

Integral bases

Trung Nguyen

April 16, 2021

1 Introduction

It was a great breakthrough in algebraic number theory when Minkowski realized that certain geometric ideas are very powerful in dealing with arithmetic problems. He was able to prove that in a number field K of degree n , every ideal class in a number ring can be represented by an ideal with norm less than a constant multiple of $\sqrt{\Delta}$, where Δ is the discriminant of the number ring. His proof relies on two crucial ideas. First, the natural embedding $K \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ allows us to regard the ring of integer R as a lattice in \mathbb{R}^n whose fundamental parallelotope F has volume a constant multiple of $\sqrt{\Delta}$. Second, a lattice in \mathbb{R}^n contains a nonzero lattice point in a convex, measurable, centrally symmetric subset of \mathbb{R}^n , as long as the volume of the set is larger than 2^n times the volume of the fundamental parallelotope of the lattice.

The motivation for this paper is a partial converse to Minkowski's first idea. He showed that given a basis for a number ring, we have a set of volume a constant multiple of $\sqrt{\Delta}$ that contains the image of the basis under the natural embedding $K \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$. We will show that in poly-quadratic field K , there exists a set with volume a constant multiple of Δ that does not contain the image of any integral basis under the natural map $K \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 1 *Consider a poly-quadratic extension $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \sqrt{m_2}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$ where all of m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n are positive and pairwise coprime. Then there exists a convex, measurable, centrally symmetric subset E of \mathbb{R}^n with volume a constant multiple of Δ that does not contain the image under the natural embedding $K \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ of any integral basis for the number ring R of K .*

Theorem 2 *Consider a poly-quadratic extension $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \sqrt{m_2}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$ where not all of m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n are positive and m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n are pairwise coprime. Then there exists a convex, measurable, centrally symmetric subset E of \mathbb{R}^n with volume a constant multiple of Δ that does not contain the image under the natural embedding $K \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ of any integral basis for the number ring R of K .*

2 Preliminaries

First, we would like to state explicitly the definition of the natural embedding $K \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 1 Let K be a number field with real embeddings $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r$, and $\tau_1, \bar{\tau}_1, \dots, \tau_s, \bar{\tau}_s$ as the remaining embeddings of $K \mapsto \mathbb{C}$. Thus, $r + 2s = n$. A mapping $K \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ is obtained by sending each α in K to the n -tuple $(\sigma_1(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_r(\alpha), \operatorname{Re}(\tau_1(\alpha)), \operatorname{Im}(\tau_1(\alpha)), \dots, \operatorname{Re}(\tau_s(\alpha)), \operatorname{Im}(\tau_s(\alpha)))$

The first step of proving the theorems is to find the Galois group of K . In order to do so, we first need the degree of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \sqrt{m_2}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$. As we would expect, the degree is 2^n .

Lemma 1 Let K be a field, a and b are elements of K . Then the field $L = K[\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b}]$ has degree 4 over K if and only if \sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b} and \sqrt{ab} are not elements of K .

Proof. Assume the field L has degree 4 over K . Notice that $K' = K[\sqrt{a}]$ is a subfield of L such that $L = K'[\sqrt{b}]$. Thus, $[L : K] = [L : K'][K' : K] \leq 2 \cdot 2 = 4$. This forces $[K' : K]$ to be 2, or equivalently \sqrt{a} is not in K . The proofs for \sqrt{b} and \sqrt{ab} are similar.

Conversely, suppose \sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b} and \sqrt{ab} are not elements of K . Then certainly $K' = K[\sqrt{a}]$ is an extension field of degree 2 over K , so it suffices to show that L is an extension field of degree 2 over $K[\sqrt{a}]$, as then we would have $[L : K] = [L : K[\sqrt{a}]] [K[\sqrt{a}] : K] = 4$. Indeed, we rewrite L as $K'[\sqrt{b}]$. If $[L : K']$ is not 2, then we would have that $K'[\sqrt{b}] = L = K'$. This in turn implies that \sqrt{b} is an element of $K' = K[\sqrt{a}]$. Hence we see that there exists some x, y in K such that:

$$\sqrt{b} = x + y\sqrt{a}$$

Squaring both sides and rearrange the terms we have:

$$(b - x^2 - y^2a) - 2xy\sqrt{a} = 0 \tag{1}$$

Recall that $K[\sqrt{a}]$ is an extension field of degree 2 over K , so 1 and \sqrt{a} are linearly independent over K . This implies that the coefficient of \sqrt{a} in (1), xy , must be 0. If $x = 0$, then we have that $\sqrt{b} = y\sqrt{a}$. This implies $\sqrt{ab} = ya$, an element of K , a contradiction. If $y = 0$, then we have that $\sqrt{b} = x$, an element of K , a contradiction. Hence we have that $[L : K'] = 2$, which is exactly what we want.

With the help of lemma 1, we can now find the degree of our poly-quadratic extension field K .

