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This course examines the literature on conflict that has developed in the last decade. We will examine recent formal literature as well as the latest substantive (non-formal) literature on conflict. The course will help graduate students identify the broad direction of international conflict studies and will also permit graduate students to pursue topics or ideas of their own interest. To that end, we set aside two classes for “model building sessions” where students can explore approaches to formalize some of the ideas in the substantive literature, or explore extensions of the current formal literature. Students should have taken or be concurrently taking PSC 584 or have an equivalent knowledge of complete and incomplete information game theory.

Course Requirements

During the semester students are required to write two 5-page papers which comment on one (set) of readings. One 5-page paper must comment on non-formal readings, the other on a formal paper or book. The paper on non-formal work requires that the student can concisely identify the main themes of the at hand. To that end, the student should summarize in five pages 1) the central question, 2) the central answer, 3) the competing explanations and 4) why the competing explanations are wanting. For both papers, students are required to briefly put the paper in the broader IR context and evolving research agenda(s). At the end of the semester a research paper is due. We hope that students will use the “model building sessions” to lay the foundations for their research papers. Full participation in class discussions is of course mandatory.

Academic Integrity

Be familiar with the University’s policies on academic integrity and disciplinary action (http://www.rochester.edu/living/urhere/handbook/discipline2.html#XII). Violators of University regulations on academic integrity will be dealt with severely, which means that your grade will suffer, and We will forward your case to the Chair of the College Board on Academic Honesty.
**Texts**

The following books should be in the bookstore:


Students will also get a photocopy of the following book manuscript:


Readings not included in one of the texts can be found through one of the online databases or on the library’s course web page or from Prof. Goemans.
Course Outline

Wednesday, January 12

1. Introduction:
   It is a good idea to start reading early!

Wednesday, January 19

2. What is War?

Wednesday, January 26

3. Schelling

Wednesday, February 2

4. Blainey & Wagner
5. Formal Models of Conflict


6. Critiques and Extensions


7. Mutual Optimism

- Mark Fey and Kris Ramsay, Mutual Optimism and Unilateral War, working paper.

8. Audience Costs


Wednesday, March 9

9. **SPRING BREAK**

Wednesday, March 16

10. **Leaders**

• Alexandre Debs & Hein Goemans, Regime Type, the Fate of Leaders and War, *American Political Science Review*, Vol. 104, No.3, pp. 430 – 445

Wednesday, March 23

11. **Coups**

• Milan Svolik, *Contracting on Violence: Authoritarian Repression and Military Intervention in Politics*. Typescript, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

**Wednesday, March 30**

12. **Mediation and Cheap Talk**

• Shawn Ramirez?

**Wednesday, April 6**

13. **Conflicts over Territory**


**Wednesday, April 13**

**Students**

• Brainstorming session

**Wednesday, April 20**

14. **Diplomacy and Ultimata**


**Wednesday, May 4**

15. **Students**

• Brainstorming session
Questions to consider in formulating and evaluating social science research

1. What is the central question?
   - Why is it important (theoretically, substantively)?
   - What is being explained (what is the dependent variable and how does it vary)?
   - How does this phenomenon present a puzzle?

2. What is the central answer?
   - What is doing the explaining (what are the independent variables and how do they vary)?
   - What are the hypotheses, i.e., what is the relationship between independent and dependent variables, what kind of change in the independent variable causes what kind of change in the dependent variable?
   - What are the causal mechanisms, i.e., why are the independent and dependent variables so related?
   - How do the independent variables relate to each other?
   - What assumptions does your theory make?
   - Is the theory falsifiable in concept?
   - What does this explanation add to our understanding of the question?

3. What are the possible alternative explanations?
   - What assumptions are you making about the direction of causality?
   - What other explanations might there be for the phenomenon of study, and to what degree do they conflict with the central answer?
   - Could the hypothesized relationships have occurred by chance?

4. Why are the possible alternative explanations wrong?
   - What is the logical structure of the alternative explanations (compare 2)?
   - What is the empirical evidence?

5. What is the relationship between the theory and the evidence?
   - What does the research design allow to vary, i.e., in this design are the explanations variables or constants?
   - What does your research design hold constant, i.e., does it help to rule out the alternative competing explanations?
   - How are the theoretical constructs represented empirically, i.e., how do you know it when you see it (measurement)?

6. How do the empirical conclusions relate to the theory?
   - How confident are you about the theory in light of the evidence?
   - How widely do the conclusions generalize, i.e., what might be the limitations of the study?
   - What does the provisionally accepted or revised theory say about questions of broader importance?