PS234: Law and Politics in the United States

Tuesdays and Thursdays 11:05-12:20, Morey 501

Maya Sen, Department of Political Science
Email: msen@ur.rochester.edu
Office: Harkness Hall 322
Office Hours: Open, but also Wednesdays 10am-noon
Web: http://www.mayasen.org

William Spaniel, Teaching Assistant, Department of Political Science
Email: williamspaniel@gmail.com
Office: Harkness Hall 109A
Office Hours: Wednesdays 2:15-4:15pm
Web: http://wjspaniel.wordpress.com

Overview. How does the Supreme Court really decide cases? Are judges as activist as politicians claim? In this course, we will explore these questions by addressing how political and social forces influence American law and legal institutions, and vice versa. We’ll divide the course into roughly two parts: (1) judicial politics and decision making and (2) law and its relation to the rest of society. By the end of this course, you’ll have a solid understanding of how the American legal system works, you’ll understand the basics of legal (analytic) reasoning, and you’ll understand why judges are sometimes accused simply of being politicians.

Note: This course meets the “Legal Studies” requirement for the Legal Studies minor.

Prerequisites. There are no prerequisites for this course. You are expected to come to each class prepared and ready to discuss the material. The practice of law is based on argumentation and rhetoric; participation in class debates and discussion is therefore essential and will be a key component of your grade. Also, reading law is difficult the first time you do it and requires you to think in a slightly different way (which we will teach you); please give yourself ample time to work through the readings.
Grading. Your grade in this class will be composed of

- Paper #1 (5–7 pages, due in hard copy at start of class on October 10) – 20%
- Midterm (in class on October 29) – 20%
- Paper #2 (5–7 pages, due in hard copy at start of class on November 26) – 20%
- Final (December 21) – 30%
- Class Participation – 10%

Both the midterm and the final will be a combination of IDs, short answer, and essay. They will be closed-book and closed-note. We will not take attendance; the class participation component of your grade will hinge on you coming to class, participating in debates and discussions, and volunteering to explain cases and concepts.

Office Hours and Email. I have an open-door office policy, meaning that you are welcome to stop by at any point between approximately 9:30am and 5pm Monday through Friday, excluding around noon for lunch. (Because of the open-door policy, I don’t usually accept appointments.) For those who prefer office hours, I have office hours Wednesdays 10am to noon; I’ll definitely be in my office then. I’m always available by email. I encourage everyone to stop by and be in touch.

Paper Extension Policy. Hard copies of papers must be turned in at the start of class on the due date. (Emailed versions of papers will not be accepted due to the additional burden this places on the teaching staff – sorry.) Attempting to turn a paper in late is extremely unfair to your colleagues. Extensions will be granted only in case of (1) a death in the family or (2) a unforeseen medical emergency. In case of such an emergency, please let me know as soon as possible; in most cases, I will require supporting documentation (e.g., a doctor’s note) out of fairness to the other students. Also in the interest of fairness, (1) unsubstantiated requests for extensions will be denied summarily and (2) retroactive extensions (e.g., extensions requested after the paper is submitted) will never be granted.

If you do not have an extension, and you turn in a paper late, you will be docked one third of one letter grade for every 24 hours that the paper is late. Late penalties begin accruing from the start of class (11:05am) the day the paper is due. Thus, if your paper earned a B+, but you turned it in a few hours late (up to 11:05am the following day), you will be receive a B; if you turned it in 30 hours late, you would receive a B-.

Exam Policy. Both exams are closed book and closed note (and, by extension, no Internet and no cell phones). The midterm and final exam dates are firm. Missed exams may only be re-taken under the following circumstances: (1) a death in the family, (2) participation in a University-sponsored academic or sporting event (extra-curricular events do not count), (3)
unforeseen medical emergency. In the case of (1) and (2), you must inform me within 24 hours
of the exam that you will miss it. In some cases, we will require supporting documentation
out of fairness to the other students.

**Academic Honesty.** We encourage you to discuss the course readings and assignments
with your fellow students. However, all written work must be done independently and not
in collaboration with one another. The two papers will require citations and a “Works
Cited” section following the Chicago Manual of Style format (or some other consistently
used format), and both exams will be closed book, closed note, and closed Internet (no cell
phones, etc.). Lastly, all class activities must be performed in accordance with the University
of Rochester’s Academic Honesty Policy ([http://www.rochester.edu/college/honesty](http://www.rochester.edu/college/honesty)). Under UR rules, I *must* report possible policy violations to the Board on Academic Honesty.
Your reputation is the most important thing you have, especially at this stage of your
academic career; please do not tarnish it.

**University of Rochester CARE Network.** The primary goal of the CARE network is to
identify students in, or heading toward distress. As a faculty member, I’ve been encouraged
to submit CARE reports on behalf of students who appear to be struggling academically or
personally, and who may need inclusive, multi-layered support from the campus community.
The CARE administrator shares information only with staff who need to know it in order
to help you. More information about CARE can be found at [http://www.rochester.edu/care](http://www.rochester.edu/care). Please don’t hesitate to reach out to me or to someone you trust if you are struggling
or feel overwhelmed.

