

Number 1468

A Multiplicative Tate Spectral Sequence for Compact Lie Group Actions

Alice Hedenlund John Rognes

February 2024 • Volume 294 • Number 1468 (fifth of 5 numbers)





American Mathematical Society

Number 1468

A Multiplicative Tate Spectral Sequence for Compact Lie Group Actions

Alice Hedenlund John Rognes

February 2024 • Volume 294 • Number 1468 (fifth of 5 numbers)



Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Cataloging-in-Publication Data has been applied for by the AMS. See http://www.loc.gov/publish/cip/. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1090/memo/1468

Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society

This journal is devoted entirely to research in pure and applied mathematics.

Subscription information. Beginning in 2024, Memoirs will be published monthly through 2026. Memoirs is also accessible from www.ams.org/journals. The 2024 subscription begins with volume 293 and consists of twelve mailings, each containing one or more numbers. Individual subscription prices for 2024 are as follows. For electronic only: US\$1013. For paper delivery: US\$1,163. Add US\$22 for delivery within the United States; US\$150 for surface delivery outside the United States. Upon request, subscribers to paper delivery of this journal are also entitled to receive electronic delivery. For information on institutional pricing, please visit https://www.ams.org/publications/journals/subscriberinfo. Subscription renewals are subject to late fees. See www.ams.org/journal-faq for more journal subscription information. Each number may be ordered separately; please specify number when ordering an individual number.

Back number information. For back issues see www.ams.org/backvols.

Subscriptions and orders should be addressed to the American Mathematical Society, P.O. Box 845904, Boston, MA 02284-5904 USA. *All orders must be accompanied by payment*. Other correspondence should be addressed to 201 Charles Street, Providence, RI 02904-2213 USA.

Copying and reprinting. Individual readers of this publication, and nonprofit libraries acting for them, are permitted to make fair use of the material, such as to copy select pages for use in teaching or research. Permission is granted to quote brief passages from this publication in reviews, provided the customary acknowledgment of the source is given.

Republication, systematic copying, or multiple reproduction of any material in this publication is permitted only under license from the American Mathematical Society. Requests for permission to reuse portions of AMS publication content are handled by the Copyright Clearance Center. For more information, please visit www.ams.org/publications/pubpermissions.

Send requests for translation rights and licensed reprints to reprint-permission@ams.org.

Excluded from these provisions is material for which the author holds copyright. In such cases, requests for permission to reuse or reprint material should be addressed directly to the author(s). Copyright ownership is indicated on the copyright page, or on the lower right-hand corner of the first page of each article within proceedings volumes.

Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society (ISSN 0065-9266 (print); 1947-6221 (online)) is published bimonthly (each volume consisting usually of more than one number) by the American Mathematical Society at 201 Charles Street, Providence, RI 02904-2213 USA. Periodicals postage paid at Providence, RI. Postmaster: Send address changes to Memoirs, American Mathematical Society, 201 Charles Street, Providence, RI 02904-2213 USA.

© 2024 by the American Mathematical Society. All rights reserved.

This publication is indexed in Mathematical Reviews[®], Zentralblatt MATH, Science Citation Index[®], Science Citation IndexTM-Expanded, ISI Alerting ServicesSM, SciSearch[®], Research Alert[®], CompuMath Citation Index[®], Current Contents[®]/Physical, Chemical & Earth Sciences.

This publication is archived in *Portico* and *CLOCKSS*.

Printed in the United States of America.

The paper used in this book is acid-free and falls within the guidelines established to ensure permanence and durability. Visit the AMS home page at https://www.ams.org/

 $10 \ 9 \ 8 \ 7 \ 6 \ 5 \ 4 \ 3 \ 2 \ 1 \qquad 29 \ 28 \ 27 \ 26 \ 25 \ 24$

Contents

Chapter 1. Introduction		
Background and aim		
Main results	3	
Organisation	9	
Acknowledgments	10	
Chapter 2. Tate Cohomology for Hopf Algebras	11	
2.1. Modules over Hopf algebras	11	
2.2. Chain complexes of Γ -modules	15	
2.3. Tate complexes	17	
2.4. Complete resolutions	21	
2.5. Multiplicative structure of Tate cohomology	28	
2.6. Computation	32	
Chapter 3. Homotopy Groups of Orthogonal G-Spectra	47	
3.1. Equivariant homotopy groups	47	
3.2. A cocommutative Hopf algebra	49	
3.3. A restriction homomorphism	52	
Chapter 4. Sequences of Spectra and Spectral Sequences	55	
4.1. Cartan–Eilenberg systems	55	
4.2. Sequences	61	
4.3. Filtrations	64	
4.4. Pairings of sequences	66	
4.5. Pairings of Cartan–Eilenberg systems, I	67	
4.6. Pairings of Cartan–Eilenberg systems, II	71	
4.7. The convolution product	79	
Chapter 5. The G-Homotopy Fixed Point Spectral Sequence	85	
5.1. The filtered G -space EG	85	
5.2. G -homotopy fixed points	87	
5.3. Algebraic description of the E^1 - and E^2 -pages	90	
5.4. The odd spheres filtration	99	
Chapter 6. The <i>G</i> -Tate Spectral Sequence	101	
6.1. The filtered G -space \widetilde{EG}	101	
6.2. The <i>G</i> -Tate construction	107	
6.3. The Hesselholt–Madsen filtration	108	
6.4. Algebraic description of \hat{E}^1 and \hat{E}^2	110	
6.5. The Greenlees–May filtration	116	

6.6.	Convergence	123
6.7.	Summary: The $\mathbb{T}\text{-}\mathrm{Tate}$ spectral sequence	126
Bibliogr	aphy	131
Index		133

1	v

Abstract

Given a compact Lie group G and a commutative orthogonal ring spectrum Rsuch that $R[G]_* = \pi_*(R \wedge G_+)$ is finitely generated and projective over $\pi_*(R)$, we construct a multiplicative G-Tate spectral sequence for each R-module X in orthogonal G-spectra, with E^2 -page given by the Hopf algebra Tate cohomology of $R[G]_*$ with coefficients in $\pi_*(X)$. Under mild hypotheses, such as X being bounded below and the derived page RE^{∞} vanishing, this spectral sequence converges strongly to the homotopy $\pi_*(X^{tG})$ of the G-Tate construction $X^{tG} = [\widetilde{EG} \wedge F(EG_+, X)]^G$.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 55T25, 55P91, 16E30.

C2024 American Mathematical Society

Received by the editor October 28, 2020, and, in revised form, June 11, 2021, and July 28, 2021.

Article electronically published on February 14, 2024.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1090/memo/1468

Key words and phrases. Spectral sequences, Tate construction, Tate cohomology.

The first author is affiliated the Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, Norway. Email: alice.hedenlund@gmail.com.

The second author is affiliated with the Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1053, Blindern, NO-0316 Oslo, Norway. Email: rognes@math.uio.no.

Licensed to Univ of Rochester. Prepared on Fri Jan 17 06:12:09 EST 2025for download from IP 128.151.13.59.

CHAPTER 1

Introduction

This memoir grew out of an attempt to spell out the details for the Tate spectral sequence for the circle group T. The construction of a multiplicative Tate spectral sequence for finite groups has been around for a while now: the first construction, due to Greenlees–May, can be found in [GM95], and another one, due to Hesselholt–Madsen, which makes the multiplicative properties of the spectral sequence more transparent, can be found in [HM03]. However, while multiplicativity of the T-Tate spectral sequences has been used in computations, the authors of this memoir have found references discussing the details for how such a spectral sequence is constructed surprisingly lacking. We hope that this memoir will fill that gap in the literature.

The authors' motivation for considering the \mathbb{T} -Tate spectral sequence comes from the study of topological Hochschild homology and its refinements, such as topological cyclic homology. Given an E_1 -ring spectrum B, the topological Hochschild homology THH(B), first defined in the unpublished manuscript [**Bök85**], is a genuine \mathbb{T} -equivariant spectrum. The study of the Tate construction on this spectrum using the entire circle action goes back to [**BM94**] and [**AR02**], and was put in the spotlight by Hesselholt in [**Hes18**] under the name of periodic topological cyclic homology:

$$\operatorname{TP}(B) = \operatorname{THH}(B)^{t^{\mathrm{T}}}.$$

Recently, Bhatt–Morrow–Scholze showed that there is a tight connection between periodic topological cyclic homology and crystalline cohomology [BMS19].

Background and aim

Classically, Tate cohomology is a way to combine group homology and group cohomology into a single multiplicative cohomology theory, and was first introduced by Tate in his study of class field theory [**Tat52**]. We sketch the main ideas involved following [**CE56**, Section XII.3] and [**Bro82**]. Given a finite group G, the main observation of Tate cohomology is this: if we dualise a projective resolution of \mathbb{Z} as a trivial module over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, we end up with a 'coresolution' of \mathbb{Z} by projective $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules. This 'coresolution' $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P_*,\mathbb{Z})$ can be spliced with the original projective resolution P_* , and we so obtain a bi-infinite resolution \hat{P}_* of \mathbb{Z} called a complete resolution. Tate cohomology of G with coefficients in a G-module M is defined as

$$\hat{H}^n(G, M) = H^n(\operatorname{Hom}_G(\hat{P}_*, M)).$$

The Tate construction in the category of G-spectra can be seen as a generalisation of Tate cohomology in the context of higher algebra. Given a compact Lie group G

and orthogonal G-spectrum X, we define the G-homotopy orbits and G-homotopy fixed points of X as

$$X_{hG} = EG_+ \wedge_G X$$
 and $X^{hG} = F(EG_+, X)^G$,

respectively. Here EG denotes a free contractible G-space. These can be regarded as generalisations of group homology and group cohomology. Indeed, if G is a finite group and X = HM is the Eilenberg–Mac Lane spectrum on the G-module M, then the homotopy groups of the G-homotopy orbits and G-homotopy fixed points of HM recover group homology and group cohomology of G with coefficients in M, respectively. Following Greenlees [**Gre87**, **GM95**], we define the G-Tate construction on X as the G-fixed point spectrum

$$X^{tG} = \left(\widetilde{EG} \wedge F(EG_+, X)\right)^G$$

with respect to the diagonal G-action. Here, EG denotes the mapping cone of the collapse map $c: EG_+ \to S^0$. This is a generalisation of Tate cohomology in the sense that the homotopy groups of the Tate construction on HM for a G-module M recover the Tate cohomology groups of the finite group G with coefficients in M.

One important property of the Tate construction is that it is multiplicative in the sense that any pairing $X \wedge Y \to Z$ of orthogonal *G*-spectra gives rise to a pairing $X^{tG} \wedge Y^{tG} \longrightarrow Z^{tG}$ of their Tate constructions. This relies on the existence of *G*-maps $EG_+ \to EG_+ \wedge EG_+$ and $\widetilde{EG} \wedge \widetilde{EG} \to \widetilde{EG}$. It is well-known that the diagonal map $EG_+ \to EG_+ \wedge EG_+$ induces a pairing

$$X^{hG} \wedge Y^{hG} \longrightarrow Z^{hG},$$

making the G-homotopy fixed points construction a lax symmetric monoidal functor. The inclusion $S^0 \to \widetilde{EG}$ and the canonical identifications $S^0 \wedge \widetilde{EG} \cong \widetilde{EG} \cong \widetilde{EG} \wedge S^0$ induce a natural map

$$X^{hG} \longrightarrow X^{tG}$$

and pairings $X^{hG} \wedge Y^{tG} \to Z^{tG}$ and $X^{tG} \wedge Y^{hG} \to Z^{tG}$. There is a *G*-map $N : \widetilde{EG} \wedge \widetilde{EG} \to \widetilde{EG}$ extending the canonical identifications, and any two such extensions are homotopic. Any choice of extension then induces a pairing

$$X^{tG} \wedge Y^{tG} \longrightarrow Z^{tG}$$

compatible with the above-mentioned map and pairings.¹ In general, the extension N will only be commutative and associative up to (coherent) homotopy, so $X \mapsto X^{tG}$ is not a lax symmetric monoidal functor to the category of orthogonal spectra, but only satisfies a homotopy coherent version of this property, which could be made precise using operad actions. For our purposes it suffices to note that it is lax symmetric monoidal as a functor to the stable homotopy category.