Proposition 1 Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be n distinct, square free integers. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \dots, \sqrt{a_n})$. Then K has degree 2^n over \mathbb{Q} if and only if $\prod_{k \in I} a_i$ is not a perfect square, for all subset I of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

Proof. Suppose that K has degree 2^n over \mathbb{Q} , and $\prod_{k \in I} a_k$ is a square for some I . Relabel the a_i 's if necessary, we can assume that $a_1 a_2 \dots a_k = c^2$ for some integer c . Taking the square root of both sides we have:

$$\sqrt{a_1} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{a_2 \dots a_k}}.$$

This implies that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_1}) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_2}, \sqrt{a_3}, \dots, \sqrt{a_k}) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_2}, \sqrt{a_3}, \dots, \sqrt{a_n})$. Thus, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \dots, \sqrt{a_n}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_2}, \sqrt{a_3}, \dots, \sqrt{a_n})$. Then K has degree at most 2^{n-1} over \mathbb{Q} , a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that $\prod_{k \in I} a_k$ is not a perfect square, for all subset I of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We will show by induction on n that K has degree 2^n over \mathbb{Q} . The base case $n = 1$ is trivial, and the case $n = 2$ is our lemma 1.

Now suppose the proposition is true for all $k \leq (n - 1)$. The inductive hypothesis gives us that $K_0 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \dots, \sqrt{a_{n-2}})$ has degree 2^{n-2} over \mathbb{Q} . Notice that $K = K_0[\sqrt{a_{n-1}}, \sqrt{a_n}]$, so we would be done if we are able to show that $[K : K_0] = 4$. By lemma 1, this is true if $\sqrt{a_{n-1}}, \sqrt{a_n}$, and $\sqrt{a_{n-1}a_n}$ are not in K_0 .

However, again by induction, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \dots, \sqrt{a_{n-1}})$ has degree 2^{n-1} over \mathbb{Q} , so $\sqrt{a_{n-1}}$ cannot be in $K_0 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \dots, \sqrt{a_{n-2}})$, as we know K_0 only has degree 2^{n-2} over \mathbb{Q} . With a similar reasoning as above, we can also see that $\sqrt{a_n}$ and $\sqrt{a_{n-1}a_n}$ are not in K_0 . Now we can apply lemma 1 to show that $[K : K_0] = 4$.

Remark 1 *With the help of this proposition, we can see that $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \sqrt{m_2}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$ where m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n are relatively prime, is a number field of degree 2^n over \mathbb{Q} .*

Remark 2 *One interesting corollary of this proposition is that the degree of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1}, \sqrt{p_2}, \dots, \sqrt{p_n})$ where p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n are primes, is 2^n .*

Remark 3 *The Galois group G of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \sqrt{m_2}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$ where m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n are relatively prime must have order 2^n , and hence $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_i})/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$.*

Continue to let m_1, \dots, m_n be integers such that they are pairwise co-prime.

Let o_K be the ring of integers for a number field K . Let K denote the poly-quadratic extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$ and K^i denote the extension $(\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_{i-1}}, \sqrt{m_{i+1}}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$. Then by proposition 1, we see that $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^n = d_n$. Let

$$O_K := \mathbb{Z}1 + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{m_1} + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{m_1 m_2} + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{m_i m_j} + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{m_{i_1} m_{i_2} \dots m_{i_k}} + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}$$

where $0 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \leq n$, $i \neq j$, $i_r \neq i_s$. O_K consists of \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of square roots of all possible combinations of products of m_1, \dots, m_n with each appearing at most once (there are 2^n of them). We immediately see that $O_K \subseteq o_K$. Also, since m_i are pairwise co-prime, the products under the square root are square-free and these specifically correspond to the 2^n quadratic subfields of K .

Proposition 2 For any polyquadratic extension $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$ where m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n are pairwise coprime,

$$O_K \subseteq o_K \subseteq O_K/d_n$$

where $d_n = [K : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^n$.

Proof. We know that if $K \subseteq L$ are number fields and $\alpha \in o_L$ then $Tr_{L/K}(\alpha) \in o_K$. Let $\alpha \in o_{K_n}$. Then,

$$\alpha = a_1 + a_2\sqrt{m_1} + \dots + a_{n+2}\sqrt{m_1 m_2} + \dots + a_s\sqrt{m_{i_1} m_{i_2} \dots m_{i_k}} + \dots + a_{2^n}\sqrt{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ since these form a basis of K over \mathbb{Q} . Now, consider $\beta_1 = Tr_{K/K^1}(\alpha)$ where $K^1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_2}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$, as above.

$$\text{We have that } Tr_{K/K^1}(\sqrt{m_{i_1} m_{i_2} \dots m_{i_k}}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m_{i_j} = m_1 \text{ for any } 1 \leq j \leq k, \\ 2\sqrt{m_{i_1} m_{i_2} \dots m_{i_k}} & \text{if } m_{i_j} \neq m_1 \text{ for any } 1 \leq j \leq k \end{cases}$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\beta_1 = 2a_1 + a_2 \cdot 0 + 2a_3\sqrt{m_2} + \dots + a_{n+2} \cdot 0 + \dots + a_{2^n} \cdot 0 \quad (2)$$

By induction hypothesis, we have that $\beta_1 \in o_{K^1} \subseteq O_{K^1}/d_{n-1} = O_{K^1}/2^{n-1} \subseteq O_K/2^{n-1}$ since $O_{K^1} \subseteq O_K$. Let a_s be the coefficient of a term $\sqrt{m_{i_1} m_{i_2} \dots m_{i_k}}$ in α which does not contain m_1 , for example $\sqrt{m_2 m_3}$. Then, $\sqrt{m_{i_1} m_{i_2} \dots m_{i_k}} \in O_{K^1}$ and from equation (2), it follows that $2a_s \in \mathbb{Z}/2^{n-1}$, or $a_s \in \mathbb{Z}/2^n$.