**Readings.** We will read excerpts from the following texts:

- Epstein, Lee and Jack Knight, *The Choices Justices Make*
- Segal, Jeffrey A. and Harold J. Spaeth, *The Supreme Court and the Attitudinal Model Revisited*

We will also read various articles and excerpts of court cases. Most of the readings (including
book excerpts and court cases) will be posted on Blackboard. If you wish to buy hard copies
of these books, they will are available for purchase on Amazon.com and at other online
retailers.
Tentative Schedule and Readings

**September 3:** Introduction (no readings)

**September 5:** Origins of the American Legal System

- Murphy et al pp 77-82 (optional: 38-55) (posted on Blackboard)
- Federalist No. 78 (copy available at [http://www.constitution.org/fed/federa78.htm](http://www.constitution.org/fed/federa78.htm))
- United States Constitution, Article III (copy available at [http://www.law.cornell.edu/constitution/articleiii](http://www.law.cornell.edu/constitution/articleiii))
- Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137 (1803)
- Optional: De Toqueville, *Democracy in America* Chapter 6: Judicial Power in the United States (copy available at [http://xroads.virginia.edu/~HYPER/DETOC/1_ch06.htm](http://xroads.virginia.edu/~HYPER/DETOC/1_ch06.htm))

**September 10:** How the American Legal System Operates Today

- Optional: Murphy et al, Chapter 3 (pp 77–92)
- Optional: Murphy et al, Chapter 13 (pp 623–644)

**September 12:** Judicial Decisionmaking #1: The Legal Model and Sources of Law

- Murphy et al, Chapter 11 (pp 491-501) (posted on Blackboard)
- Murphy et al, Chapter 12 (pp 539-558) (posted on Blackboard)
- Bond v. United States (2000)

---

Note: Schedule and list of readings is subject to change.
September 17: Judicial Decisionmaking #1 (continued): The Legal Model and Theories of Interpretation

- Bond v. United States (2000) (skim again before class)
- Murphy et al, Chapter 10 (pp 438-449) (posted on Blackboard)
- Segal, Jeffrey A. and Spaeth, Harold J., *The Supreme Court and the Attitudinal Model Revisited* (Chapter 2) (posted on Blackboard)

September 19: Example: Equal Protection

- Korematsu v. United States, 323 U.S. 214 (1944)

September 24: Judicial Decisionmaking #2: The Attitudinal Model

- Segal, Jeffrey A. and Harold J. Spaeth, *The Supreme Court and the Attitudinal Model Revisited* (Chapter 3) (posted on Blackboard)

September 26: Judicial Decisionmaking #3: Strategic Decisionmaking

- Epstein, Lee and Jack Knight, *The Choices Justices Make* (Chapters 1–3)

October 1: Example: Commerce Clause

- Gonzales v. Raich (previously Ashcroft v. Raich), 545 U.S. 1 (2005)
October 3: Judicial Decisionmaking #4: Identity and Empathy

- Boyd, Christy et al, Untangling the Causal Effects of Gender on Judging (http://epstein.usc.edu/research/genderjudging.pdf) (skim over technical details)
- Glynn, Adam and Maya Sen, Identifying Judicial Empathy (http://j.mp/NzXAEG) (skim over technical details)

October 8: No class (Fall Break)

October 10: Example: Reproductive Rights

- Griswold v. Connecticut, 381 U.S. 479 (1965)
- Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113 (1973)
- Optional: Greenhouse, Linda, Becoming Justice Blackmun (Chapter 4)

FIRST PAPER DUE AT START OF CLASS

October 15: The Politics of Judicial Selection, Confirmation, and Life Tenure

- Murphy et al Chapter 4 (pp 141-152, 156-157)
- Toobin, Jeffrey, “Advice and Dissent: The Fight Over the President’s Judicial Nominations,” The New Yorker (http://www.newyorker.com/archive/2003/05/26/030526fact?currentPage=all)

October 17: Oral Arguments and Cert


October 22: Public Opinion and the Court

October 24  State Courts

• Bonneau, Chris W., and Melinda Gann Hall. *In Defense of Judicial Elections*, parts of Chapters 1 and 5 (pp. 1-15, pp 104-115)

October 29:  In-Class Midterm

October 31:  No class

November 5:  Political Question Doctrine and Redistricting

• Baker v. Carr, 369 U.S. 186 (1962)

November 7:  Example: Bush v. Gore

• Toobin, Jeffrey, *The Nine*, Chapters 11–13 (posted on Blackboard)

November 12:  Political Speech

• Brandenberg v. Ohio, 395 U.S. 444 (1969)

November 14:  Campaign Finance

• Toobin, Jeffrey, “Money Unlimited How Chief Justice John Roberts orchestrated the Citizens United decision” ([http://www.newyorker.com/reporting/2012/05/21/120521fa_fact_toobin](http://www.newyorker.com/reporting/2012/05/21/120521fa_fact_toobin))

November 19:  Racial Minorities and the Courts I

• Carolene Products, Footnote 4
• Plessy v. Ferguson, 163 U.S. 537 (1896)
November 21: Racial Minorities and the Courts II

- Rosenberg, Gerald N., *The Hollow Hope*, Chapters 2-3 (posted on Blackboard)

November 26: LGBT Rights and the Courts

- Readings to be announced

SECOND PAPER DUE AT THE START OF CLASS

November 28: No class (Thanksgiving)

December 3: The Criminal Justice System

- Baum, Lawrence, *American Courts: Process and Policy*, Chapter 6 (posted on Blackboard)
- Hans, Valerie, *Judging the Jury*, Chapters 5 and 7

December 5: Race and the Death Penalty

- Readings to be announced

December 10: The Legal Profession and its (Waning?) Influence


December 12: Conclusion: Where do we go from here?

December 21: Final Exam