Given an orthogonal G-spectrum X, the aim of the present memoir is to construct a G-Tate spectral sequence

$$\hat{E}_{s,t}^r(X) \Longrightarrow \pi_{s+t}(X^{tG}),$$

with an algebraically specified E^2 -page, converging, in some suitable sense, to the homotopy groups of the G-Tate construction on X. Moreover, we would like this

¹Work by Nikolaus–Scholze shows that this multiplicative structure is actually unique, in a homotopy theoretical sense; see [**NS18**, Theorem I.3.1]. This will not be important for our work, though.

spectral sequence to be multiplicative, in the sense that a pairing $X \wedge Y \to Z$ of orthogonal G-spectra should induce a pairing

$$(\hat{E}^r(X), \hat{E}^r(Y)) \longrightarrow \hat{E}^r(Z)$$

of G-Tate spectral sequences. Finally, we want the pairing of E^{∞} -pages to be compatible with the pairing

$$\pi_*(X^{tG}) \otimes \pi_*(Y^{tG}) \longrightarrow \pi_*(Z^{tG})$$

of abutments. In particular, if X is an orthogonal G-ring spectrum, then the G-Tate spectral sequence of X should be an algebra spectral sequence converging multiplicatively to $\pi_*(X^{tG})$. As already mentioned, how to construct such spectral sequences is well-known in the situation of G being a finite group. Our goal is to generalise this to higher dimensional compact Lie groups.

Main results

Let us start by describing roughly, without going into too much detail, what we will do in this memoir. We will carry out the construction of multiplicative and conditionally convergent Tate spectral sequences for compact Lie groups G such that $\mathbb{S}[G]_* = \pi_*(\mathbb{S}[G])$ is finitely generated projective as a module over $\mathbb{S}_* = \pi_*(\mathbb{S})$. Here \mathbb{S} denotes the sphere spectrum and

$$\mathbb{S}[G] = \mathbb{S} \wedge G_+$$

is the unreduced suspension spectrum of G. Under these assumptions, $\mathbb{S}[G]_*$ is a finitely generated projective and cocommutative Hopf algebra over \mathbb{S}_* , and we will show that we have access to a multiplicative G-Tate spectral sequence with E^2 -page given by the complete Ext-groups

$$\widehat{E}^2_{s,*}(X) = \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^{-s}_{\mathbb{S}[G]_*}(\mathbb{S}_*, \pi_*(X))$$

of \mathbb{S}_* over $\mathbb{S}[G]_*$ with coefficients in the $\mathbb{S}[G]_*$ -module $\pi_*(X)$. The multiplicative structure in complete Ext is given by a graded commutative and associative cup product, and this will serve as a substitute for the failure of $X \mapsto X^{tG}$ to be lax symmetric monoidal. This spectral sequence will be strongly convergent under mild hypotheses, such as for instance in the case when the derived E^{∞} -page RE^{∞} vanishes and the spectrum X is bounded below.

We note that this generality includes the case where $G = \mathbb{T}$ is the circle group, our main interest, but does not cover cases such as G = SO(3). We therefore broaden our scope by considering a commutative² 'ground' orthogonal ring spectrum R and a compact Lie group G such that $R[G]_* = \pi_*(R[G])$ is finitely generated and projective over $R_* = \pi_*(R)$, where

$$R[G] = R \wedge G_+.$$

$$EG \wedge F(EG_+, R \wedge X) \cong L \wedge_R M,$$

with $L = R \wedge \widetilde{EG}$ and $M = F(EG_+, R \wedge X)$, as a composition

$$L \wedge_R M \wedge_R L \wedge_R M \xrightarrow{(23)} L \wedge_R L \wedge_R M \wedge_R M \xrightarrow{\phi \wedge \psi} L \wedge_R M$$

²For somewhat technical reasons, it is not sufficient for us to assume that R is homotopy commutative. We analyse the product in the filtered R-module G-spectrum

for filtered products $\phi: L \wedge_R L \to L$ and $\psi: M \wedge_R M \to M$. Homotopy commutativity is not sufficient to ensure that the twist map $\tau: M \wedge_R L \to L \wedge_R M$ implicit in the definition of (23) is an *R*-*R*-bimodule map.

1. INTRODUCTION

This then includes cases such as $R = \mathbb{S}[1/2]$ and $R = H\mathbb{F}_2$, with G = SO(3). (We have not classified the pairs (R, G) for which this condition holds, but it is easy to see that if $H_*(G; Z)$ is finitely generated and free over a PID Z with a ring homomorphism to $\pi_0(R)$, and the Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence $E_{*,*}^2 = H_*(G; R_*) \Longrightarrow R[G]_*$ collapses at E^2 , then $R[G]_*$ is finitely generated and free over R_* . This includes all cases where G is topologically a product of spheres.) Given an R-module X in orthogonal G-spectra we shall construct a multiplicative G-Tate spectral sequence

$$\hat{E}^2_{s,*}(X) = \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^{-s}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, \pi_*(X)) \Longrightarrow \pi_{s+*}(X^{tG})$$

where the E^2 -page is now given as complete Ext of R_* over $R[G]_*$ with coefficients in $\pi_*(X)$. This will be strongly convergent under the same conditions as before.

Tate cohomology of Hopf algebras. In Chapter 2 we develop a theory of Tate cohomology of a finitely generated and projective Hopf algebra Γ over a (possibly graded) commutative ring k, with the aim being to algebraically describe the E^2 -page of a suitable Tate spectral sequence. Our approach will be different from the complete resolution approach, and we instead rely on the so-called Tate complex. Given a projective Γ -resolution P_* of k, we will denote the mapping cone of the augmentation map $\epsilon \colon P_* \to k$ as \tilde{P}_* . The Tate complex of a Γ -module M, first defined in [**Gre95**], is the Γ -chain complex

$$\operatorname{hm}_*(M) = P_* \otimes_k \operatorname{Hom}_k(P_*, M)$$

where Γ acts diagonally on the tensor product and by conjugation on Hom (P_*, M) . In the aforementioned paper, the author shows that in the classical case, meaning $k = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}[G]$ for a finite group G, there is a zigzag of maps

$$\widetilde{P}_* \otimes_k \operatorname{Hom}_k(P_*, M) \longrightarrow \widetilde{P}_* \otimes_k \operatorname{Hom}_k(\hat{P}_*, M) \longleftarrow \operatorname{Hom}_k(\hat{P}_*, M)$$

which become quasi-isomorphisms after taking G-invariants. The conclusion is that Tate cohomology can also be computed as the (co)homology groups of the Ginvariants of the Tate complex. Recall that \hat{P}_* denoted a complete resolution. We show that a similar result holds true in our setting: under the assumption that Γ is a finitely generated and projective Hopf algebra over k, the homology of the Γ invariants of hm_{*}(M), which we can reasonably refer to as the Tate cohomology of Γ with coefficients in M, is isomorphic to the complete Ext of k over Γ with coefficients in M.

THEOREM 1.1. If Γ is a finitely generated projective and cocommutative Hopf algebra over k, then

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{n}(k, M) \cong H_{-n}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, \operatorname{hm}_{*}(M))).$$

The above result, which in the text corresponds to Theorem 2.28 and Remark 2.29, relies crucially on a result by Pareigis which exhibits the k-dual of a Hopf algebra Γ as an induced Γ -module.

THEOREM 1.2 (Pareigis). Let Γ be a finitely generated projective Hopf algebra over k. Then there is an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_k(\Gamma, k) \cong \operatorname{Ind}_k^{\Gamma} P(\operatorname{Hom}_k(\Gamma, k))$$

MAIN RESULTS

of right Γ -modules, where $P(\operatorname{Hom}_k(\Gamma, k))$ is a finitely generated projective k-module of constant rank 1, given as the primitives for the right Γ -coaction on $\operatorname{Hom}_k(\Gamma, k)$.

Our main reason for working primarily with Tate complexes, as opposed to complete resolutions, has to do with multiplicative structures. Recall that the cup product

$$: \operatorname{Ext}^*_{\Gamma}(k, M) \otimes_k \operatorname{Ext}^*_{\Gamma}(k, N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^*_{\Gamma}(k, M \otimes_k N)$$

relies on the existence of a Γ -linear chain map $\Psi: P_* \to P_* \otimes_k P_*$ covering the identity map id : $k \to k \otimes_k k$. Such a chain map exists and is unique up to chain homotopy, by elementary homological algebra. One can extend this cup product to a product on Hopf algebra Tate cohomology by the existence of a Γ -linear chain map $\Phi: \tilde{P}_* \otimes_k \tilde{P}_* \to \tilde{P}_*$ extending the fold map $\tilde{P}_* \oplus_k \tilde{P}_* \to \tilde{P}_*$. For Γ -modules M and N the composite pairing

$$P_* \otimes_k \operatorname{Hom}_k(P_*, M) \otimes_k P_* \otimes_k \operatorname{Hom}_k(P_*, N)$$

$$\stackrel{1 \otimes \tau \otimes 1}{\longrightarrow} \widetilde{P}_* \otimes_k \widetilde{P}_* \otimes_k \operatorname{Hom}_k(P_*, M) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_k(P_*, N)$$

$$\stackrel{1 \otimes 1 \otimes \alpha}{\longrightarrow} \widetilde{P}_* \otimes_k \widetilde{P}_* \otimes_k \operatorname{Hom}_k(P_* \otimes_k P_*, M \otimes_k N)$$

$$\stackrel{\Phi \otimes \Psi^*}{\longrightarrow} \widetilde{P}_* \otimes_k \operatorname{Hom}_k(P_*, M \otimes_k N)$$

is Γ -linear, and it induces an associative, unital, and graded commutative pairing

$$\smile : \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^*_{\Gamma}(k,M) \otimes_k \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^*_{\Gamma}(k,N) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^*_{\Gamma}(k,M \otimes_k N)$$

after passing to homology, which we refer to as the cup product on Tate cohomology. This extends the cup product on ordinary Ext, in a suitable sense. See Proposition 2.34.

Finally, in Section 2.6, we do a full computation of the Tate cohomology, together with the cup product, of the Hopf algebra

$$\Gamma = k[s]/(s^2 = \eta s), \quad |s| = 1,$$

where s is a primitive element and k is a graded commutative ring with an element η in internal degree 1 satisfying $2\eta = 0$. This has relevance in the situation $G = \mathbb{T}$, which is our main case of interest. Indeed, we have

$$\pi_*(\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]) \cong \pi_*(\mathbb{S})[s]/(s^2 = \eta s)$$

where η is the image of the complex Hopf map in $\pi_1(\mathbb{S}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. See Proposition 3.3. The conclusion of the computation is the following theorem, which in the text is Theorem 2.54 and Remark 2.56.

THEOREM 1.3. Tate cohomology of $\Gamma = k[s]/(s^2 = \eta s)$ with coefficients in the Γ -module M is isomorphic to the homology of the differential graded Γ -module

$$M[t, t^{-1}]$$

with differential

$$d(m) = tms$$
 and $d(t) = t^2\eta$,

where m is an element of M and t has homological degree -1, internal degree |t| = -1 and total degree ||t|| = -2. If $\mu: M \otimes N \to L$ is a pairing of Γ -modules, then the cup product

$$\smile : \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c_1}(k,M) \otimes \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c_2}(k,N) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c_1+c_2}(k,M \otimes N) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c_1+c_2}(k,L)$$

is precisely the one induced by the obvious pairing

$$M[t,t^{-1}] \otimes N[t,t^{-1}] \longrightarrow L[t,t^{-1}]$$

on homology.

Sequences of spectra and spectral sequences. The main difficulty of the memoir lies in verifying that there is a construction of the Tate spectral sequence that is multiplicative. To deal with multiplicative structures on spectral sequences we have decided to employ Cartan–Eilenberg systems. These are mathematical gadgets, first introduced in [CE56], which determine a spectral sequence. For us, the advantage is that there is a useful notion of pairings of Cartan–Eilenberg systems, and that one can prove that a pairing of Cartan–Eilenberg systems gives rise to a pairing of the associated spectral sequences. Our contribution is a detailed and explicit proof that a pairing of sequences of orthogonal G-spectra gives rise to a pairing of Cartan–Eilenberg systems. Here, sequence simply means a sequential diagram

$$\cdots \longrightarrow X_{i-1} \longrightarrow X_i \longrightarrow X_{i+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

of maps of orthogonal G-spectra, and pairing $\phi: (X_{\star}, Y_{\star}) \to Z_{\star}$ refers to a collection of G-maps

$$\phi_{i,j} \colon X_i \wedge Y_j \longrightarrow Z_{i+j}$$

for all integers i and j, making the squares

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X_{i-1} \wedge Y_j & \xrightarrow{\phi_{i-1,j}} & Z_{i+j-1} & \xleftarrow{\phi_{i,j-1}} & X_i \wedge Y_{j-1} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & X_i \wedge Y_j & \xrightarrow{\phi_{i,j}} & Z_{i+j} & \xleftarrow{\phi_{i,j}} & X_i \wedge Y_j \end{array}$$

commute strictly. It is well-known that a sequence of orthogonal G-spectra gives rise to an unrolled exact couple on equivariant homotopy groups, which in turn gives rise to a spectral sequence. That a pairing of sequences gives rise of a pairing of the corresponding spectral sequences can also reasonably be regarded as folklore, but as the authors feel that an explicit reference for this is not available at the time of writing, we have decided to give a complete proof of this fact.

