Z}/p$ basis B for $E^0 A$ consisting of all words a_J for $J \in S$, where S is a distinguished set of sequences of indices from I . We will call the sequences $J \in S$ and the corresponding words a_J admissible. We define coefficients $f \begin{pmatrix} k & \ell \\ i & j \end{pmatrix}$ by the rule:

$$a_k a_\ell = \sum_{(i,j) \in S} f \begin{pmatrix} k & \ell \\ i & j \end{pmatrix} a_i a_j \text{ in } E^0 A$$

and $f \begin{pmatrix} k, \ell \\ m \end{pmatrix}$ by the consequent formula:

$$a_k a_\ell = \sum_m f \begin{pmatrix} k, \ell \\ m \end{pmatrix} a_m + \sum_{(i,j) \in S} f \begin{pmatrix} k & \ell \\ i & j \end{pmatrix} a_i a_j \text{ in } A.$$

We suppose that the indexing set I is countable and totally ordered. We order the set of sequences $J \in S$ lexicographically. If $J_1 = \{j_1 \dots j_s\}$ and $J_2 = \{j_{s+1}, \dots, j_k\}$ we will write $J = J_1 J_2$ for the sequence $\{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$. We will now say that $E^0 A$ is a Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt (PBW) algebra if the following criteria are met:

- (1) Cutting: If $J = J_1 J_2 \in S$, then $J_1 \in S$ and $J_2 \in S$,
- (2) Expansion: If $J_1, J_2 \in S$ and $J = J_1 J_2$, then either $J \in S$ or each term of the expansion of a_J in the basis of admissible sequence, involves only a_K with $K > J$.

With this in mind, Priddy [P 2] shows that each PBW algebra is a Koszul algebra (which we needn't define) and concludes with the following result, which we summarize and paraphrase.

Theorem 2.1 [P 2]. Suppose A is a pre-Koszul algebra and $E^0 A$ is a PBW algebra. Then there is a dga $\overline{K}^*(A)$ called the co-Koszul complex satisfying the following conditions:

- a) There is an epimorphism of dga's

$$\iota^* : \overline{C}(A^*) \longrightarrow \overline{K}^*(A)$$

where $\overline{C}(A^*)$ is the cobar construction on A^* .

- b) ι^* induces an isomorphism in homology, and hence $H^*(A) \cong H_*(\overline{K}^*(A))$.
c) $\overline{K}^*(A)$ is generated by classes $\beta_i \in E_1^0 A$ for $i \in I$ corresponding to $[a_i^*]$ in the cobar construction. Write $|\beta_i| = 1 + \deg a_i$. Then $\overline{K}^*(A)$ has defining relations:

$$(-1)^{\nu_{i,j}} \beta_i \beta_j + \sum_{(k,\ell) \notin S} (-1)^{\nu_{k,\ell}} f \begin{pmatrix} k & \ell \\ i & j \end{pmatrix} \beta_k \beta_\ell = 0$$

for each $(i,j) \in S$, where $\nu_{u,v} = |\beta_u| + (|\beta_u| - 1)(|\beta_v| - 1)$.

- d) The differential in $\overline{K}^*(A)$ is defined by:

$$\delta \beta_m = \sum_{(k,\ell) \notin S} (-1)^{\nu_{k,\ell}} f \begin{pmatrix} k & \ell \\ m \end{pmatrix} \beta_k \beta_\ell.$$

- e) Suppose L is a left A module. Then $H^*(A; L)$ is the homology of the complex $\overline{K}^*(A) \otimes L^*$, where L^* has the induced right A module structure, and the differential is determined by d) above and

$$\delta(\iota \otimes \lambda) = \sum (-1)^{|\lambda| |\beta_j|} \beta_j \otimes \lambda a_j.$$

Proof Everything except the last statement can be found in [P 2]. The last statement follows since if $\mu_L : A \otimes L \longrightarrow L$ is the left action with dual $\mu_L^* : L^* \longrightarrow A^* \otimes L^*$, then

$$\mu_L^*(\lambda) = \sum_{\xi \in B} (-1)^{|\xi|(|\xi|+|\lambda|)} \xi^* \otimes \lambda \xi$$

using the sign conventions in [P 2]. Thus the projection onto $E_1^0 A$, $\overline{\mu}_L^*$, is given by

$$\overline{\mu}_L^*(\lambda) = \sum (-1)^{|\beta_j|(|\beta_j|+|\lambda|)} \beta_j \otimes \lambda a_j.$$