Now, for all such $\sqrt{m_{i_1} m_{i_2} \dots m_{i_k}}$ where $0 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \leq n$, $i \neq j$, $i_r \neq i_s$ except $\sqrt{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}$, there is at least one m_t , $1 \leq t \leq n$ such that $m_{i_j} \neq m_t$ for any $1 \leq j \leq k$. Thus by varying i over $1 \leq i \leq n$ and considering $Tr_{K/K^i}(\alpha)$, we get that $a_s \in \mathbb{Z}/2^n$ for all $1 \leq s \leq 2^n - 1$, similarly as above. So, we are only left to prove the claim for a_{2^n} , the coefficient of $\sqrt{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}$.

To prove this, we consider $\gamma = Tr_{K/L}(\alpha)$, with $L = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{m_1}, \sqrt{m_2}, \dots, \sqrt{m_{n-1} m_n}]$. Let $\langle \sigma \rangle = (K/L)$ where σ maps $\sqrt{m_{n-1}} \mapsto -\sqrt{m_{n-1}}$, $\sqrt{m_n} \mapsto -\sqrt{m_n}$ and acts as identity on everything else. Then, $Tr_{K/L}(\sqrt{m_{i_1} m_{i_2} \dots m_{i_k}}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sqrt{m_{i_1} m_{i_2} \dots m_{i_k}} \text{ contains either } m_{n-1} \text{ or } m_n \text{ but not both,} \\ 2\sqrt{m_{i_1} m_{i_2} \dots m_{i_k}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Thus,

$$\gamma = Tr_{K/L}(\alpha) = 2a_1 + a_2\sqrt{m_1} + \dots + 2a_{2^n}\sqrt{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}$$

and again by induction hypothesis we see that $2a_{2^n} \in \mathbb{Z}/2^{n-1}$, since $\gamma \in o_L \subseteq O_L/2^{n-1} \subset O_K/2^{n-1}$. This implies that $a_{2^n} \in \mathbb{Z}/2^n$ and this completes our proof.

We finally have,

$$O_K \subseteq o_K \subseteq O_K/d_n$$

where $d_n = [K : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^n$, for any poly-quadratic extension $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$ where m_i are relatively prime.

The bound $d_n = 2^n$ is the best possible one in the case when m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n are congruent to $1 \pmod{4}$. In order to prove this, we will state without proof a lemma:

Lemma 2 *Let K and L be number fields with ring of integers R and S , respectively. Let T be the ring of integers of the compositum KL . Assume that $\text{disc } R$ and $\text{disc } S$ are relatively prime. Then $T = RS$ and $\text{disc } T = \text{disc } R^{[L:\mathbb{Q}]} \text{disc } S^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}$*

This is proposition 12 and Exercise 23 in Marcus, Number fields.

Now let $K_i = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_i})$. We know that each K_i is Galois over \mathbb{Q} and we have the tower of fields

$$K_0 = \mathbb{Q} \subset K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots \subset K_n,$$

where $[K_i : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^i$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. We observe that $K_{i+1} = K_i \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_{i+1}})$ for all $0 \leq i < n$ and $K_i \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_{i+1}}) = \mathbb{Q}$ since m_i are relatively prime.

Let $L_i = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_i})$. Now, we know that $\Delta_{L_i} = m_i$ and from this we can find the discriminant of K_i for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, we see that $\Delta_{K_1} = m_1$ and $(\Delta_{K_1}, \Delta_{L_2}) = 1$ which gives $\Delta_{K_2} = (\Delta_{K_1})^2 \cdot (\Delta_{L_2})^2 = (\Delta_{L_1} \cdot \Delta_{L_2})^2$. Proceeding inductively, it follows that $(\Delta_{K_{i-1}}, \Delta_{L_i}) = 1$ and $\Delta_{K_i} = (\Delta_{K_{i-1}})^2 \cdot (\Delta_{L_i})^{2^{i-1}}$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n$. So, we get

$$\Delta_{K_i} = (\Delta_{K_{i-1}})^2 \cdot (\Delta_{L_i})^{2^{i-1}} = \left((\Delta_{K_{i-2}})^2 \cdot (\Delta_{L_{i-1}})^{2^{i-2}} \right)^2 (\Delta_{L_i})^{2^{i-1}} = \dots = \left(\prod_{k=1}^i \Delta_{L_k} \right)^{2^{i-1}} = \left(\prod_{k=1}^i m_k \right)^{2^{i-1}}$$

Thus, we can see that $(\Delta_{K_i}, \Delta_{L_{i+1}}) = 1$.