For homotopical control in the proofs, some sort of 'cofibrant replacement' of the sequence X_{\star} is needed. In this memoir we have chosen to use the classical telescope construction to deal with these sorts of issues. See Section 4.3. Our main reason for this is that these 'cofibrant replacements' behave well with respect to monoidal properties. This allows us to always approximate a sequence X_{\star} with an equivalent sequence $T_{\star}(X)$ in a way that will make our analysis of multiplicative structures more manageable.

The main result of Chapter 4 of the memoir is the following, which in the text corresponds to Theorem 4.27.

THEOREM 1.4. A pairing $\phi: (X_{\star}, Y_{\star}) \to Z_{\star}$ of sequences of orthogonal Gspectra gives rise to a pairing $\phi: (E^*(X_{\star}), E^*(Y_{\star})) \to E^*(Z_{\star})$. Explicitly, we have access to a collection of homomorphisms

$$\phi^r \colon E^r(X_\star) \otimes E^r(Y_\star) \longrightarrow E^r(Z_\star)$$

for all $r \geq 1$, such that:

(1) The Leibniz rule

$$d^r \phi^r = \phi^r (d^r \otimes 1) + \phi^r (1 \otimes d^r)$$

holds as an equality of homomorphisms $E_i^r(X_\star) \otimes E_j^r(Y_\star) \longrightarrow E_{i+j-r}^r(Z_\star)$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \geq 1$.

(2) The diagram

commutes for all $r \geq 1$.

Moreover, the induced pairing ϕ_* on filtered abutments is compatible with the pairing ϕ^{∞} of E^{∞} -pages in the sense of Proposition 4.12. Explicitly, the diagram

commutes, for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here the abutments are given as

$$A_{\infty}(X_{\star}) \cong \pi_{\star}^{G} \operatorname{Tel}(X_{\star})$$
$$A_{\infty}(Y_{\star}) \cong \pi_{\star}^{G} \operatorname{Tel}(Y_{\star})$$
$$A_{\infty}(Z_{\star}) \cong \pi_{\star}^{G} \operatorname{Tel}(Z_{\star})$$

with filtrations by the images

$$F_i A_{\infty}(X_{\star}) = \operatorname{im}(\pi^G_{\star}(X_i) \longrightarrow A_{\infty}(X_{\star}))$$

$$F_j A_{\infty}(Y_{\star}) = \operatorname{im}(\pi^G_{\star}(Y_j) \longrightarrow A_{\infty}(Y_{\star}))$$

$$F_k A_{\infty}(Z_{\star}) = \operatorname{im}(\pi^G_{\star}(Z_k) \longrightarrow A_{\infty}(Z_{\star})),$$

respectively.

The G-Tate spectral sequence. Given an R-module X in orthogonal G-spectra, there are a number of ways of constructing Tate spectral sequences additively; as mentioned, the difficulty lies in establishing multiplicative properties of the constructions. The standard way of constructing a Tate spectral sequence seems to be by filtering the Tate construction

$$X^{tG} = \left(\widetilde{EG} \wedge F(EG_+, X)\right)^G \simeq \left((R \wedge \widetilde{EG}) \wedge_R F_R(R \wedge EG_+, X) \right)^G$$

by filtering \widehat{EG} , in some suitable sense, dualising this filtration, and splicing, in analogy with the construction of complete resolutions by dualising and splicing projective resolutions. This is far from ideal if one aims to prove any multiplicative properties of the Tate spectral sequence. We will instead prove multiplicativity of the Tate spectral sequence using a construction along the lines of [**HM03**]. In this construction, we filter $F(EG_+, X)$ and \widehat{EG} separately, and totalise to get a filtration on the Tate construction. In the key case R = S and G = T, essentially the same construction was considered by Blumberg and Mandell in their preprint [BM17, Section 3]. See Remark 6.48.

In more detail, we proceed as follows in Chapter 6. We start by giving the free G-space EG the simplicial skeletal filtration $F_{\star}EG$ coming from the construction of EG using the simplicial bar construction. This induces a filtration

$$E_{\star} = R \wedge F_{\star} E G_{+}$$

on $R \wedge EG_+$, which in turn induces a filtration

$$M_{\star}(X) = F_R(E/E_{-\star-1}, X)$$

on $F_R(R \wedge EG_+, X)$, and a filtration

$$\tilde{E}_{\star} = \operatorname{cone}(E_{\star-1} \longrightarrow R)$$

on $R \wedge \widetilde{EG}$. The convolution filtration

$$HM_{\star}(X) = (\widetilde{E} \wedge_R T(M(X)))_{\star} = \operatorname{colim}_{i+j \leq \star} \widetilde{E}_i \wedge_R T(M(X))_j$$

is referred to as the Hesselholt-Madsen filtration. For homotopical control we have 'cofibrantly replaced' the filtration $M_{\star}(X)$ with its telescopic approximation $T_{\star}(M(X))$. Under our projectivity assumptions, we show that the E^1 -page of the spectral sequence arising from the Hesselholt-Madsen filtration is given by

$$\tilde{E}^1_{c,*} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, \operatorname{hm}_c(\pi_*(X))),$$

so that the E^2 -page is given as the Hopf algebra Tate cohomology groups

$$\hat{E}_{c,*}^2 \cong \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{R[G]_*}^{-c}(R_*, \pi_*(X)),$$

as defined in Chapter 2. See Proposition 6.16 and Theorem 6.17. We note that the Hesselholt–Madsen G-Tate spectral sequence is not obviously conditionally convergent, so for convergence issues we need to do some additional work. (In the key case $R = \mathbb{S}$, $G = \mathbb{T}$, Blumberg and Mandell establish conditional convergence in [**BM17**, Lemma 3.16].)

The existence of a multiplicative structure on the Hesselholt–Madsen G-Tate spectral sequence relies on the existence of filtration-preserving maps

$$EG_+ \longrightarrow EG_+ \wedge EG_+$$
 and $\widetilde{EG} \wedge \widetilde{EG} \longrightarrow \widetilde{EG}$.

The first is known to exist, and we prove by obstruction theory that the second one exists under the assumption that $R[G]_*$ is projective over R_* . See Proposition 6.9. This guarantees that a pairing $X \wedge_R Y \to Z$ of *R*-modules in orthogonal spectra induces a pairing

$$(HM_{\star}(X), HM_{\star}(Y)) \longrightarrow HM_{\star}(Z)$$

of the corresponding Hesselholt–Madsen filtrations. The work done in Chapter 4 then guarantees that the G-Tate spectral sequence constructed from the Hesselholt–Madsen filtration has a multiplicative structure. Moreover, we show that the multiplicative structure on the E^2 -page agrees with the one given by cup product on Tate cohomology. See Theorem 6.18 and Theorem 6.21.

To settle questions about convergence we compare the Hesselholt–Madsen filtration to another possible filtration of the Tate construction. The filtration we are referring to is the filtration $GM_{\star}(X)$ given in each degree as

$$GM_k(X) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{E}_k \wedge_R T_0(M(X)) & \text{for } k \ge 0, \\ \widetilde{E}_0 \wedge_R T_k(M(X)) & \text{for } k \le 0. \end{cases}$$

Here, the structure maps $GM_{k-1}(X) \to GM_k(X)$ for $k \geq 1$ are induced by the maps $\widetilde{E}_{k-1} \to \widetilde{E}_k$ in the filtration \widetilde{E}_{\star} , while the maps for $k \leq 0$ are those of $T_{\star}(M(X))$. This filtration is referred to as the *Greenlees-May filtration*. It is straight-forward to show that the spectral sequence arising from the Greenlees-May filtration is conditionally convergent; see Lemma 6.37. Moreover, in Lemma 6.25 we show that there is a map of filtrations

$$\alpha \colon GM_{\star}(X) \longrightarrow HM_{\star}(X),$$

which induces an isomorphism of spectral sequences from the E^2 -page and on. See Proposition 6.31. We can then deduce convergence results for the Hesselholt– Madsen *G*-Tate spectral sequence in certain favourable situations, such as in the case when the spectrum X is bounded below and the derived E^{∞} -page RE^{∞} vanishes. In particular, we have the following result, which in the text corresponds to Theorem 6.43.

THEOREM 1.5. If the Greenlees–May G-Tate spectral sequence for X is strongly convergent, then so is the Hesselholt–Madsen G-Tate spectral sequence for X.

Organisation

Let us discuss the various chapters contained in this memoir, and how they relate to one another.

- **Chapter 2:** In this chapter we develop a theory of Tate cohomology for finitely generated projective Hopf algebras, with a view toward being able to satisfactorily describe the E^2 -page of a *G*-Tate spectral sequence for compact Lie groups.
- **Chapter 3:** In this chapter we do a quick review of orthogonal G-spectra. Most of this chapter can be regarded as well-known to people working in genuine equivariant stable homotopy theory. However, we want to highlight Proposition 3.6, for which we have not found a reference, and which will be important in later parts of the memoir.
- **Chapter 4:** In this chapter we discuss sequences of orthogonal *G*-spectra, Cartan–Eilenberg systems, and spectral sequences, with a special focus on multiplicative structures. This chapter may well be read separately from the rest of the memoir, possibly in addition to Section 3.1, which contains a quick recap on orthogonal *G*-spectra. We hope it can be of use as a reference for multiplicative structures on spectral sequences coming from sequences of spectra.
- **Chapter 5:** In this chapter we discuss the *G*-homotopy fixed point spectral sequence for an orthogonal *G*-spectrum. This is meant as a warm-up to the *G*-Tate spectral sequence, but can absolutely be read in its own right.
- **Chapter 6:** In this chapter we discuss various constructions of the G-Tate spectral sequence of an orthogonal G-spectrum. The reader who only cares for the \mathbb{T} -Tate spectral sequence will find a summary of the relevant results at the very end of the memoir, in Section 6.7.

1. INTRODUCTION

Acknowledgments

We thank the anonymous referee for constructive comments on an earlier version of this memoir.

CHAPTER 2

Tate Cohomology for Hopf Algebras

The algebraic objects that we are led to work with when constructing the Tate spectral sequence are Hopf algebras and chain complexes of modules over these. The topological context we will discuss later in the memoir allows for Hopf algebras over fairly complicated rings, which forces us to work in the generality of Hopf algebras over arbitrary, possibly graded, commutative rings. We give a brief account of this in Section 2.1 and Section 2.2, referring e.g. to [ML95, Chapter VI] for a fuller discussion. We go on to give a suitable definition of Tate cohomology of Hopf algebras via the so-called Tate complex in Section 2.3. In Section 2.4 we relate this definition to the ordinary definition of Tate cohomology in terms of complete resolutions. In particular, we show in Theorem 2.28 that our definition agrees with what is traditionally referred to as Tate cohomology or complete Ext, in the case when our Hopf algebra Γ is finitely generated and projective over its base ring k. The crucial point that allows us to do this is a result of Pareigis, which in particular forces the k-dual of Γ to be finitely generated and projective over Γ , under the same hypotheses. We discuss the multiplicative structure of Tate cohomology in Section 2.5, and finish with an explicit computation in Section 2.6.

2.1. Modules over Hopf algebras

Let k be a graded commutative ring, where we mean commutative in the graded sense. All unlabelled tensors and homs are to be taken over k. We denote the closed symmetric monoidal category of right k-modules by Mod(k). Note that such modules are implicitly graded, and that morphisms of such modules, which we will refer to as k-linear homomorphisms, are degree-preserving.