The formula then follows from [P 2; 4.2]. □

3 Application to the Milnor basis

We now apply the above theory to some subalgebras of the Steenrod algebra. Milnor [Miln] has described the Steenrod algebra as having generators P^n , Q_k for $n > 0$ and $k \geq 0$ where $|Q_k| = 2p^k - 1$ and $|P^n| = nq$ subject to the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{(i) if } a < pb, P^a P^b = (-1)^a \binom{(p-1)b-1}{a} P^{a+b} \\
& \quad + \sum_{t=1}^{\lfloor a/p \rfloor} (-1)^{a+t} \binom{(p-1)(b-t)-1}{a-pt} P^{a+b-t} P^t \\
(3A) \quad & \text{(ii) } Q_k Q_\ell = -Q_\ell Q_k \\
& \text{(iii) } P^n Q_k = \begin{cases} Q_k P^n + Q_{k+1} P^{n-p^k}, & n > p^k \\ Q_k P^n + Q_{k+1}, & n = p^k \\ Q_k P^n, & n < p^k. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

We define the subalgebra $A_{(m)}$ to be the algebra generated by all P^n and all Q_k with $k > m \geq -1$. Clearly $\text{Ext}_{A_{(m)}^*}(\mathbb{Z}/p, L) \cong \text{Ext}_{A^*}(\mathbb{Z}/p, E(\tau_0, \dots, \tau_m) \otimes L)$ for each left $A_{(m)}^*$ comodule L .

It is clear from (3A) that $A_{(m)}$ is a pre-Koszul algebra for each $m \geq -1$. Now let I be the ordered set $2\omega = \{1, 2, \dots, \omega, \omega+1, \dots\}$ and define $a_n = P^n$ for $n < \omega$ and $a_{\omega+n} = Q_{m+n+1}$. We now write any monomial in $A_{(m)}$ as a sum of monomials in which:

- (a) all the Q_k 's occur prior to all the P^n 's using (3A iii),
- (3B) (b) the Q_k 's are ordered in decreasing order using (3A ii),
- (c) the P^n 's are in standard admissible ordering using (3A i).

We will call such a monomial an admissible monomial. For a sequence $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ to be admissible, $i_j \in I$ we have $i_k > i_{k+1}$ if $i_{k+1} \geq \omega$ and $i_k \geq p i_{k+1}$ if $i_k < \omega$. Let S be the set of sequences satisfying these conditions and $B_{(m)}$ the basis of admissible monomials. Then we have:

Proposition 3.1. $E^0 A_{(m)}$ is a PBW algebra.

Proof Clearly if $J = J_1 J_2$ is admissible, J_1 and J_2 are as well. Suppose now that J_1 and J_2 are admissible, $J = J_1 J_2$ and a_J is not admissible. Then we can write a_J as a sum of admissible monomials as described in (3B). Each move writes a term as a sum of terms with a higher order in the lexicographic ordering. $\square$

Consequently, we may describe the co-Koszul complex whose homology is the sought after Ext group. As in the usual case, we obtain the opposite algebra structure. Thus we define $\bar{A}_{(m)} = \bar{K}^*(A_{(m)})^{op}$. This has no consequence since $H_*(A_{(m)})$ is commutative.

Proof of 3.2 (see Section 1). Write $v_n = [Q_n]$ and $\lambda_k = [P^k]$. The relations in (3C) then follow immediately from the corresponding relations in (3A) and 2.1c and d. Since $A_{(m)}$ is a subalgebra of A , $A_{(m)*}$ is a quotient co-algebra of A_* and hence $\bar{A}_{(m)}$ is a quotient of $\bar{A}$. The Koszul generators correspond, excepting that $v_i = 0$ in $\bar{A}_{(m)}$ if $i \leq m$. The formula for the boundary in $\Lambda_{(m)} \otimes L$ follows from 2.1c. $\square$

Notes

- (1) The trigrading is possible since all the formulas in (3C) respect the polynomial degree in the v_i 's. This induces a trigrading in the corresponding Ext groups. This trigrading is probably the same as that given by the number of μ 's.

