Political Science 270 Mechanisms of International Relations

Hein Goemans Harkness 337 OH: Wed. 1:30 – 2:30 PM hgoemans@mail.rochester.edu **Course Information:** Spring 2024 Wednesday 15:25–18:05 Meliora Room 206

The last twenty years or so saw two major revolutions in the social sciences. Instead of trying to discover and test grand "covering laws" that have universal validity and tremendous scope—think Newton's gravity or Einstein's relativity—the social sciences are in the process of switching to precise and tailored **causal** explanations, often referred to as causal mechanisms. This approach first emphasized theory and theory construction, but has now spread to the empirical side of the equation, and is there typically referred to as Causal Inference. In this course we largely focus on theoretical causal mechanisms.

The course introduces students to a range of such causal mechanisms with applications in international relations. Although these causal mechanisms can loosely be described in prose, explicit formalization – e.g., math – allows for a much deeper and richer understanding of the phenomena of study. In other words, formalization enables <u>simplification</u> and thus a better understanding of what is "really" going on. To set us on that path, we begin with some very basic rational choice fundamentals to introduce you to formal models in a rigorous way to show the power and potential of this approach. In other words, there will be some *gasp* *Algebra*. For much of the very brief but essential introduction to game theory we will use William Spaniel's Channel (http://gametheory101.com/courses/game-theory-101/, also on YouTube), as well as his cheap but very highly rated introductory book *Game Theory 101: The Complete Textbook* available at Amazon (http://www.amazon.com). For the second half of the semester, I am assigning an intensive and extensive investigation of the First World War with game theoretic tools. But in the lectures we'll continue to develop game-theoretic tools.

Course Requirements Students are of course required to read all the material; be ready to summarize each paper in a cogent paragraph or two; and participate in class discussion. Participation in the seminar comprises a third of your grade. Participation includes participation in class discussions, as well as **one** class presentation. **This presentation should take no more than 15 minutes at most**. In this class presentation, you are to present and describe one of the mechanisms we discuss in class with an example of your own. This example can be from a newspaper reading you found, from a book, from family history, from your personal life, from anything. But it must be a real example. The presenter **must** send a 1 page (at most) summary of the presentation to me by email, which must arrive no later than **8 PM**, the day before the presentation is due.

A midterm exam counts for another third of your grade, and a final exam counts for the final a third of your grade. The final exam is given during the period scheduled by the University. In particular instances, students may substitute a *serious* research paper for the final. Students

interested in the research paper option should approach me no later than one week after the mid-term.

For those enrolled in the **W** section, you *must* provide me with a one page statement of your proposed paper no later than one week after the mid-term. Failure to do so will be penalized. The research proposal must clearly state the *central question*, the proposed *central answer*, and potential *competing explanations*.

Academic Integrity

Be familiar with the University's policies on academic integrity and disciplinary action (http: //www.rochester.edu/living/urhere/handbook/discipline2.html#XII). Violators of University regulations on academic integrity will be dealt with severely, which means that your grade will suffer, and I will forward your case to the Chair of the College Board on Academic Honesty, on which I have served.

Texts

I will provide a hand-out with the typed up lecture notes, currently about 170 pages two weeks before the Mid-term, and two weeks before the Final.

The following books are required reading:

- 1. Scott Wolford, The Politics of the First World War; A Course in Game Theory and International Security. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- 2. William Spaniel, *Game Theory 101: The Complete Textbook*, self published by Will. Both books are available at http://www.amazon.com.

Readings not included in one of the texts can be found through one of the online databases or on the library's course web page, or will be provided in a Dropbox folder for the course. In addition, I expect students to read one of the following newspapers: the New York Times, the Washington Post, or the Financial Times, the Frankfurter Allgemeine, Die Zeit, Le Monde diplomatique, BBC News, The Guardian or a comparable international news provider.