DEFINITION 2.1. A Hopf algebra Γ over k is a k-module equipped with five klinear homomorphisms: multiplication $\phi \colon \Gamma \otimes \Gamma \to \Gamma$, comultiplication $\psi \colon \Gamma \to \Gamma \otimes \Gamma$, unit $\eta \colon k \to \Gamma$, counit $\epsilon \colon \Gamma \to k$, and antipode $\chi \colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$. These are subject to the following conditions:

- (1) Multiplication and unit provide Γ with the structure of a k-algebra.
- (2) Comultiplication and counit provide Γ with the structure of a k-coalgebra.
- (3) Comultiplication and counit are k-algebra morphisms, or equivalently, multiplication and unit are k-coalgebra morphisms.
- (4) The antipode satisfies the formulae $\phi(1 \otimes \chi)\psi = \eta\epsilon = \phi(\chi \otimes 1)\psi$.

We say that a Hopf algebra is *cocommutative* if the comultiplication satisfies $\tau \psi = \psi$, where τ denotes the twist in Mod(k). We are going to assume that all Hopf algebras we work with are cocommutative in this memoir. A module over a Hopf algebra is just a module over the underlying k-algebra. For a right Γ -module M we denote the right action by $\rho_M \colon M \otimes \Gamma \to M$. We denote the category of right Γ -modules by $\operatorname{Mod}(\Gamma)$. This is a closed symmetric¹ monoidal category if we endow the category with the tensor products and internal homs over k together with appropriate Γ -actions on these objects. Here let M, N, and Lbe Γ -modules. The tensor product $M \otimes N$ is endowed with the diagonal Γ -action. This is the composition

$$M\otimes N\otimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{1\otimes 1\otimes \psi} M\otimes N\otimes \Gamma\otimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{1\otimes \tau\otimes 1} M\otimes \Gamma\otimes N\otimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{\rho_M\otimes \rho_N} M\otimes N \ .$$

The unit of the tensor product is k regarded as a trivial Γ -module via the counit:

$$k \otimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \epsilon} k \otimes k = k \; .$$

The internal Hom $\operatorname{Hom}(N, L)$ becomes a Γ -module by giving it the conjugate Γ action. This is the Γ -action that needs to be on the internal Hom to make sure that $\operatorname{Hom}(N, -)$ is right adjoint to $(-) \otimes N \colon \operatorname{Mod}(\Gamma) \to \operatorname{Mod}(\Gamma)$. In other words, the characterising feature of the conjugate Γ -action is that it is the Γ -action on $\operatorname{Hom}(N, L)$ that makes the counit $\operatorname{Hom}(N, L) \otimes N \to L$ and the unit $M \to$ $\operatorname{Hom}(M \otimes N, N)$ into Γ -linear maps. Explicitly, the conjugate action is adjoint to the composition

$$\operatorname{Hom}(N,L) \otimes \Gamma \otimes N \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \tau} \operatorname{Hom}(N,L) \otimes N \otimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{1 \otimes 1 \otimes \psi} \operatorname{Hom}(N,L) \otimes N \otimes \Gamma \otimes \Gamma$$
$$\xrightarrow{1 \otimes 1 \otimes \chi \otimes 1} \operatorname{Hom}(N,L) \otimes N \otimes \Gamma \otimes \Gamma$$
$$\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \rho_N \otimes 1} \operatorname{Hom}(N,L) \otimes N \otimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ev} \otimes 1} L \otimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{\rho_L} L.$$

These actions on tensor and hom-objects ensure that the forgetful functor

 $U \colon \operatorname{Mod}(\Gamma) \to \operatorname{Mod}(k)$

is strict closed monoidal.

LEMMA 2.2. Let M and N be Γ -modules, where we assume that M is projective over Γ and N is projective over k. Then $M \otimes N$ is projective over Γ .

PROOF. By the tensor-hom adjunction we have a natural isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(M \otimes N, -) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(M, \operatorname{Hom}(N, -))$$

of functors. Since N is projective over k the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(N, -)$ is exact, and since M is projective over Γ the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(M, -)$ is exact. The left hand functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(M \otimes N, -)$ is then also exact, being naturally isomorphic to the composition of two exact functors. This is equivalent to the assertion that $M \otimes N$ is projective over Γ .

The forgetful functor U admits a left adjoint

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{k}^{\Gamma} \colon \operatorname{Mod}(k) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(\Gamma),$$

which we refer to as *induction*. This functor sends a k-module C to $C \otimes \Gamma$ with the Γ -action given by

$$C\otimes\Gamma\otimes\Gamma\xrightarrow{1\otimes\phi}C\otimes\Gamma.$$

¹Symmetry uses that Γ is cocommutative.

The forgetful functor U also admits a right adjoint

$$\operatorname{Coind}_k^{\Gamma} \colon \operatorname{Mod}(k) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(\Gamma),$$

which is referred to as *coinduction*. This functor sends a k-module C to $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, C)$ with Γ -action given as the adjoint of

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, C) \otimes \Gamma \otimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \tau} \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, C) \otimes \Gamma \otimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{1 \otimes 1 \otimes \chi} \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, C) \otimes \Gamma \otimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \phi} \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, C) \otimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ev}} C.$$

The fact that the forgetful functor is strict monoidal makes sure that induction and coinduction interact with the forgetful functor in various useful ways. In [LMSM86, Section 2.4] the following formulae, in the context of equivariant stable homotopy theory, are called *untwisting isomorphisms*, and we will refer to them as such also in this memoir.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let M be a Γ -module and let C be a k-module. There are natural Γ -module isomorphisms:

(1)

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(C \otimes U(M)) \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(C) \otimes M$$

(2)

$$\operatorname{Hom}(M, \operatorname{Coind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(C)) \cong \operatorname{Coind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(\operatorname{Hom}(U(M), C))$$

(3)

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Ind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(C), M) \cong \operatorname{Coind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(\operatorname{Hom}(C, U(M))).$$

PROOF. The result follows formally from the Yoneda lemma together with the fact that $Mod(\Gamma) \rightarrow Mod(k)$ is strict closed monoidal. We show the first isomorphism and leave the others for the reader, as they are proven in a similar manner.

Consider the functor corepresented by $\operatorname{Ind}_k^{\Gamma}(C \otimes U(M))$. By adjunctions we have natural isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\operatorname{Ind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(C \otimes U(M)), -) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(C \otimes U(M), U(-))$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}(C, \operatorname{Hom}(U(M), U(-))).$$

Since the forgetful functor is strict closed monoidal we have the identity

$$\operatorname{Hom}(C, \operatorname{Hom}(U(M), U(-))) = \operatorname{Hom}(C, U(\operatorname{Hom}(M, -)))$$

and by adjunctions again

$$\operatorname{Hom}(C, U(\operatorname{Hom}(M, -))) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\operatorname{Ind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(C), \operatorname{Hom}(M, -))$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\operatorname{Ind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(C) \otimes M, -).$$

The Yoneda lemma now asserts that we have a natural isomorphism, as wanted. \Box

COROLLARY 2.4. Let M be a Γ -module. There are natural isomorphisms

 $\operatorname{Ind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(U(M)) \cong \Gamma \otimes M \quad and \quad \operatorname{Coind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(U(M)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, M)$

where the Γ -actions on the right hand sides are the ordinary diagonal and conjugate actions, respectively.

PROOF. Use that $\Gamma = \operatorname{Ind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(k)$.

We will also deal a lot with functional duals of modules over Hopf algebras, so let us now recall this story.

DEFINITION 2.5. For each Γ -module M let

$$DM = \operatorname{Hom}(M, k)$$

be its *functional dual*. This is a Γ -module by using the usual conjugate Γ -action.

Note that the evaluation pairing ev: ${\rm Hom}(N,L)\otimes N\to L$ gives rise to a natural $\Gamma\text{-linear pairing}$

$$\alpha \colon \operatorname{Hom}(N,L) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(N',L') \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(N \otimes N',L \otimes L')$$

adjoint to the composition

$$\operatorname{Hom}(N,L) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(N',L') \otimes N \otimes N' \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \tau \otimes 1} \operatorname{Hom}(N,L) \otimes N \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(N',L') \otimes N' \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ev} \otimes \operatorname{ev}} L \otimes L'.$$

In the case N' = L = k this specialises to a natural Γ -linear homomorphism

$$\nu \colon DN \otimes L' \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(N, L').$$

This map is an isomorphism when N is finitely generated and projective over k.

So far we have only discussed Γ -modules, but we can also talk about (right) comodules over Γ , by which we mean comodules over the underlying coalgebra structure of Γ . If Γ is finitely generated projective over k then we can endow its functional dual $D\Gamma$ with such a Γ -coaction. Moreover, this Γ -coaction is compatible with the Γ -action in a suitable way. See [**Par71**, Prop. 2]. This allows us to conclude the following.

THEOREM 2.6 ([**Par71**, Lem. 2, Prop. 3]). Let Γ be a finitely generated projective Hopf algebra over k. Then there is an isomorphism

$$D\Gamma \cong \operatorname{Ind}_k^{\Gamma} P(D\Gamma)$$

of right Γ -modules, where $P(D\Gamma)$ is a finitely generated projective k-module of constant rank 1, given as the primitives for the right Γ -coaction on $D\Gamma$.

Note in particular that a direct consequence of Γ being finitely generated and projective over k is that $D\Gamma$ is itself finitely generated and projective over Γ . This result will be crucial in our treatment of Tate cohomology of Hopf algebras. For now, let us simply note that the result implies that we have a 'Wirthmüller isomorphism'.

COROLLARY 2.7. Let Γ be a finitely generated and projective Hopf algebra over k and let C be a k-module. There is a natural isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(P(D\Gamma)\otimes C)\cong\operatorname{Coind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(C)$$

of Γ -modules.

PROOF. We can assume that C is obtained from a Γ -module M by forgetting the Γ -action, as in C = UM. By the first untwisting isomorphism of Proposition 2.3 we have

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(P(D\Gamma) \otimes U(M)) \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(P(D\Gamma)) \otimes M.$$

By Pareigis' result it follows that

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(P(D\Gamma)) \otimes M \cong D\Gamma \otimes M$$

Finally, by Γ being finitely generated projective over k and untwisting, more specifically Corollary 2.4, we have

$$D\Gamma \otimes M \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, M) \cong \operatorname{Coind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(U(M)).$$

Since $P(D\Gamma)$ is tensor-invertible over k the above tells us that induced modules are the same things as coinduced modules. We also note that that duals of finitely generated projectives over Γ are themselves finitely generated projective over Γ .

COROLLARY 2.8. Let Γ be a finitely generated projective Hopf algebra over k and let M be a finitely generated projective Γ -module. Then its dual DM is also finitely generated projective over Γ .

PROOF. Since M is finitely generated, we can find a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(r) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} \Sigma^{n_i} \Gamma \xrightarrow{r} M \longrightarrow 0$$

of Γ -modules, where I is a finite indexing set. Since M is projective, this short exact sequence splits, so that we can find a Γ -linear map $u: M \to \bigoplus_{i \in I} \Sigma^{n_i} \Gamma$ such that $ru = \mathrm{id}_M$. Consider the k-dual picture. Applying $\mathrm{Hom}(-, k)$ gives us a long exact sequence of Γ -modules:

$$\cdots \longleftarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{k}^{1}(M,k) \longleftarrow D \operatorname{ker}(r) \longleftarrow D \left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} \Sigma^{n_{i}} \Gamma \right) \xleftarrow{r^{*}} DM \longleftarrow 0.$$

Since M is projective over Γ , which is in turn projective over k, it follows that M is projective over k, from which we conclude that $\operatorname{Ext}_k^1(M,k) \cong 0$. We are left with a short exact sequence, which is also split since $u^*r^* = \operatorname{id}_{DM}$. We conclude that DMis finitely generated projective over Γ since it is a retract of the finitely generated projective Γ -module

$$D\left(\bigoplus_{i\in I}\Sigma^{n_i}\Gamma\right) \cong \bigoplus_{i\in I}\Sigma^{-n_i}D\Gamma \cong \bigoplus_{i\in I}\Sigma^{-n_i}\mathrm{Ind}_k^{\Gamma}P(D\Gamma).$$

2.2. Chain complexes of Γ -modules

In this section we give the conventions for chain complexes of Γ -modules. A lot is standard: the category $\operatorname{Mod}(\Gamma)$ is an abelian category and what we mean by chain complexes of Γ -modules is nothing more than the ordinary category of chain complexes in this abelian category. However, we want to make a point of clarifying certain subtle points, especially related to grading and signs.