- (2) By the description in (3B), $\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}$ has a basis consisting of elements of the form $f(v)\lambda_I$ where $f(v)$ is a monomial in the v_m 's, $n > m$ and λ_I is an admissible monomial in Λ' . We could have just as well written as a basis, all $\lambda_I f(v)$, since by (3C)

$$v_n \lambda_k = \lambda_k v_n - \lambda_{k+p^{n-1}} v_{n-1} + \lambda_{k+p^{n-1}+p^{n-2}} v_{n-2} - \dots$$

- (3) It is easy to see that there is no multiplicative chain equivalence either from Λ to $\bar{\Lambda}$ or from $\bar{\Lambda}$ to Λ , since in Λ , $\lambda_1 \mu_0 \neq 0$ while $\mu_0 \lambda_1 = 0$. This suggests an interesting question. Define a partial ordering of dga's by $\Lambda_1 \leq \Lambda_2$ if there is a multiplicative chain equivalence $\gamma : \Lambda_2 \rightarrow \Lambda_1$. Then $\Lambda < \bar{C}^*(A_*)$ and $\bar{\Lambda} < \bar{C}^*(A_*)$, but Λ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ are not comparable. What are the minimal elements in the set of all M with $M < \bar{C}^*(A_*)$?

Let $v_m : \bar{\Lambda}_{(m-1)} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}_{(m-1)}$ be left multiplication by the central cycle v_m . Then there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}_{(m-1)} \xrightarrow{v_m} \bar{\Lambda}_{(m-1)} \xrightarrow{\pi} \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)} \rightarrow 0. \quad (3E)$$

This induces a long exact sequence in homology, and in particular, a connecting homomorphism $\delta : H_*(\Lambda_{(m)}) \rightarrow H_*(\Lambda_{(m-1)})$ of tridegree $(-1, 1, -(2p^m - 1))$. Using this we can define the r th Bockstein $\beta^{(r)} : H_*(\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}) \rightarrow H_*(\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)})$ of tridegree $(-r, 1, -r(2p^m - 1))$ by $\beta^{(r)}(\pi x) = [v_m^{-r} x]$. One can easily see for example that $\beta^{(p^r)}(v_{m+1}^{p^r}) = \lambda_{p^r+n-1}$. These Bocksteins are the differentials in the spectral sequence of a filtered dga.

Theorem 3.3. There is a Bockstein spectral sequence which is a spectral sequence of graded rings such that:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{s,t}^1 &= Z/p\langle v_m^s \rangle \otimes H_t(\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}) \\ d_{s,t}^r(v_m^s \otimes c) &= v_m^{s+r} \otimes \beta^{(r)}(c) \\ d_{s,t}^r : E_{s,t}^r &\rightarrow E_{s+r,t-rq_m-1}^r \text{ where } q_m = 2p^m - 2 \\ E_{s,t}^\infty &\text{ is the associated graded to the filtration} \\ F^s &= v_m^s H_t(\bar{\Lambda}_{(m-1)}) \subset H_{sq_m+t}(\bar{\Lambda}_{(m-1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof Filter $\bar{\Lambda}_{(m-1)}$ by $F^s \bar{\Lambda}_{(m-1)} = v_m^s \bar{\Lambda}_{(m-1)}$. This makes $\bar{\Lambda}_{(m-1)}$ into a filtered dga. The spectral sequence is then the standard one in this situation. $\square$