Since $(\Delta_{K_{i-1}}, \Delta_{L_i}) = 1$, $K_1 = L_1$ and we know the integral basis of each L_i , inductively using lemma 2, we can find an integral basis for K_i by multiplying pairwise the integral basis of K_{i-1} and L_i . Let B_i be the integral basis of K_i . Then we see that

$$B_1 = \left\{ 1, \frac{1 + \sqrt{m_1}}{2} \right\}, \quad B_2 = \left\{ 1, \frac{1 + \sqrt{m_1}}{2}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{m_2}}{2}, \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{m_1}}{2} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{m_2}}{2} \right) \right\}$$

and inductively it follows that

$$B_n = \left\{ 1, \frac{1 + \sqrt{m_1}}{2}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{m_2}}{2}, \dots, \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{m_1}}{2} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{m_2}}{2} \right), \dots, \prod_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{m_k}}{2} \right) \right\}$$

We see that the last element of the integral basis of K_n is $\frac{1}{2^n} \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + \sqrt{m_k}) = e$ (say) and $e \in O_{K_n}/2^n$. Thus, we see that the bound is sharp for this case.

Lemma 3 *Let $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \sqrt{m_2}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$ be an extension of degree 2^n over \mathbb{Q} . Then this extension can be rewritten as $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{x_1}, \sqrt{x_2}, \dots, \sqrt{x_n})$ where at least $n - 2$ of the x_i are $1 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. By the finiteness of the extension, we can assume that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$ is written such that the maximal number of $m_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Also, we assume for the purpose of contradiction that there are three $m_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. We will call them m_1, m_2, m_3 .

If two of these, WLOG, m_1 and m_2 are $3 \pmod{4}$, then

$$m = \frac{m_1 m_2}{(m_1, m_2)^2} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

since the both the numerator and denominator will be $1 \pmod{4}$. Note that we could write the extension as

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{m_2}, \sqrt{m_3}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$$

and that this extension would have more roots that are $1 \pmod{4}$, contradicting our assumption of maximality.

If two of these, WLOG, m_1 and m_2 are $2 \pmod{4}$ such that $m_1/2 \equiv m_2/2 \pmod{4}$, then $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ where m is defined as above and the same contradiction would result.

In the last case, WLOG, we can assume that $m_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $m_2, m_3 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ such that $m_2/2 \not\equiv m_3/2 \pmod{4}$. Let m be defined as above and note that $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Additionally,

$$k = \frac{m_2 m_3}{(m_2, m_3)^2} \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$$

Thus, we can rewrite our extension as

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{k}, \sqrt{m_3}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$$

where $m \equiv k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ which puts us back in the first case and results in a contradiction. This completes all possible cases. Therefore, the extension can be written such that at least $n - 2$ of the square roots are $1 \pmod{4}$.

Corollary 1 *Let $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$. Then there exists an element of o with denominator greater than or equal to 2^{n-1} .*

Proof. From the lemma 3, we write

$$L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{x_1}, \sqrt{x_2})(\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_{n-2}})$$

where each $m_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $x_1, x_2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Note that (x_1, x_2) has an element in the ring of integers with denominator 2. Adjoining the other $n - 2$ roots which are all $1 \pmod{4}$ one at a time and as we have seen in the discussion after proposition 2, we have that there exists an element in the ring of integers with denominator 2^{n-1} since every adjoined root that is $1 \pmod{4}$ has been shown to increase the denominator of some term by 2.

Remark 4 *This corollary shows that the bound $d_n = 2^n$ is very close to be optimal.*

In order to find the discriminant, we assume the following proposition that can be deduced from the conductor-discriminant formula of class field theory.

Proposition 3 *Let K be a poly-quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} . Then the discriminant of K equals the product of the discriminants of all quadratic subfields of K .*

Using the proposition above, we will prove

Proposition 4 *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \sqrt{m_2}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$ with m_i distinct and relatively prime, $n \geq 3$. Then $\Delta_K = c(m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n)^{2^{n-1}}$ where c is a constant depending only on n . Indeed, c equals one of $1, 16^{2^{n-2}}, 64^{2^{n-2}}$.*

Proof. Since the m_i are coprime, there can only at most one m_i that is $2 \pmod{4}$. Case 1: there is no m_i that is $2 \pmod{4}$.

Suppose among n integers m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n , we have k numbers congruent to $1 \pmod{4}$, and $n - k$ numbers congruent to $3 \pmod{4}$. According to proposition 3, we know that discriminant of K equals the product of the discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}(m_I)$, where $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We also know that the discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}(m)$ equals m when m is 0 or $1 \pmod{4}$, and it equals $4m$ when m is 2 or $3 \pmod{4}$. Hence, we have that

$$\Delta_K = 4^t \prod_{I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} m_I$$

where t is the number of subsets I such that m_I is not congruent to $1 \pmod{4}$.