DEFINITION 2.9. A chain complex X_* of Γ -modules is a family $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of Γ modules together with morphisms of Γ -modules $\partial \colon X_n \to X_{n-1}$, called *boundaries*, such that $\partial^2 = 0$. A chain map $f \colon X_* \to Y_*$ is a family of Γ -module homomorphisms $f_n \colon X_n \to Y_n$ that commute with the boundaries.

The Γ -module X_n in the chain complex is of course implicitly graded:

$$X_n = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} X_{n,\ell},$$

and if we want to emphasize the bigrading we will write $X_{*,*}$ for the complex. We remark on the following point: as the boundaries $\partial: X_n \to X_{n-1}$ are morphisms of Γ -modules, they preserve the Γ -module grading in the sense that they are given as a direct sum of maps $\partial: X_{n,\ell} \to X_{n-1,\ell}$. We use the following terminology for the different degrees.

DEFINITION 2.10. Let X_* be a chain complex of Γ -modules. If x is an element in $X_{n,\ell}$ we say that x has homological degree n, internal degree $|x| = \ell$, and total degree $||x|| = n + \ell$.

The category of chain complexes of Γ -modules is closed symmetric monoidal. If X_* , Y_* , and Z_* are chain complexes of Γ -modules then the tensor product $X_* \otimes Y_*$ of the complexes X_* and Y_* is (either the evident bicomplex or) the complex given in degree n as

$$(X \otimes Y)_n = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} X_i \otimes Y_j, \quad \partial(x \otimes y) = \partial(x) \otimes y + (-1)^{||x||} x \otimes \partial(y).$$

The unit for the tensor product is k concentrated in homological degree 0. Note that the twist isomorphism is given as

$$\tau \colon X_* \otimes Y_* \longrightarrow Y_* \otimes X_*, \quad x \otimes y \mapsto (-1)^{\|x\| \|y\|} y \otimes x.$$

The Hom complex $\text{Hom}(Y_*, Z_*)$ of Y_* and Z_* is (either the evident bicomplex or) the complex given in degree n as

$$\operatorname{Hom}(Y,Z)_n = \prod_{i+j=n} \operatorname{Hom}(Y_{-i},Z_j), \quad (\partial f)(x) = \partial(f(x)) - (-1)^{\|f\|} f(\partial(x)).$$

We will in particular be interested in the case when Z_* is a Γ -module M, regarded as a chain complex concentrated in homological degree 0. In this case, we will often denote the differential in the resulting function complex as $\partial^* = \text{Hom}(\partial, 1)$. Explicitly, we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}(Y,M)_n = \operatorname{Hom}(Y_{-n},M), \quad (\partial^* f)(x) = -(-1)^{\|f\|} f(\partial(x)).$$

As before, the evaluation pairing ev: $\operatorname{Hom}(Y_*, Z_*) \otimes Y_* \to Z_*$ gives rise to a natural Γ -chain map

$$\alpha \colon \operatorname{Hom}(Y_*, Z_*) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(Y'_*, Z'_*) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(Y_* \otimes Y'_*, Z_* \otimes Z'_*)$$

adjoint to the composition

$$\operatorname{Hom}(Y_*, Z_*) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(Y'_*, Z'_*) \otimes Y_* \otimes Y'_* \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \tau \otimes 1} \operatorname{Hom}(Y_*, Z_*) \otimes Y_* \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(Y'_*, Z'_*) \otimes Y'_* \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ev} \otimes \operatorname{ev}} Z_* \otimes Z'_*.$$

Note that this introduces a sign in the formula for α coming from the twist τ . Explicitly,

(2.1)
$$\alpha(f \otimes g)(x \otimes y) = (-1)^{\|g\|\|x\|} f(x) \otimes g(y).$$

We now turn to suspensions and mapping cones. These are determined by specifying a 'circle chain complex' and an 'interval chain complex'. NOTATION 2.11. The interval object is the chain complex I_* given as

$$0 \longrightarrow k\{i_1\} \stackrel{\partial}{\longrightarrow} k\{i_0\} \longrightarrow 0, \quad \partial(i_1) = i_0.$$

Both of the generators i_0 and i_1 are regarded as having internal degree 0 and the subscripts indicate the homological degrees.

NOTATION 2.12. The circle object is the chain complex C_* given as

$$0 \longrightarrow k\{c_1\} \longrightarrow 0,$$

again with c_1 regarded as having internal degree 0 and with the subscript indicating the homological degree.

The convention we will use in this memoir is that chain complexes are suspended on the left. In more precise terms, the suspension of a chain complex X_* is the chain complex $X[1]_* = C_* \otimes X_*$. From the definition of the symmetric monoidal structure and the appropriate identifications we get

$$X[1]_n \cong X_{n-1}, \quad \partial_{X[1]}(x) = -\partial_X(x).$$

DEFINITION 2.13. The mapping cone of a chain map $f: X_* \to Y_*$ is the chain complex cone $(f)_*$ given as the pushout in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} X_* \xrightarrow{f} Y_* \\ \downarrow \\ i_0 \downarrow \\ I_* \otimes X_* \longrightarrow \operatorname{cone}(f)_* \end{array}$$

where $i_0(x) = i_0 \otimes x$.

Explicitly, we have

$$\operatorname{cone}(f)_n \cong X_{n-1} \oplus Y_n, \quad \partial(x,y) = (-\partial(x), \partial(y) + f(x)).$$

We have a short exact sequence of chain complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow Y_* \longrightarrow \operatorname{cone}(f)_* \longrightarrow X[1]_* \longrightarrow 0$$

where the first map is $y \mapsto (0, y)$ and the second one is $(x, y) \mapsto x$. We leave it to the reader to convince themself that these are indeed chain maps.

2.3. Tate complexes

Consider a projective Γ -resolution $\epsilon \colon P_* \to k$ of the trivial Γ -module k. We will denote the mapping cone of the map ϵ as \tilde{P}_* . With the conventions from before we hence have

$$\widetilde{P}_n \cong \begin{cases} k & \text{if } n = 0 \\ P_{n-1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with boundary $\tilde{\partial} \colon \tilde{P}_n \to \tilde{P}_{n-1}$ given as

$$\tilde{\partial}(x) = \begin{cases} -\partial(x) & \text{if } n \ge 2\\ \epsilon(x) & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let us use the notation $i: k \to \widetilde{P}_*$ for the inclusion. We now define the so-called Tate complex.

DEFINITION 2.14. For each Γ -module M let

$$\operatorname{hm}_*(M) = \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M)$$

be the *Tate complex* of [Gre95, §3].

Complexes of this type arise from a filtration of the Tate construction on a G-spectrum that we call the Hesselholt–Madsen filtration, which is adapted from [**HM03**], and this explains the notation 'hm'. See Section 6.3. Explicitly, the Tate complex is given in each homological degree by

$$\operatorname{hm}_n(M) = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} \widetilde{P}_i \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_{-j}, M)$$

with boundary given as

$$\partial_{\mathrm{hm}}(x\otimes f) = \tilde{\partial}(x)\otimes f + (-1)^{\|x\|}x\otimes \partial^*(f).$$

DEFINITION 2.15. For an integer n let

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{n}(k,M) = H_{-n}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k,\operatorname{hm}_{*}(M)))$$

be the k-module given by the (-n)th homology of the chain complex

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, \operatorname{hm}_{*}(M)) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, P_{*} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_{*}, M)).$$

We call this the *n*th Tate cohomology group of Γ with coefficients in the Γ -module M.

To be able to compare this definition to the standard definition of Tate cohomology in terms of complete resolutions, it is convenient to introduce an alternative, quasi-isomorphic chain complex.

DEFINITION 2.16. For each Γ -module M, let $\operatorname{gm}_*(M)$ be the pushout in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \stackrel{\epsilon^*}{\longrightarrow} & \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M) \\ & \downarrow^{i \otimes 1} & \downarrow \\ \widetilde{P}_* \otimes M & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{gm}_*(M). \end{array}$$

Here the top horizontal morphism is the map

$$\epsilon^* = \operatorname{Hom}(\epsilon, 1) \colon M \cong \operatorname{Hom}(k, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M)$$

contravariantly induced by the augmentation, and the left hand vertical morphism is the map

$$i \otimes 1 \colon M \cong k \otimes M \longrightarrow P_* \otimes M$$

induced by the inclusion of k into the mapping cone $\widetilde{P}_* = \operatorname{cone}(\epsilon)$.

Complexes of this type arise from a filtration of the Tate construction on a G-spectrum that we call the Greenlees–May filtration, which is adapted from [GM95], and this explains the notation 'gm'. See Section 6.5.

PROPOSITION 2.17. Explicitly, the complex $gm_*(M)$ is given in each homological degree as

$$\operatorname{gm}_{n}(M) \cong \begin{cases} \widetilde{P}_{n} \otimes M & \text{if } n \ge 1\\ \operatorname{Hom}(P_{-n}, M) & \text{if } n \le 0 \end{cases}$$

and under these identifications the boundary ∂_{gm} : $gm_n(M) \to gm_{n-1}(M)$ is given as

$$\partial_{\rm gm} = \begin{cases} \dot{\partial} \otimes 1 & \text{if } n \ge 2\\ \partial^* & \text{if } n \le 0\\ \widetilde{P}_1 \otimes M \xrightarrow{\epsilon \otimes 1} M \xrightarrow{\epsilon^*} \operatorname{Hom}(P_0, M) & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. The only non-trivial case happens when the homological degree is n = 0. In this case we have a pushout square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & & \stackrel{\epsilon^*}{\longrightarrow} & \operatorname{Hom}(P_0, M) \\ & & \downarrow^{i \otimes 1} & & \downarrow \\ \widetilde{P}_0 \otimes M & & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{gm}_0(M). \end{array}$$

Since $i \otimes 1$ is an isomorphism in this homological degree it follows that so is the map $\operatorname{Hom}(P_0, M) \to \operatorname{gm}_0(M)$.

It is straight-forward to see that the boundary $\partial_{\mathrm{gm}} \colon \mathrm{gm}_n(M) \to \mathrm{gm}_{n-1}(M)$ is given by $\tilde{\partial} \otimes 1$ and ∂^* when $n \geq 2$ and $n \leq 0$, respectively. For the remaining boundary, note that the element $1 \otimes m$ in $\widetilde{P}_0 \otimes M$ is identified with the element $f \colon y \mapsto m\epsilon(y)$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(P_0, M)$ when both are viewed as elements of the pushout $\mathrm{gm}_0(M)$. The boundary wants to take the element $x \otimes m$ in $\widetilde{P}_1 \otimes M \cong \mathrm{gm}_1(M)$ to $\epsilon(x) \otimes m$ in $\widetilde{P}_0 \otimes M$. This is identified with the map $y \mapsto (-1)^{|m||x|} m\epsilon(x)\epsilon(y)$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(P_0, M)$. Schematically, we are taking the composite

$$\widetilde{P}_1 \otimes M \xrightarrow{\epsilon \otimes 1} \widetilde{P}_0 \otimes M \cong M \cong \operatorname{Hom}(k, M) \xrightarrow{\epsilon^*} \operatorname{Hom}(P_0, M).$$

Visually, $gm_*(M)$ is the complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \widetilde{P}_2 \otimes M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\partial} \otimes 1} \widetilde{P}_1 \otimes M \xrightarrow{} \operatorname{Hom}(P_0, M) \xrightarrow{\partial^*} \operatorname{Hom}(P_1, M) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

We will often refer to the complex $\operatorname{gm}_*(M)$ as being obtained by 'splicing' $\widetilde{P}_* \otimes M$ and $\operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M)$ together.

Note that the universal property of the pushout ensures that we have an induced Γ -chain map θ : gm_{*}(M) \rightarrow hm_{*}(M) in the commutative diagram



Here the 'bendy' map

 $1\otimes \epsilon^* \colon \widetilde{P}_* \otimes M \cong \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(k, M) \longrightarrow \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M)$

is again the map contravariantly induced by the augmentation, and the other 'bendy' map

$$i \otimes 1$$
: Hom $(P_*, M) \cong k \otimes \text{Hom}(P_*, M) \longrightarrow P_* \otimes \text{Hom}(P_*, M)$

is induced by the inclusion $i: k \to \widetilde{P}_*$.