4 An unstable filtration of $\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}$

In [G 2] we gave a filtration of a dga $\Lambda_{(m)}$ whose homology for each $m \geq -1$ is $\text{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbf{Z}/p\{\tau_0, \dots, \tau_m\})$. The filtration $F^n \Lambda_{(m)} = \Lambda_{(m)}(n)$ generalized to the context of $V(m)$ the chain complex $\Lambda_{(-1)}(n) = \Lambda(n)$ whose homology is the E^2 term for the unstable Adams spectral sequence converging to $\pi_*(S^n)$. The case $m = 0$ had been considered by Mahowald [Ma] and Harper and Miller [HM]. This filtration provided an algebraic glimpse of unstable periodic homotopy. These constructions used the full lambda algebra Λ , and it is our purpose here to show that $\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}$ has a similar filtration. In particular, in case $m = -1$, we construct subcomplexes $\bar{\Lambda}(2n+1) \subset \bar{\Lambda}$ whose homology is the appropriate Ext group for $\pi_*(S^{2n+1})$.

Let us write Λ' for the subalgebra of Λ generated by the λ_i for $i > 0$. Write $\Lambda'(2n+1) = \Lambda' \cap \Lambda(2n+1)$; this is spanned by all admissible $\lambda_I = \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_k}$ with $i_1 \leq n$. Define $H_n : \Lambda'(2n+1) \rightarrow \Lambda'(2np-1)$ by² $H_n(\lambda_I) = 0$ if $\lambda_I \in \Lambda'(2n-1)$ and I is admissible, and $H_n(\lambda_n \lambda_I) = \lambda_I$ if $\lambda_I \in \Lambda'(2np-1)$ and I is admissible. This covers all cases and we have a short exact sequence of chain complexes:

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda'(2n-1) \rightarrow \Lambda'(2n+1) \xrightarrow{H_n} \Lambda'(2np-1) \rightarrow 0. \quad (5A)$$

By [HM; 1.6], we have

$$\lambda_k \Lambda'(2n+1) \subset \Lambda'(2n-kq+1) \text{ if } n \geq pk. \quad (5B)$$

Now let $PV_{(m)} = \mathbb{Z}/p[v_{m+1}, v_{m+2}, \dots]$ for $m \geq -1$. This is bigraded with $v_i \in PV_{(m)}^{1, 2p^i-1}$. For each $n \geq 0$ we define $\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n+1)$ as a subspace of $\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}$ by:

$$\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n+1) = \oplus PV_{(m)}^{s,t} \otimes \Lambda'(2n+s+t+1). \quad (5C)$$

It is easy to check that for each $k > m$:

$$x \in \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n+1) \text{ iff } v_k x \in \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n-2p^k+1). \quad (5D)$$

Notice that when $m = -1$ and $k = 0$, this corresponds to the geometric fact, due to Cohen, Moore, and Neisendorfer, that $p \cdot \pi_*(S^{2n+1}) \subset \pi_*(S^{2n-1})$.

By induction on the first degree $s(\lambda_I) = \text{length of } I$, and use of (5B) one can easily see that:

$$\lambda_k \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n+1) \subset \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n-kq+1) \text{ if } n \geq pk. \quad (5E)$$

Combining (5D), (5E), and induction, one can then prove:

$$\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}^{s_1, s_2, t}(2n+1) \cdot \Lambda_{(m)}(2n+t+s_1+1) \subset \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n+1). \quad (5F)$$

This is reminiscent of unstable composition and is slightly stronger than [G 2; 6.6].

Consider $x = f(v)\lambda_I \in \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n+1)$ with $f(v) \in PV_{(m)}^{s,t}$ and $\lambda_I \in \Lambda'(2n+s+t+1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial x &= [\partial f(v)]\lambda_I + f(v)\partial\lambda_I \in [\partial f(v)]\Lambda'(2n+s+t+1) \\ &\quad + f(v)\Lambda'(2n+s+t+1) \subset \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n+1) \end{aligned}$$

when $n \geq 0$ by (5D) and (5E). Hence

$$\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n+1) \text{ is a subcomplex of } \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)} \text{ when } n \geq 0. \quad (5G)$$

We now define $\phi_n : \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n+1) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}_{(m+1)}(2np-1)$ for $n > 0$ by

$$\phi_n(v_{i_1} \dots v_{i_s} \lambda_I) = v_{v_1+1} \dots v_{v_{i_s}+1} H_{n+p^f}(\lambda_I) \quad (5H)$$

where $p^f = p^{i_1} + \dots + p^{i_s}$; ϕ_n has tridegree $(0, -1, -nq)$. It is straightforward but somewhat tedious to check that $\phi_n \partial = -\partial \phi_n$, and there is a short exact sequence³:

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n-1) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n+1) \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \bar{\Lambda}_{(m+1)}(2np-1) \rightarrow 0. \quad (5I)$$

²see [G 2; 3.1] where H_n is called H'_n

³Compare to [G 2; 3.5]

In case $m = -1$, this corresponds to the fibration sequence:

$$S^{2n-1} \longrightarrow \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \longrightarrow BW_n$$

of [G1].