Course Outline

Wednesday January 17

1. INTRODUCTION

Wednesday January 24

2. Thinking Strategically

• William Spaniel, from Game Theory 101 MOOC, lesson #1: Introduction. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NSVmOC_5zrE

Wednesday January 31

3. Causal Mechanisms

- Jon Elster, "Introduction," in Jon Elster, (ed.) *Rational Choice*, New York: NYU Press, 1986, 1–33.
- Charles Tilly, "Mechanisms in Political Processes," in Annual Review of Political Science, Vol.4, June 2001, 21–41.
- Hedström, Peter, and Petri Ylikoski. 2010. "Causal Mechanisms in the Social Sciences." Annual Review of Sociology 36 (1): 49–67
- WATCH: William Spaniel, from Game Theory 101 MOOC,
 - lesson #2: The Prisoner's Dilemma. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IotsMu1J8fA
 - lesson #3: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DanTKx1FLY8
 - lesson #4: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=08T9spKHVWQ

Wednesday February 7

4. The Prisoner's Dilemma

- Robert Axelrod, Chapters 1, 2, 4, 7 in *The Evolution of Cooperation*. New York: Basic Books, Inc., 1984.
- Elinor Ostrom, "Collective Action and the Evolution of Social Norms," in *The Journal of Economic Perspectives* Vol. 14, No. 3, (Summer 2000), 137–158
- WATCH: William Spaniel, from Game Theory 101 MOOC,
 - lesson #5: Nash Equilibria. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5TcYV6CZ7mI
 - lesson #6: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VuDutyTs_r8
 - lesson #7: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fvEQujUcPv4

- lesson #8: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aa8USttcDoE

Wednesday February 14

5. Coordination

- Russell Hardin, One for All: The Logic of Group Conflict. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1995; Chapters 1–4.
- WATCH: William Spaniel, from Game Theory 101 MOOC,
 - lesson #10: Battle of the Sexes. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2BdBWmL8tJc
 - lesson #11: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=41E14vDkML8

Wednesday February 21

6. Coordination: Various applications – Read at least three

- Randall Calvert, "Leadership and Its Basis in Problems of Social Coordination." International Political Science Review 13 (1992), pp. 7–24.
- Timur Kuran, "Now out of Never: The Element of Surprise in the East European Revolution of 1989," in *World Politics*, Vol.44, No.1 (October 1991), pp.7–48.
- Christian S. Crandall, "Social Contagion of Binge Eating." Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1988, Vol. 55, No. 4, pp. 588–598
- Gerry Mackie, "Ending Footbinding and Infibulation: A Convention Account," *American Sociological Review*, Vol. 61, No. 6 (December 1996), 999–1017
- Barry R. Weingast, "The Political Foundations of Democracy and the Rule of Law," *The American Political Science Review*, Vol. 91, No. 2 (June 1997), 245–263.
- Thomas Schelling, "Thermostats, Lemons, and Other Families of Models," Chapter 3 in Thomas Schelling, *Micromotives and Macrobehavior*, New York: Norton, 1978.

Optional

• Malcolm Gladwell, *The Tipping Point: How Little Things Can Make a Big Difference*. Back Bay Books; (January 2002)

Wednesday February 28

7. Commitment Problems

- Thomas Schelling, Chapter 2, "The art of commitment," in Arms and Influence, New Haven: Yale University Press, 1966; 35–91
- WATCH: William Spaniel, from Game Theory 101 MOOC,

- lesson #16: Subgame Perfection. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hSYXkDnCpHM

- lesson #17: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pyLKkN5HpDY
- lesson #18: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LSHa1pcy6v8

- lesson #22: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bxIrKkGRpBY
- lesson #24: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rmPDAJIpQr0

Wednesday March 6

8. Commitment Problems – Read at least three

- John C. Harsanyi, "Advances in Understanding Rational Behavior," Chapter 3 in Jon Elster, (ed.) *Rational Choice*, New York: NYU Press, 1986, 82–108.
- Barbara F. Walter, "The critical barrier to civil war settlement," *International Organization*, 51 (3): 335–364 Summer 1997
- James D. Fearon, "Commitment Problems and the spread of Ethnic Conflict," Chapter 5 in David A. Lake and Donald Rothchild, (eds.), *The International Spread of Ethnic Conflict: fear diffusion, and escalation*, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1998, 107–126.
- Barry Weingast and Rui de Figueiredo, "Rationality of Fear: Political Opportunism and Ethnic Conflict," in Jack Snyder and Barbara Walter (eds.), *Civil wars, insecurity, and intervention.* New York: Columbia University Press, 1999.