PROPOSITION 2.18. The k-linear chain map

$$\operatorname{Hom}(1,\theta)\colon \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k,\operatorname{gm}_{*}(M)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k,\operatorname{hm}_{*}(M))$$

is a quasi-isomorphism, inducing isomorphisms

$$H_n(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, \operatorname{gm}_*(M))) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{-n}(k, M)$$

for all integers n.

PROOF. We compatibly filter $gm_*(M)$ and $hm_*(M)$, setting

$$F_s \operatorname{gm}_k(M) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k > s, \\ \operatorname{gm}_k(M) & \text{for } k \le s \end{cases}$$

and

$$F_s \operatorname{hm}_k(M) = \bigoplus_{\substack{i+j=k\\i\leq s}} \widetilde{P}_i \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_{-j}, M).$$

We obtain a vertical map of short exact sequences

Each horizontal short exact sequence is degree-wise split as an extension of Γ -modules, hence remains short exact after applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, -)$.

For s = 0, the map $F_0 \operatorname{gm}_*(M) \to F_0 \operatorname{hm}_*(M)$ is an isomorphism. We claim for each $s \ge 1$ that the map of filtration subquotients

$$\bar{\theta}_s \colon \frac{F_s \operatorname{gm}_*(M)}{F_{s-1} \operatorname{gm}_*(M)} \longrightarrow \frac{F_s \operatorname{hm}_*(M)}{F_{s-1} \operatorname{hm}_*(M)}$$

induces a quasi-isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}(1, \overline{\theta}_s)$ after applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, -)$. It follows by induction that $\operatorname{Hom}(1, \theta_s)$ is a quasi-isomorphism for each $s \ge 0$. Passing to colimits over s it follows that $\operatorname{Hom}(1, \theta)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

It remains to prove the claim. We can rewrite $\bar{\theta}_s$ for $s \ge 1$ as

$$1 \otimes \epsilon^* \colon P_s \otimes M \longrightarrow P_s \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M).$$

Here \widetilde{P}_s is Γ -projective, so it suffices to prove that

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(1, 1 \otimes \epsilon^*) \colon \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, L \otimes M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, L \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M))$

is a quasi-isomorphism for any projective Γ -module L. By preservation of quasiisomorphisms under passage to retracts, we may assume that L is free. Since the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, -)$ commutes with direct sums, it suffices to consider the case $L = \Gamma$. Using the Hopf algebra structure of Γ , there is a natural untwisting isomorphism

$$\Gamma \otimes N \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(N)$$

for any Γ -module N, where Γ acts diagonally on the left hand side and we use the induced Γ -action on the right hand side. See Corollary 2.4. The augmentation $\epsilon: P_* \to k$ admits a k-linear chain homotopy inverse. Hence $\epsilon^*: M \to \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M)$ also admits such a chain homotopy inverse, and

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(\epsilon^{*}) \colon \operatorname{Ind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(\operatorname{Hom}(P_{*}, M))$$

admits a Γ -linear chain homotopy inverse. By naturality of the untwisting isomorphism,

 $1 \otimes \epsilon^* \colon \Gamma \otimes M \longrightarrow \Gamma \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M)$

admits a Γ -module chain homotopy inverse, and therefore induces a k-module chain homotopy equivalence after applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, -)$. This proves the claim that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(1, 1 \otimes \epsilon^*)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

COROLLARY 2.19. The inclusion $\operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M) \to \operatorname{gm}_*(M)$ induces an isomorphism

$$\gamma \colon \operatorname{Ext}^{n}_{\Gamma}(k, M) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^{n}_{\Gamma}(k, M)$$

for each $n \ge 1$, and a surjection for n = 0.

2.4. Complete resolutions

In this section, we make the standing assumption that the cocommutative Hopf algebra Γ is finitely generated and projective over k. Let us now relate the complex $\operatorname{gm}_*(M)$ to the complete resolutions often used when defining Tate cohomology. See $[\mathbf{CK97}]$ for a standard treatment of this topic.

DEFINITION 2.20. Let \hat{P}_* be the pullback in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\dot{P}_* & \longrightarrow & P_* \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow^{\epsilon} \\
D\widetilde{P}_* & \stackrel{i^*}{\longrightarrow} & k
\end{array}$$

where $D\widetilde{P}_* = \operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{P}_*, k)$.

PROPOSITION 2.21. Explicitly, the chain complex \hat{P}_* is given in each homological degree as

$$\hat{P}_n \cong \begin{cases} P_n & \text{for } n \ge 0, \\ D(\tilde{P}_{-n}) & \text{for } n < 0 \end{cases}$$

with boundary given as

$$\hat{\partial}_n = \begin{cases} \partial_n & \text{for } n > 0, \\ \epsilon^* \circ \epsilon & \text{for } n = 0, \\ D(\tilde{\partial}_{1-n}) & \text{for } n < 0 \end{cases}$$

under these identifications.

PROOF. The only non-trivial case is when we are dealing with something involving homological degree 0. Since $D\tilde{P}_0 \to k$ is an isomorphism, it follows that the projection $\hat{P}_0 \to P_0$ is one, as well. This shows that the chain complex is given in each homological degree as asserted. The only thing left to prove is that the boundaries are given as claimed. To do so, note that the inverse to the projection is the map $P_0 \to \hat{P}_0$ given by

$$P_0 \longrightarrow \hat{P}_0 = P_0 \times_k D\tilde{P}_0, \quad x \mapsto (x, \epsilon(x)).$$

It is clear that the boundary $\hat{\partial}: \hat{P}_n \to \hat{P}_{n-1}$ is given by ∂_n and $D(\tilde{\partial}_{1-n})$ when n > 0and n < 0, respectively. When n = 0, we are looking at the boundary

$$P_0 \times_k D\widetilde{P}_0 \longrightarrow D\widetilde{P}_1, \quad (x, f) \mapsto \epsilon^*(f)$$

which under the identifications made above corresponds to the composition

$$P_0 \longrightarrow P_0 \times_k D\widetilde{P}_0 \longrightarrow D\widetilde{P}_1, \quad x \mapsto (\epsilon^* \circ \epsilon)(x).$$

Diagrammatically, we can visualise \hat{P}_* as the 'spliced' complex



We will show that if P_* is assumed to be a projective resolution of finite type,² then this is a complete resolution. See Remark 2.29. First we need a lemma.

LEMMA 2.22. Let



be a pullback diagram of chain complexes. Assume that there is some chain map $\phi: B_* \to A_*$ such that $f\phi = \mathrm{id}_{B_*}$ and $\phi f \simeq \mathrm{id}_{A_*}$ witnessed by a chain homotopy $H: A_n \to A_{n+1}$ satisfying fH = 0. Then there is a chain map $\phi': C_* \to Q_*$ such that $f'\phi' = \mathrm{id}_{C_*}$ and $\phi'f' \simeq \mathrm{id}_{Q_*}$.

PROOF. Consider the diagram



in which we have an induced chain map $\phi': C_* \to Q_*$ by the universal property of a pullback. This shows that $f'\phi' = \mathrm{id}_{C_*}$. Let us show that this constitutes a left homotopy inverse, as well.

²Recall that a chain complex C_* of projective modules is said to be of finite type if it is finitely generated in each homological degree.

Let $H_n: A_n \to A_{n+1}$ be the chain homotopy between ϕf and id_{A_*} . That is

$$\operatorname{id}_{A_*} - \phi f = \partial H_n + H_{n-1} \partial A_n$$

We want to use this data to build a chain homotopy between id_{Q_*} and $\phi' f'$. To do this, consider the diagram



which commutes since $fH_n = 0$, by assumption. Again, by the universal property of a pullback we have induced maps $h: A_n \to Q_{n+1}$. Let us set

$$H'_n = hg' \colon Q_n \longrightarrow Q_{n+1}.$$

We claim that these maps constitute a chain homotopy between id_{Q_*} and $\phi'f'$. That is, we claim that they satisfy

$$\mathrm{id}_{Q_*} - \phi' f' = \partial H'_n + H'_{n-1} \partial.$$

To show this, we appeal to the uniqueness of maps induced from pullbacks. Consider the diagram



This diagram commutes, since

$$f\partial H_n g' + f H_{n-1} \partial g' = \partial f H_n g' + f H_{n-1} \partial g'$$

= 0,

so we do indeed have a unique induced map in the diagram. We claim that the question-mark in the diagram can be filled by both $\mathrm{id}_{Q_*} - \phi' f'$ and $\partial H'_n + H'_{n-1}\partial$, so they must agree by uniqueness of the induced map. Checking this claim is straight-forward. The checks

$$g'(\operatorname{id}_{Q_*} - \phi'f') = g' - g'\phi'f'$$

= $g' - \phi gf'$
= $g' - \phi fg'$
= $(\operatorname{id}_{A_*} - \phi f)g'$
= $(\partial H_n + H_{n-1}\partial)g',$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f'(\mathrm{id}_{Q_*} - \phi'f') &= f' - f'\phi'f' \\ &= f' - f' \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

show that the map $\mathrm{id}_{Q_*} - \phi' f'$ fits into the diagram. The checks

$$g'(\partial H'_n + H'_{n-1}\partial) = g'\partial hg' + g'hg'\partial$$

= $\partial g'hg' + g'h\partial g'$
= $\partial H_ng' + H_{n-1}\partial g'$
= $(\partial H_n + H_{n-1}\partial)g'$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f'(\partial H'_n + H'_{n-1}\partial) &= f'\partial H'_n + f'H'_{n-1}\partial \\ &= \partial'f'H'_n + f'H'_{n-1}\partial \\ &= \partial'f'hg' + f'hg'\partial \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

show that the map $\partial H'_n + H'_{n-1}\partial$ also fits into the diagram, which concludes the proof.

PROPOSITION 2.23. Assume that P_* is of finite type over Γ . Then \hat{P}_* is an acyclic complex of projective Γ -modules such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\hat{P}_*, Q)$ is acyclic for every coinduced Γ -module Q.

PROOF. Since P_n is finitely generated and projective over Γ in each homological degree n, it follows that \hat{P}_n must be finitely generated and projective over Γ , as well, by Corollary 2.8.

To show that \hat{P}_* is acyclic, we show that it is k-linearly contractible. Since $\epsilon \colon P_* \to k$ is a chain homotopy equivalence, we can find a homotopy inverse $k \to P_*$. In this case we can pick $\eta \colon k \to P_*$, so that $\epsilon \eta = \mathrm{id}_k$ on the nose. Since k is concentrated in homological degree 0 we know that the chain homotopy $\eta \epsilon \simeq \mathrm{id}_{P_*}$ is zero after post-composition with ϵ , so that Lemma 2.22 applies. This shows that the map $\hat{P}_* \to D\tilde{P}_*$ is a chain homotopy equivalence. Since \tilde{P}_* is chain contractible, we conclude that so is its dual $D\tilde{P}_*$ and hence also \hat{P}_* .

If $Q = \operatorname{Coind}_{k}^{\Gamma}(C)$ for some k-module C, then

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\hat{P}_*, \operatorname{Coind}_k^{\Gamma}(C)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\hat{P}_*, C).$$

Since \hat{P}_* is k-linearly contractible it follows that $\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{P}_*, C)$ is contractible, and therefore acyclic.

Let M be a Γ -module. The chain map $\hat{P}_* \to P_*$ induces a chain map

$$\operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\hat{P}_*, M)$$

which is an isomorphism in homological degrees $* \leq 0$. In addition to this map, we also have a chain map composition

 $\widetilde{P}_* \otimes M \longrightarrow DD\widetilde{P}_* \otimes M \xrightarrow{\nu} \operatorname{Hom}(D\widetilde{P}_*, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\hat{P}_*, M).$

24

In particular, this is an isomorphism in homological degrees $* \ge 1$ under the assumption that \tilde{P}_* is of finite type over k. Note that the chain maps described above fit into the commutative diagram



so that we have an induced chain map β by the universal property of $gm_*(M)$.

PROPOSITION 2.24. Suppose that \widetilde{P}_* is of finite type over k. Then the map

 $\beta \colon \operatorname{gm}_*(M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}(\hat{P}_*, M)$

is a natural isomorphism of Γ -chain complexes.

PROOF. The assertion is clear in homological degrees n > 0 and n < 0. If n = 0 we are looking at the diagram



in which we have marked the obvious isomorphisms. It is then clear that β is an isomorphism, as well.