Using (5D) we see that there is a short exact sequence of chain complexes:

$$0 \longrightarrow \bar{\Lambda}_{(m-1)}(2n + 2p^m + 1) \xrightarrow{v_m} \bar{\Lambda}_{(m-1)}(2n + 1) \xrightarrow{\pi} \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n + 1) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (5J)$$

which is a desuspension of (3E). In particular, we can desuspend the Bockstein spectral sequence in Theorem 3.3 by setting $F^s \bar{\Lambda}_{(m-1)}(2n + 1) = v_m^s \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n + 2sp^m + 1)$. This spectral sequence has $E_{s,t}^1 = \mathbb{Z}/p\langle v_m^s \rangle \otimes H_t(\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n + 2sp^m + 1))$ and converges to $H_*(\bar{\Lambda}_{(m-1)}(2n + 1))$.

In [G2], we defined $\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(n)$ for all n and it would be desirable to have a suitable definition for $\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n)$. Such a definition can be obtained in the form of a pull back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n) & \longrightarrow & \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n + 1) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi & & \\ \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2np - 1) & \longrightarrow & \bar{\Lambda}_{(m+1)}(2np - 1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0. & & \end{array} \quad (5K)$$

Although $\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n)$ contains $\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n - 1)$ as a subcomplex, the natural map $\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n) \longrightarrow \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n + 1)$ is an epimorphism. Thus $\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n)$ sits more awkwardly between $\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n - 1)$ and $\bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n + 1)$ than in the corresponding case in [G2], where both suspensions are monomorphisms. Nevertheless, there are EHP sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n - 1) & \longrightarrow & \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n) & \longrightarrow & \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2np - 1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2np + 2p^{m+1} - 1) & \longrightarrow & \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n) & \longrightarrow & \bar{\Lambda}_{(m)}(2n + 1) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (5L)$$

corresponding to [G2; 4.2].

References

- [B] Bousfield, A. K. and Curtis, E. B. [1972], *The homotopy spectral sequence of a space with coefficients in a ring*, *Topology* **11**, 79-106.
- [CMN] Cohen, F. R., Moore, J. C. and Neisenderfer, J. A. [1979], *Torsion in homotopy groups*, *Ann. Math.* **109**, 121-168.
- [G1] Gray, B. [1988], *On the Iterated Suspension*, *Topology* **27**, 301-310.
- [G2] Gray, B. [1993], *EHP Spectra and Periodicity II: Λ -algebra models*, *TAMS* **340**, 617-640.
- [HM] Harper, J. R. and Miller, H. R. [1982], *On the double suspension homomorphism at odd primes*, *TAMS* **273**, 319-331.
- [Ma] Mahowald, M., *On the double suspension homomorphism*, *TAMS* **214**, 169-178.
- [Mi1] Miller, H. R. [1974], *Some aspects of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence*, Thesis, Princeton Univ.
- [Mi2] Miller, H. R. [1978], *A localization theorem in homological algebra*, *Math. Proc. Phil. Soc.* **84**, 73-84.

- [Miln] Milnor, J. [1958], *The Steenrod algebra and its dual*, Ann Math. **67**, 150-171.
- [P 1] Pemmaraju, S., private conversations, 1994-5.
- [P 2] Priddy, S. [1970], *Koszul resolutions*, TAMS **152**, 39-60.
- [R] Ravenel, D. [1986], *Complex Cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres*, Academic Press.
- [T] Tangora, M. G. [1985], *Computing the homology of the lambda algebra*, Memoirs AMS #337.