Wednesday March 13

NO CLASS: SPRING RECESS

Wednesday March 20

MID TERM EXAM

Wednesday March 27

STUDENTS WHO ARE WRITING A PAPER FOR THIS CLASS MUST HAND IN THEIR ONE PAGE PROPOSAL ON THIS DAY.

9. A Game Theoretic Examination of The First World War

 Scott Wolford, 2019. The Theory of War I: Commitment Problems; Armed Continent: The Anglo-German Naval Race; and Leaping into the Dark: Europe Goes to War. Chapters 2-4 in his *The Politics of the First World War; A Course in Game Theory and International Security*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, pp.25–99 Wednesday April 3

10. A Game Theoretic Examination of The First World War

• Scott Wolford, 2019. The Theory of War II: Information Problems; A Scrap of Paper: Belgium, France, and British Entry; Troubled Partnerships: Coalitions at War, Chapter 5-7 in his *The Politics of the First World War; A Course in Game Theory and International Security.* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, pp.100-190.

Wednesday April 10

11. A Game Theoretic Examination of The First World War

• Scott Wolford, The Best-Laid Plans: Attrition's Static Horror; Choosing Sides: Building Military Coalitions; Coordinating Caution: Naval War in the North Sea, Chapters 8-10 in his *The Politics of the First World War; A Course in Game Theory and International Security.* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, pp.191-285.

Wednesday April 17

12. A Game Theoretic Examination of The First World War

- Scott Wolford, The Theory of War II: Commitment and War Termination; The Theory of War IV: Information and War Termination, Chapters 11–12 in his *The Politics of the First World War; A Course in Game Theory and International Security.* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, pp.286-350.
- WATCH: William Spaniel, from Game Theory 101 MOOC,
 - lesson #63: Incomplete Information.
 - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mN5SP7ppQr4
 - lesson #64: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AzN-eV_Na10

Wednesday April 24

13. A Game Theoretic Examination of The First World War

• Scott Wolford, Too Proud to Fight? U-boats and American Neutrality; The End of the Beginning: Victory, Defeat, and Peace, Chapters 13-14 in his *The Politics of the First World War; A Course in Game Theory and International Security.* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, pp.351-407.

Wednesday May 1 LAST DAY OF CLASSES

14. Be Aware of the Pitfalls

• Branislav Slantchev, "On the Proper Use of Game-Theoretic Models in Conflict Studies." Presentation prepared for the NEPS Lecture at the 17th Jan TInbergen European Peace Science Conference, 2017. Unpublished ms., in the Readings folder.

Questions to consider in formulating and evaluating social science research

- 1. What is the central question?
 - Why is it important (theoretically, substantively)?
 - What is being explained (what is the dependent variable and how does it vary)?
 - How does this phenomenon present a puzzle?

2. What is the central answer?

- What is doing the explaining (what are the independent variables and how do they vary)?
- What are the hypotheses, i.e., what is the relationship between independent and dependent variables, what kind of change in the independent variable causes what kind of change in the dependent variable?
- What are the causal mechanisms, i.e., why are the independent and dependent variables so related?
- How do the independent variables relate to each other?
- What assumptions does your theory make?
- Is the theory falsifiable in concept?
- What does this explanation add to our understanding of the question?
- 3. What are the possible alternative explanations?
 - What assumptions are you making about the direction of causality?
 - What other explanations might there be for the phenomenon of study, and to what degree do they conflict with the central answer?
 - Could the hypothesized relationships have occurred by chance?
- 4. Why are the possible alternative explanations wrong?
 - What is the logical structure of the alternative explanations (compare 2)?
 - What is the empirical evidence?
- 5. What is the relationship between the theory and the evidence?
 - What does the research design allow to vary, i.e., in this design are the explanations variables or constants?
 - What does your research design hold constant, i.e., does it help to rule out the alternative competing explanations?
 - How are the theoretical constructs represented empirically, i.e., how do you know it when you see it (measurement)?
- 6. How do the empirical conclusions relate to the theory?
 - How confident are you about the theory in light of the evidence?
 - How widely do the conclusions generalize, i.e., what might be the limitations of the study?
 - What does the provisionally accepted or revised theory say about questions of broader importance?