COROLLARY 2.25. Suppose that the projective Γ -resolution P_* is of finite type over k. Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{n}_{\Gamma}(k, M) \cong H^{n}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\hat{P}_{*}, M))$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

PROOF. Combine Proposition 2.18 and Proposition 2.24.

It turns out that, under the assumption that Γ is finitely generated projective over k, we can always construct the projective resolution P_* so that it is of finite type over Γ . It is then necessarily also of finite type over k. This can be done via the bar construction, which we now review. See ([**May72**, §9, §10, §11] and [**GM74**, App. A]) for more details.

CONSTRUCTION 2.26 (The bar construction). Let Γ be a k-algebra, M a right Γ -module, and N a left Γ -module. We form a simplicial object $B_{\bullet}(M, \Gamma, N) \colon \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{Mod}(k)$ as follows. In simplicial degree q we let

$$B_q(M,\Gamma,N) = M \otimes \Gamma^{\otimes q} \otimes N.$$

It is customary to write

$$m[\gamma_1|\cdots|\gamma_q]n = m \otimes \gamma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_q \otimes n$$

for an element in the qth simplicial degree; hence the terminology bar construction. In this notation, the face maps are given as

$$d_i(m[\gamma_1|\cdots|\gamma_q]n) = \begin{cases} m\gamma_1[\gamma_2|\cdots|\gamma_q]n & i = 0\\ m[\gamma_1|\cdots|\gamma_i\gamma_{i+1}|\cdots|\gamma_q]n & 0 < i < q\\ m[\gamma_1|\cdots|\gamma_{q-1}]\gamma_qn & i = q \end{cases}$$

and the degeneracy maps are given by

$$s_i(m[\gamma_1|\cdots|\gamma_q]n) = m[\gamma_1|\cdots|\gamma_i|1|\gamma_{i+1}|\cdots|\gamma_q]n.$$

The simplicial k-module $B_{\bullet}(M, \Gamma, N)$ can be turned into a non-negative k-complex in essentially two ways.

• The most straight-forward way to turn $B_{\bullet}(M, \Gamma, N)$ into a Γ -chain complex $B_*(M, \Gamma, N)$ is by taking the Γ -module in homological degree n to be equal to the *n*-simplices of $B_{\bullet}(M, \Gamma, N)$ and to let the boundary in the chain complex be the alternating sum of the face maps:

$$B_n = B_n(M, \Gamma, N)$$
 and $\partial = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i d_i \colon B_n \longrightarrow B_{n-1}.$

This is referred to as the *bar complex*.

• To get a smaller but quasi-isomorphic chain complex that is more convenient for computations, we can turn $B_{\bullet}(M, \Gamma, N)$ into a chain complex $NB_* = NB_*(M, \Gamma, N)$ by quotienting out by the degenerate simplices. Explicitly, in homological degree n we have

$$NB_n = B_n / (s_0 B_{n-1} + \dots + s_{n-1} B_{n-1})$$
$$\cong M \otimes \overline{\Gamma}^{\otimes n} \otimes N,$$

where

$$\overline{\Gamma} = \operatorname{coker}(\eta) \cong \ker(\epsilon).^3$$

The boundary $\partial: NB_n \to NB_{n-1}$ is given by the same formula as before, which makes sense because

$$\partial (s_0 B_{n-1} + \dots + s_{n-1} B_{n-1}) = 0.$$

We refer to (NB_*, ∂) as the normalised bar complex.

There is a natural Γ -action on the simplicial k-module $B_{\bullet}(M, \Gamma, \Gamma)$ arising from viewing $N = \Gamma$ as a Γ - Γ -bimodule. Explicitly, in each simplicial degree we have the right Γ -action $B_q(M, \Gamma, \Gamma) \otimes \Gamma \to B_q(M, \Gamma, \Gamma)$ given by

$$m[\gamma_1|\cdots|\gamma_q]\gamma_{q+1}\otimes\gamma\mapsto m[\gamma_1|\cdots|\gamma_q]\gamma_{q+1}\gamma$$

and this Γ -action commutes with the simplicial structure maps of $B_{\bullet}(M, \Gamma, \Gamma)$, so that $B_{\bullet}(M, \Gamma, \Gamma)$ extends to a simplicial Γ -module. It is a standard exercise in simplicial homotopy theory to check that $B_{\bullet}(M, \Gamma, \Gamma)$ is simplicially homotopy equivalent to M viewed as a constant simplicial Γ -module. As a consequence, the complexes

$$B_*(M,\Gamma,\Gamma)$$
 and $NB_*(M,\Gamma,\Gamma)$

³This isomorphism follows from $\epsilon \eta = \mathrm{id}_k$.

are resolutions of M as a Γ -module. We refer to these as the *bar resolution* and *normalised bar resolution* of M as a Γ -module, respectively. See [May72, Prop. 9.9] and [GM74, Lem. A.8].

PROPOSITION 2.27. Assume that Γ is finitely generated and projective over k. If M is finitely generated projective over k, then the bar resolution $B_*(M,\Gamma,\Gamma)$ and the normalised bar resolution $NB_*(M,\Gamma,\Gamma)$ are Γ -projective resolutions of M of finite type.

PROOF. Since $B_{\bullet}(M, \Gamma, \Gamma)$ is simplicially homotopy equivalent to M, the bar resolution is a resolution of M. It is finitely generated, and projective in each degree by an application of Lemma 2.2. The proof in the normalised case is very similar.

THEOREM 2.28. When Γ is finitely generated and projective over k, each short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M'' \longrightarrow 0$$

of Γ -modules induces a long exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^n_{\Gamma}(k, M') \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^n_{\Gamma}(k, M) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^n_{\Gamma}(k, M'') \xrightarrow{\delta} \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^{n+1}_{\Gamma}(k, M') \longrightarrow \dots$$

Furthermore, if M is an induced or coinduced Γ -module,⁴ then $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}(k, M) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

PROOF. If Γ is finitely generated projective over k, then the bar complex $B_*(k,\Gamma,\Gamma)$ constitutes a projective Γ -resolution of k of finite type, so that Proposition 2.23 applies. The long exact sequence is then induced by the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\hat{P}_*, M') \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\hat{P}_*, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\hat{P}_*, M'') \longrightarrow 0$$

of k-module chain complexes. Here we are using Corollary 2.25 to identify the terms in the long exact homology sequence. That Tate cohomology vanishes on induced/coinduced modules is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.23. \Box

REMARK 2.29. Note that if Γ is finitely generated projective, the chain complex \hat{P}_* constructed from $P_* = B_*(k, \Gamma, \Gamma)$ is indeed a complete Γ -resolution of kin the sense of [**CK97**, Definition 1.1]. This uses Proposition 2.23 and the fact that all projective Γ -modules are retracts of induced Γ -modules, which are coinduced Γ -modules by Corollary 2.7. In particular, the results of [**CK97**] apply and we can conclude that our $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}(k, -)$ agrees with what is traditionally referred to as 'complete Ext', in this case.

REMARK 2.30. In this approach to Tate cohomology and complete Ext the Hopf algebra structure of Γ , rather that just its algebra structure, enters in two ways: First, it is needed for Pareigis' theorem (Theorem 2.6), which is used in Definition 2.20 to ensure that the spliced complex \hat{P}_* consists of projective Γ -modules, as required for a complete resolution. Second, the Hopf algebra diagonal and conjugation are used in Definitions 2.14 and 2.16 to make sense of the Γ -module structure of the Tate complex $hm_*(M) = \tilde{P}_* \otimes Hom(P_*, M)$, as well as for its variant $gm_*(M)$.

⁴Due to the assumption that Γ is finitely generated projective induced modules are coinduced by Corollary 2.7, and vice versa, so these are actually equivalent conditions.

2.5. Multiplicative structure of Tate cohomology

We will now define a suitable pairing on Hopf algebra Tate cohomology. As before, we will assume that Γ is finitely generated and projective over k, so that this theory coincides with complete Ext.

PROPOSITION 2.31. There is a unique, up to chain homotopy, Γ -linear chain map $\Psi: P_* \to P_* \otimes P_*$ covering the identity map id: $k \to k \otimes k$.

PROOF. We first note that the chain complex $P_* \otimes P_*$, with diagonal Γ -action, is a Γ -resolution of $k \otimes k = k$. To see this, use the spectral sequence associated to $P_* \otimes P_*$ viewed as a double complex. This converges strongly to the homology of $P_* \otimes P_*$. The first page of the spectral sequence is given by

$$E_{s,t}^1 \cong H_t(P_s \otimes P_*) \cong P_s \otimes H_t(P_*),$$

since P_s is projective over Γ , and hence over k. This is zero unless t = 0, where it is P_s . The d^1 -differential is induced by the horizontal differential in the double complex, so that the E^2 -page is k concentrated at the origin.

Classical homological algebra then asserts that there is a unique (up to chain homotopy) Γ -linear chain map as asserted; see [ML95, Chapter III Thm. 6.1]. \Box

The Γ -linear chain map $\Psi \colon P_* \to P_* \otimes P_*$ described above induces a product on $\operatorname{Ext}^*_{\Gamma}(k, -)$ via the pairing

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(P_{*}, M) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(P_{*}, N) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(P_{*} \otimes P_{*}, M \otimes N)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\Psi^{*}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(P_{*}, M \otimes N)$$

of k-module complexes. By cocommutativity of Γ and uniqueness (up to chain homotopy) of Ψ , we have that $\Psi \simeq \tau \circ \Psi$. Passing to homology, this gives us an associative, unital, and graded commutative multiplication

$$\smile : \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{*}(k, M) \otimes \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{*}(k, N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{*}(k, M \otimes N)$$

that we will refer to as the *cup product*. In particular, $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{*}(k, k)$ is a k-algebra, and $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{*}(k, M)$ is an $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{*}(k, k)$ -module for each Γ -module M. If M is a Γ -module algebra, then $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{*}(k, M)$ is an $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{*}(k, k)$ -algebra.

We proceed to define the cup product in Tate cohomology for Hopf algebras. For this, we need a unique (up to chain homotopy) Γ -linear extension of the fold map in the category of chain complexes of Γ -modules under k. Explicitly, the fold map ∇ is the induced map in the commutative diagram



where the inner square is a pushout diagram. Let us start with a more general result.

LEMMA 2.32. Let A_* , B_* and C_* be chain complexes of Γ -modules, where we assume that C_* is non-negative and exact. Let $i: A_* \to B_*$ be an injective chain map and assume that $Q_* = \operatorname{coker}(i)$ is projective over Γ in each homological degree. Then, for each chain map $f: A_* \to C_*$ there is a chain map $g: B_* \to C_*$ such that gi = f. Moreover, this chain map is unique up to a chain homotopy that is zero on the image of i.

PROOF. Consider the diagram

$$0 \longrightarrow A_* \xrightarrow{i} B_* \xrightarrow{r} Q_* \longrightarrow 0$$

$$f \downarrow_{\kappa} \xrightarrow{g} C_*,$$

where the top sequence is short exact in each homological degree. Since C_* is nonnegative, we must have $g_n = 0$ for n < 0. To construct the rest of the chain map we proceed by induction. Assume inductively that we have constructed g_m satisfying

$$g_m i_m = f_m$$
 and $g_{m-1}\partial = \partial g_m$

for all m < n. Since

$$0 = g_{n-2}\partial^2 = \partial g_{n-1}\partial$$

and C_* is exact we know that $g_{n-1}\partial$ lands in $\partial(C_n)$. Consider the diagram

$$0 \longrightarrow A_n \xrightarrow{i_n} B_n \xrightarrow{r_n} Q_n \longrightarrow 0$$
$$\downarrow_{f_n} \qquad \qquad \downarrow_{g_n} \downarrow_{g_{n-1}\partial}$$
$$C_n \longrightarrow \partial(C_n)$$

in which we want to find a dashed map $g_n: B_n \to C_n$ that makes both triangles commute. Since Q_n is projective, the short exact sequence at the top of the diagram splits, and we can find $s_n: Q_n \to B_n$ and $t_n: B_n \to A_n$ such that

$$i_n t_n + s_n r_n = \mathrm{id}_{B_n}$$

Moreover, we can find a map $h_n: Q_n \to C_n$ such that $g_{n-1}\partial s_n = \partial h_n$. We define $g_n: B_n \to C_n$ by setting

$$g_n = f_n t_n + h_n r_n.$$

This map satisfies

$$g_n i_n = f_n t_n i_n + h_n r_n i_n = f_n + 0 = f_n$$

and

$$\partial g_n = \partial f_n t_n + \partial h_n r_n$$

= $f_{n-1} \partial t_n + \partial h_n r_n$
= $g_{n-1} i_{n-1} \partial t_n + g_{n-1} \partial s_n r_n$
= $g_{n-1} \partial (i_n t_n + s_n r_n)$
= $g_{n-1} \partial$,

which concludes the construction of q.