Note that m_I is congruent to $3 \pmod{4}$ if and only if m_I contains an odd number of m_i that is congruent to $3 \pmod{4}$. Thus, the number of such subsets I is equal to the number of subsets of $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$ that contains an odd number of m_i that is congruent to $3 \pmod{4}$. That number is

$$2^{n-k} \left(\binom{k}{1} + \binom{k}{3} + \dots \right) = 2^{k-1} 2^{n-k} = 2^{n-1}$$

Thus,

$$\Delta_K = 4^{2^{n-1}} \prod_{I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} m_I = 4^{2^{n-1}} (m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n)^{2^{n-1}}$$

Case 2: there is one m_i that is $2 \pmod{4}$, say m_1 . As above, we still have that

$$\Delta_K = 4^t \prod_{I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} m_I$$

where t is the number of subsets I such that m_I is not congruent to $1 \pmod{4}$. Note that m_I is not congruent to $1 \pmod{4}$ if and only if m_I contains m_1 or m_I contains an odd number of m_i that is congruent to $3 \pmod{4}$ without containing m_1 . In the first case, the number of such subsets I is 2^{n-1} , in the second case

the number of such subsets is 2^{n-2} . Thus, in total, we have $3 \cdot 2^{n-2}$ such sets I . Hence

$$\Delta_K = 4^{3 \cdot 2^{n-2}} \prod_{I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} m_I = 64^{2^{n-2}} (m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n)^{2^{n-1}}$$

Now we are ready to prove the main theorems.

3 Totally real poly-quadratic fields

In this section, we will construct a set having volume a constant multiple of the discriminant and not having the image under the Minkowski embedding defined in section 1 of any integral basis of a poly-quadratic field generated by square roots of relatively prime positive integers.

By proposition 4, $\Delta K = c(m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n)^{2^{n-1}}$ where c is a constant depending on n . Also, recall that the image of any integral basis under the Minkowski embedding is a \mathbb{R} -basis for \mathbb{R}^n .

We construct the set for a biquadratic extension ($n = 2$), and then extend the same idea to poly-quadratic extensions.

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \sqrt{m_2})$. Consider the \mathbb{Z} -module O_K/d_2 and the lattice L_O generated by the Minkowski embedding of O_K/d_2 .

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} L_O &= \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{d_2}(1, 1, 1, 1) + \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{d_2}(\sqrt{m_1}, -\sqrt{m_1}, \sqrt{m_1}, -\sqrt{m_1}) + \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{d_2}(\sqrt{m_2}, \sqrt{m_2}, -\sqrt{m_2}, -\sqrt{m_2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{d_2}(\sqrt{m_1 m_2}, -\sqrt{m_1 m_2}, -\sqrt{m_1 m_2}, \sqrt{m_1 m_2}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_O^i &= \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{d_2}(1, 1, 1, 1) + \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{d_2}(\sqrt{m_1}, -\sqrt{m_1}, \sqrt{m_1}, -\sqrt{m_1}) + \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{d_2}(\sqrt{m_2}, \sqrt{m_2}, -\sqrt{m_2}, -\sqrt{m_2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{d_2}(\sqrt{m_1 m_2}, -\sqrt{m_1 m_2}, -\sqrt{m_1 m_2}, \sqrt{m_1 m_2}), \quad i \in \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

So, we see that $L_0 = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L_O^i$. For $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$, define $f(x) = x_1 - x_2 - x_3 + x_4$. If $x \in L_O^i$ we see that x satisfies the equation

$$f(x) = x_1 - x_2 - x_3 + x_4 = \frac{d_2 \cdot i}{d_2} \sqrt{m_1 m_2} = i \sqrt{m_1 m_2} \quad (3)$$

Consider the convex centrally symmetric compact set $C = [-\frac{\sqrt{m_1 m_2}}{2d_2}, \frac{\sqrt{m_1 m_2}}{2d_2}]_{d_2}$ in \mathbb{R}^{d_2} . We know that $\Delta_K \asymp (m_1 m_2)^2$ and we see that $\text{Vol}(C) = \frac{1}{d_2^{d_2}} (m_1 m_2)^2 \asymp (m_1 m_2)^2 \asymp \Delta_K$.

Now, if there exists $x \in L_O^i \cap C$ for $i \neq 0$, then we have

$$\sqrt{m_1 m_2} \leq |i \sqrt{m_1 m_2}| = |f(x)| = |x_1 - x_2 - x_3 + x_4| \leq |x_1| + |x_2| + |x_3| + |x_4| \leq \frac{\sqrt{m_1 m_2}}{2}$$

which is a contradiction.

Thus, $L_O^i \cap C = \phi$ for $i \neq 0$ and C can contain points from only L_O^0 and hence,

$L_O \cap C \subset L_O^0 \subset \frac{\mathbb{R}}{d_2}(1, 1, 1, 1) + \frac{\mathbb{R}}{d_2}(\sqrt{m_1}, -\sqrt{m_1}, \sqrt{m_1}, -\sqrt{m_1}) + \frac{\mathbb{R}}{d_2}(\sqrt{m_2}, \sqrt{m_2}, -\sqrt{m_2}, -\sqrt{m_2})$, which is a $d_2 - 1$ dimensional \mathbb{R} vector space and hence cannot contain d_2 \mathbb{R} -linearly independent elements.

Now, let L be the lattice formed using the Minkowski embedding of the ring of integers o_K of K . Since we have $o_K \subset O_K/d_2$ from proposition 2, we see that $L \subset L_O$. Hence $L \cap C \subset L_O \cap C \subset L_O^0$ and from above, $L \cap C$ can only contain $\leq (d_2 - 1)$ linearly independent elements. Thus, C cannot contain any \mathbb{Z} -basis of L .

Now we are ready to prove theorem 1.

Proof (of theorem 1). Let $\alpha_{2^j} = \sqrt{m_j}$ and $\alpha_0 = 1$.

Now we define α_i for all $1 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$ inductively from α_{2^k} . Expand i in the binary system and let $i = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \delta(k)2^k$ where $\delta(k) = 0$ or 1 . Then $\alpha_i = \sqrt{\prod_{1 \leq k \leq n-1, \delta(k)=1} m_k}$ and we see that

$$\{\alpha_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1\} = \left\{ \sqrt{\prod_{j \in J} m_j} \mid J \subset \{0, \dots, n-1\} \right\}$$

Let $G = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. Let σ_0 denote the identity automorphism in G and σ_{2^p} , $0 \leq p \leq n-1$ be elements of G_n defined by

$$\sigma_{2^p}(\alpha_p) = -\alpha_p \text{ and } \sigma_{2^p}(\alpha_k) = \alpha_k \text{ for } k \neq p \text{ and } 0 \leq k \leq n-1$$

Let G_p be the subgroup $\{\sigma_0, \sigma_{2^p}\}$ of G_n and we know that $G_n = \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} G_p$, as $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^n$.

Now we define all 2^n elements σ_i of G as follows: expand i in the binary system and let $i = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \delta(k)2^k$ where $\delta(k) = 0$ or 1 . If k_1, \dots, k_t are the ones for which $\delta(k) = 1$, then $\sigma_i = \sigma_{2^{k_1}} \circ \dots \circ \sigma_{2^{k_t}}$.

Now, for $\beta \in K_n$ let the Minkowski embedding be $(\sigma_0(\beta), \sigma_1(\beta), \dots, \sigma_{2^n-1}(\beta))$. Let L_O be the lattice corresponding to O_K/d_n and L be the lattice formed by the Minkowski embedding of the ring of integers. As in the bi-quadratic case, consider

$$L_O = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{d_n} (\sigma_0(\alpha_i), \sigma_1(\alpha_i), \dots, \sigma_{2^n-1}(\alpha_i))$$

and for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider

$$L_O^j = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-2} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{d_n} (\sigma_0(\alpha_i), \sigma_1(\alpha_i), \dots, \sigma_{2^n-1}(\alpha_i)) + \frac{j}{d_n} (\sigma_0(\alpha_{2^n-1}), \sigma_1(\alpha_{2^n-1}), \dots, \sigma_{2^n-1}(\alpha_{2^n-1}))$$

We know that $\alpha_{2^n-1} = \sqrt{m_{2^0} \cdots m_{2^n-1}} \asymp \Delta_K^{(1/2^n)}$. Also, $L_0 = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} L_O^j$.

Now we define $f(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_n}$. Let $a(i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$ be such that

$$a(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the binary expression of } i \text{ has even number of ones,} \\ -1 & \text{if the binary expression of } i \text{ has odd number of ones.} \end{cases}$$

Then, define

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} a(i)x_i$$

We have the following observations-

- (i) We see that f is linear, i.e., $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_n}$ and for $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $f(cx) = cf(x)$.
- (ii) $\sigma_i(\alpha_{2^n-1}) = a(i)\alpha_{2^n-1}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$. This is because each σ_i will flip the sign of $\sqrt{m_k}$ if and only if the coefficient of 2^k in the binary expansion of i is 1. This implies the number of times σ_i will flip the sign of $\alpha_{2^n-1} = \sqrt{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n}$ is the same as the number of 1 in the binary expansion of i , and thus $\sigma_i(\alpha_{2^n-1}) = a(i)\alpha_{2^n-1}$.

This implies that

$$f\left(\frac{j}{d_n}(\sigma_0(\alpha_{2^n-1}), \sigma_1(\alpha_{2^n-1}), \dots, \sigma_{2^n-1}(\alpha_{2^n-1}))\right) = j\alpha_{2^n-1} = j\sqrt{m_{2^0} \cdots m_{2^n-1}} \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- (iii) For $0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 2$, $f\left(\frac{k}{d_n}(\sigma_0(\alpha_i), \sigma_1(\alpha_i), \dots, \sigma_{2^n-1}(\alpha_i))\right) = 0$.

Notice that each σ_j will flip or keep the sign of α_i , so it suffices to show that the number of σ_j that fixes α_i is equal to the number of σ_j that flips α_i . Recall that σ_j will flip the sign of $\sqrt{m_k}$ if and only if the coefficient of 2^k in the binary expansion of j is 1. Thus, based on the way α_i is defined, σ_j will flip the sign of α_i if and only if the number of common 1 in the binary expansion of i and j is odd. Thus, the problem of counting σ_j that will flip the sign of α_i is reduced to the following lemma:

Lemma 4 *Given an integer $i, 0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 2$, the number of integers $j, 0 \leq j \leq 2^n - 1$ such that the number of common 1 in the binary expansion of i and j is odd is 2^{n-1} .*

Proof. Suppose the number of 1 in the binary expansion of i is x . Then the j that would satisfies the lemma would have 1, 3, 5, \dots 1 in common with i 's binary expansion in its binary expansion. Such number of j is

$$\binom{x}{1}2^{n-x} + \binom{x}{3}2^{n-x} + \binom{x}{5}2^{n-x} + \dots = 2^{n-x} \left(\binom{x}{1} + \binom{x}{3} + \binom{x}{5} + \dots \right) = 2^{n-x} \cdot 2^{x-1} = 2^{n-1}$$

Thus, we see that for $x \in L_O^j$, $f(x) = j\alpha_{2^n-1} = j\sqrt{m_1 \cdots m_n}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider the convex centrally symmetric compact set

$$C = \left[-\frac{\sqrt{m_1 \cdots m_n}}{2d_n}, \frac{\sqrt{m_1 \cdots m_n}}{2d_n} \right]^{d_n}$$

The volume

$$\text{Vol}(C) = \frac{(m_1 \cdots m_n)^{2^{n-1}}}{d_n^{d_n}} \asymp (m_{2^0} \cdots m_{2^{n-1}})^{2^{n-1}} \asymp \Delta_{K_n}$$

Now, if there exists $x \in L_O^j \cap C$ for $j \neq 0$, then we have $\sqrt{m_1 \cdots m_n} \leq |j\sqrt{m_1 \cdots m_n}| = |f(x)| = \left| \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} a(i)x_i \right| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} |x_i| \leq \sqrt{m_1 \cdots m_n}/2$.

This is a contradiction. After this, we can repeat the same argument as in the case $n = 2$. We have that $L_O^j \cap C = \emptyset$ for $j \neq 0$ and C can contain points from only L_O^0 and hence,

$L_O \cap C \subset L_O^0 \subset \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-2} \frac{\mathbb{R}}{d_n} (\sigma_0(\alpha_i), \sigma_1(\alpha_i), \dots, \sigma_{2^n-1}(\alpha_i))$ which is a $2^n - 1$ dimensional \mathbb{R} vector space and hence cannot contain 2^n \mathbb{R} -linearly independent elements.

Now, let L be the lattice formed using the Minkowski embedding of the ring of integers o_K of K . Since we have $o_K \subset O_K/d_n$ from proposition 2, we see that $L \subset L_O$. Hence $L \cap C \subset L_O \cap C \subset L_O^0$ and from above, $L \cap C$ can only contain $\leq (d_n - 1)$ linearly independent elements. Thus, C cannot contain any \mathbb{Z} -basis of L .

4 Totally imaginary poly-quadratic fields

The previous section covers the case of poly-quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n}]$ where all the m_i are greater than 0. Now we consider the case where one or more of the m_i are negative.

Lemma 5 *If K is a number field which is Galois over \mathbb{Q} , then it is either totally real or totally imaginary.*

Proof. The lemma follows from the fact that if K has one real embedding then all the embeddings are real and similarly for the other case.

To see the above fact look at composition $K \xrightarrow{\phi} K \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbb{R}$ where $\phi \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ and ρ is a real embedding of K . Now, since ρ is injective, $\rho \circ \phi$ for $\phi \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ are all distinct, which means they are all the embeddings of K into \mathbb{C} , since we have only $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ embeddings of K . Thus, all the embeddings are real if one of them is real and a similar argument works for the other case.

Since we know that poly-quadratic fields are Galois, from the above lemma, we conclude that poly-quadratic fields are totally real if and only if all the m_i are greater than zero. If K is a poly-quadratic field with at least one of the $m_j < 0$, we have an identity embedding of $K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n}) \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n}) \not\subset \mathbb{R}$. Hence, K is totally imaginary and $r = 0, s = \frac{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}{2} = 2^{n-1}$.

Now we can finally prove theorem 2.

Proof (of theorem 2). Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$ with at least one of the $m_i < 0$. We choose a family \mathcal{F} of embeddings σ such that $\{\sigma, \bar{\sigma} | \sigma \in \mathcal{F}\}$ covers all the embeddings $K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Also, we can assume that

For $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let $m_I = \prod_{i \in I} m_i$ and we fix the notation that if $I = \emptyset$, then $m_I = 1$. Let $G = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ and \sqrt{x} denote the positive square root of x for $x > 0$ and $i\sqrt{|x|}$ for $x < 0$. Consider the \mathbb{Z} -module $O_k/d_n = \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{d_n} \sqrt{m_I}$ as in proposition 2. The image of it under the Minkowski embedding is

$$L_O = \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{d_n} e_{m_I}$$

where e_{m_I} are as follows:

$$e_{m_I} = \begin{cases} (x_\sigma), x_\sigma = 1, x_{\bar{\sigma}} = 0 \text{ for } \sigma \in \mathcal{F} & \text{if } I = \emptyset \text{ and hence } m_I = 1 \\ (x_\sigma), x_\sigma = \sigma(\sqrt{m_I}) = \pm\sqrt{m_I}, x_{\bar{\sigma}} = 0 \text{ for } \sigma \in \mathcal{F} & \text{if } I \neq \emptyset, m_I > 0 \\ (x_\sigma), x_\sigma = 0, x_{\bar{\sigma}} = -i\sigma(m_I) = \pm\sqrt{|m_I|} \text{ for } \sigma \in \mathcal{F} & \text{if } I \neq \emptyset, m_I < 0 \end{cases}$$

Let

$$L_O^j = \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{d_n} e_{m_I} + \frac{j}{d_n} e_{m_1 \dots m_n}$$

Now, we know that

$$|\Delta_K| \asymp_{d_n} \left(\left| \prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right| \right)^{2^{n-1}} = \left(\left| \prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right| \right)^{\frac{d_n}{2}}$$

Consider the set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_n}$

$$B = \left\{ (x_\sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_n} \mid x_\sigma^2 + x_{\bar{\sigma}}^2 \leq \frac{\left| \prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right|}{(2d_n)^2} \text{ for } \sigma \in \mathcal{F} \right\}$$

Then

$$\text{Vol}(B) = \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}} \left(\pi \cdot \frac{\left| \prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right|}{(2d_n)^2} \right) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d_n}{2}}}{(2d_n)^{d_n}} \cdot \left| \prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right|^{\frac{d_n}{2}} \asymp_{d_n} |\Delta_K|$$

Observe that $L_O = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} L_O^j$. Define a function $f : L_O \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}} x_\sigma^2 + x_{\bar{\sigma}}^2$. We want to show that $f(x) \geq \frac{j^2}{2d_n} \cdot \left| \prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right|$ if $x \in L_O^j$.

Case 1: If $\prod_{j=1}^n m_j \geq 0$:

Then $f(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}} x_\sigma^2 + x_{\bar{\sigma}}^2 \geq \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}} x_\sigma^2$

Suppose $x = \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{a_I}{d_n} e_{m_I} + \frac{j}{d_n} e_{m_1 \dots m_n}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}} x_{\sigma}^2 &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}} \left(\frac{j}{d_n} \sigma(\sqrt{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n}) + \sum_{m_I \geq 0, I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{a_I}{d_n} \sigma(\sqrt{m_I}) \right)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{d_n}{2} \left(\frac{j}{d_n} \right)^2 m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}, m_I, m_J \geq 0} 2 \frac{j}{d_n} \frac{a_I}{d_n} \sigma(\sqrt{m_I m_J}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that since $m_I m_J \geq 0$, $2\sigma(\sqrt{m_I m_J}) = \sigma(\sqrt{m_I m_J}) + \bar{\sigma}(\sqrt{m_I m_J})$. Thus,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}} 2 \frac{j}{d_n} \frac{a_I}{d_n} \sigma(\sqrt{m_I m_J}) = \frac{j}{d_n} \frac{a_I}{d_n} \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt{m_I m_J}) = 0$$

This gives us

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}} x_{\sigma}^2 \geq \frac{d_n}{2} \left(\frac{j}{d_n} \right)^2 m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n = \frac{j^2}{2d_n} \prod_{j=1}^n m_j$$

Case 2: If $\prod_{j=1}^n m_j \leq 0$: This case is entirely similar to case 1.

Now, if there exists $x = (x_{\sigma}) \in B \cap L_O^j$ for $j \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\left| \prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right|}{2d_n} &\leq \frac{j^2}{2d_n} \cdot \left| \prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right| \\ &\leq f(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}} x_{\sigma}^2 + x_{\bar{\sigma}}^2 \quad [\text{Since } x = (x_{\sigma}) \in L_O^j] \\ &\leq \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{\left| \prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right|}{(2d_n)^2} \quad [\text{Since } x = (x_{\sigma}) \in B] \\ &= \frac{\left| \prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right|}{(2d_n)^2} \cdot \frac{d_n}{2} = \frac{\left| \prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right|}{8d_n} \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. So, we get that $B \cap L_O^j = \phi$ for $j \neq 0$. Hence,

$$L_O \cap B \subset L_O^0 \subset \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{\mathbb{R}}{d_n} e_{m_I}$$

Thus, $L_O \cap B$ is contained in a $2^n - 1$ dimensional \mathbb{R} vector space and hence cannot contain 2^n \mathbb{R} -linearly independent elements.

Now, let L be the lattice formed using the Minkowski embedding of the ring of integers o_K of K . Since we have $o_K \subset O_K/d_n$ from proposition 2, we see that $L \subset L_O$. Hence $L \cap C \subset L_O \cap C \subset L_O^0$ and from above, $L \cap B$ can only contain $\leq (d_n - 1)$ linearly independent elements. Thus, B cannot contain any \mathbb{Z} -basis of L .

Reference

- [1] Daniel A. Marcus. *Number fields*. Springer International Publishing, 2018.
- [2] Jürgen Neukirch. *Algebraic Number Theory*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1999.