We now show that the map $g: B_* \to C_*$ is unique up to a chain homotopy that is zero on $i(A_*)$. Let $g': B_* \to C_*$ be another chain map satisfying f = g'i. We want to show that we can find a chain homotopy between k = g - g' and 0 that is zero
on the image of *i*. That is, we want to find a collection of maps $H_n: B_n \to C_{n+1}$ such that

$$k_n = H_{n-1}\partial + \partial H_n$$
 and $H_n i_n = 0$

for all n. Again, we use induction. Since C_* is non-negative we must have $H_n = 0$ for n < 0. Assume inductively that we have constructed $H_m \colon B_m \to C_{m+1}$ satisfying

$$k_m = H_{m-1}\partial + \partial H_m$$
 and $H_m i_m = 0$

for all m < n. Consider the map $k_n - H_{n-1}\partial \colon B_n \to C_n$. Since

$$\partial(k_n - H_{n-1}\partial) = \partial k_n - \partial H_{n-1}\partial$$
$$= \partial k_n - (k_{n-1} - H_{n-2}\partial)\partial$$
$$= \partial k_n - k_{n-1}\partial$$
$$= 0$$

and C_* is exact we know that $k_n - H_{n-1}\partial$ lands in $\partial(C_{n+1})$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} Q_n \\ & & \downarrow^{\beta_n} & \downarrow^{k_n s_n - H_{n-1} \partial s_n} \\ C_{n+1} & \longrightarrow \partial(C_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

in which we have a map β_n since Q_n is projective. We define

$$H_n = \beta_n r_n \colon B_n \longrightarrow C_{n+1},$$

which vanishes on the image of i_n since $r_n i_n = 0$. Furthermore, we have

$$H_{n-1}\partial + \partial H_n = H_{n-1}\partial + \partial \beta_n r_n$$

= $H_{n-1}\partial + k_n s_n r_n - H_{n-1}\partial s_n r_n$
= $H_{n-1}\partial + k_n - H_{n-1}\partial$
= k_n

where the penultimate equality sign follows from the fact that k_n and H_{n-1} vanish on the image of i_n and i_{n-1} , respectively, so that $k_n = k_n(i_nt_n + s_nr_n) = k_ns_nr_n$ and

$$\begin{aligned} H_{n-1}\partial &= H_{n-1}\partial(i_nt_n + s_nr_n) \\ &= H_{n-1}\partial i_nt_n + H_{n-1}\partial s_nr_n \\ &= H_{n-1}i_{n-1}\partial t_n + H_{n-1}\partial s_nr_n \\ &= H_{n-1}\partial s_nr_n. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2.33. There is a unique, up to chain homotopy, Γ -linear chain map $\Phi \colon \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \widetilde{P}_* \to \widetilde{P}_*$ that makes the diagram



commute.

PROOF. This is an application of Lemma 2.32. The diagram we are considering is

We only need to check that the cokernel of the map i is projective over Γ in each homological degree. By construction, the cokernel is the total cokernel of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} k \otimes k \xrightarrow{i \otimes 1} \widetilde{P}_* \otimes k \\ 1 \otimes i \downarrow & \downarrow 1 \otimes i \\ k \otimes \widetilde{P}_* \xrightarrow{i \otimes 1} \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \widetilde{P}_*. \end{array}$$

This can be calculated by computing the cokernels of the two horizontal maps followed by the cokernel of induced vertical map. Explicitly:

$$\operatorname{coker}(i) \cong \operatorname{coker}(\operatorname{coker}(i \otimes 1) \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(i \otimes 1))$$
$$\cong \operatorname{coker}(1 \otimes i : P[1]_* \otimes k \longrightarrow P[1]_* \otimes \widetilde{P}_*)$$
$$\cong P[1]_* \otimes P[1]_*.$$

In particular, we note that the cokernel is a complex of projective Γ -modules. \Box

We can now define a pairing on $hm_*(-)$ using Φ and Ψ . For Γ -modules M and N the composite pairing

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M) \otimes \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, N) \\ \stackrel{1 \otimes \tau \otimes 1}{\longrightarrow} \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, N) \\ \stackrel{1 \otimes 1 \otimes \alpha}{\longrightarrow} \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_* \otimes P_*, M \otimes N) \\ \stackrel{\Phi \otimes \Psi^*}{\longrightarrow} \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M \otimes N) \end{split}$$

is Γ -linear, so it induces a pairing

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, \operatorname{hm}_{*}(M)) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, \operatorname{hm}_{*}(N)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, \operatorname{hm}_{*}(M \otimes N))$$

of k-module complexes. Note that the uniqueness of Φ up to chain homotopy guarantees that $\Phi \circ \tau \simeq \Phi$, and we have already observed that $\tau \circ \Psi \simeq \Psi$, which ensures that we get an associative, unital, and graded commutative pairing

$$\smile : \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^*_{\Gamma}(k, M) \otimes \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^*_{\Gamma}(k, N) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^*_{\Gamma}(k, M \otimes N)$$

after passing to homology. The inclusion $\operatorname{Hom}(P_*,M)\to\operatorname{hm}_*(M)$ provides us with a map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^*(k, M) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^*(k, M).$$

This map is compatible with the multiplicative structures we have defined above, in the sense of the following proposition. **PROPOSITION 2.34.** The two diagrams

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{b_{1}}(k,M)\otimes \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{b_{2}}(k,N) & \stackrel{\smile}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{b_{1}+b_{2}}(k,M\otimes N) \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{b_{1}}(k,M)\otimes \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{b_{2}}(k,N) & \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{b_{1}+b_{2}}(k,M\otimes N) \\ & \downarrow & \parallel \\ \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{b_{1}}(k,M)\otimes \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{b_{2}}(k,N) & \stackrel{\smile}{\longrightarrow} \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{b_{1}+b_{2}}(k,M\otimes N) \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{b_1}(k,M)\otimes \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{b_2}(k,N) & \stackrel{\smile}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{b_1+b_2}(k,M\otimes N) \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{b_1}(k,M)\otimes \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{b_2}(k,N) & \stackrel{\smile}{\longrightarrow} \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{b_1+b_2}(k,M\otimes N) \\ & \downarrow & \parallel \\ \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{b_1}(k,M)\otimes \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{b_2}(k,N) & \stackrel{\smile}{\longrightarrow} \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{b_1+b_2}(k,M\otimes N) \end{split}$$

commute. In particular, it follows that $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^*_{\Gamma}(k,k)$ is an $\operatorname{Ext}^*_{\Gamma}(k,k)$ -algebra, and $\operatorname{Ext}^*_{\Gamma}(k,M) \to \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^*_{\Gamma}(k,M)$ is an $\operatorname{Ext}^*_{\Gamma}(k,k)$ -module homomorphism. If M is a Γ -module algebra, then $\operatorname{Ext}^*_{\Gamma}(k,M) \to \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^*_{\Gamma}(k,M)$ is an $\operatorname{Ext}^*_{\Gamma}(k,k)$ -algebra homomorphism.

PROOF. This follows from the commutative diagrams

2.6. Computation

In this section we look at a sample computation of the Tate cohomology of a Hopf algebra. Let k be a graded commutative ring with an element η in degree 1 such that $2\eta = 0$. We will consider the Hopf algebra

$$\Gamma = k[s]/(s^2 = \eta s), \quad |s| = 1.$$

Here s is a primitive element, so that comultiplication is given by $\psi = s \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes s$, counit by $\epsilon(s) = 0$, and antipode by $\chi(s) = -s$. To clarify: our goal is to compute $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{*}(k, M)$ where M is a Γ -module. This situation naturally appears when we consider the Tate construction on a spectrum X with an action of the circle \mathbb{T} . In this situation, we will have

$$\Gamma = \pi_*(\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]) \qquad k = \pi_*(\mathbb{S}) \qquad M = \pi_*(X).$$

See Proposition 3.3 and Chapter 6.

A projective resolution P_* of k as a trivial Γ -module is

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \Gamma\{p_3\} \xrightarrow{\partial_3} \Gamma\{p_2\} \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \Gamma\{p_1\} \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \Gamma\{p_0\} \longrightarrow 0$$

with the internal degree of the generator p_b being $|p_b| = b$ and the total degree being $||p_b|| = 2b$. As (right) k-modules we have $P_b = \Gamma\{p_b\} = k\{p_b, p_bs\}$ where $||p_bs|| = 2b + 1$. The boundary of the complex is given by

$$\partial_{b+1}(p_{b+1}) = \begin{cases} p_b s & b \ge 0 \text{ even} \\ p_b(s+\eta) & b \ge 1 \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

and the augmentation $\epsilon \colon P_* \to k$ is given by $\epsilon(p_0) = 1$.

By definition, the mapping cone $\widetilde{P}_*=\operatorname{cone}(P_*\to k)$ is isomorphic to the complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \Gamma\{\tilde{p}_3\} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}_3} \Gamma\{\tilde{p}_2\} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}_2} \Gamma\{\tilde{p}_1\} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}_1} k\{\tilde{p}_0\} \longrightarrow 0$$

where the internal degrees of the generators are $|\tilde{p}_0| = 0$ and $|\tilde{p}_a| = a - 1$ for $a \ge 1$, and the total degrees are $\|\tilde{p}_0\| = 0$ and $\|\tilde{p}_a\| = 2a - 1$ for $a \ge 1$. As before, we can also write this as a complex of (right) k-modules $\Gamma\{\tilde{p}_a\} = k\{\tilde{p}_a, \tilde{p}_as\}$ for $a \ge 1$, where $|\tilde{p}_as| = a$ and $\|\tilde{p}_as\| = 2a$. The boundary is given by

$$\tilde{\partial}_a(\tilde{p}_a) = \begin{cases} \tilde{p}_0 & a = 1\\ -\tilde{p}_{a-1}s & a \ge 2 \text{ even} \\ -\tilde{p}_{a-1}(s+\eta) & a \ge 3 \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

The chain complex we want to consider is the Tate complex $hm_*(M)$, or rather, its Γ -invariants. Recall that the Tate complex $hm_*(M)$ is given in each homological degree by

$$\operatorname{hm}_{c}(M) = \bigoplus_{a+b=c} \widetilde{P}_{a} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_{-b}, M)$$

with boundary given as

$$\partial_{\mathrm{hm}}(x,f) = \tilde{\partial}(x) \otimes f + (-1)^{\|x\|} x \otimes \partial^*(f).$$

When calculating the second term we also remember that

$$(\partial^* f)(v) = -(-1)^{\|f\|} f(\partial(v))$$

for an element $f \in \text{Hom}(P_*, M)$ since M is concentrated in homological degree 0.

It will also be useful to consider the Tate complex in its bicomplex version. In this case, we will write $(hm_{*,*}(M), \partial^h, \partial^v)$ where

$$\operatorname{hm}_{a,b}(M) = P_a \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_{-b}, M)$$

and the horizontal and vertical boundaries are the first and the second term in the formula for the boundary in hm_{*}, respectively. The total complex of this bicomplex

is equal to the Tate complex, by definition. Moreover, let us write $(U_{*,*}, \partial^h, \partial^v)$ for the restriction of the bicomplex to the Γ -invariants

$$U_{a,b} = \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, P_a \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_{-b}, M))$$

We refer to the total complex of this bicomplex as $(U_*, \partial^h + \partial^v)$; it is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, \operatorname{hm}_*(M))$. Let us introduce some notation for the different elements in the bicomplex $U_{*,*}$ to keep our computations from becoming too messy.

NOTATION 2.35. Let x be an element of M and write

$$f_b \cdot x = \tilde{p}_0 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_b \mapsto x \\ p_b s \mapsto xs \end{pmatrix}$$

for an element in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, \widetilde{P_0} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_b, M))$ for $b \ge 0$.

NOTATION 2.36. Let y be an element of M and write

$$g_{a,b} \cdot y = \tilde{p}_a \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_b \mapsto y \\ p_b s \mapsto ys \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{p}_a s \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_b \mapsto 0 \\ p_b s \mapsto (-1)^{|y|} y \end{pmatrix}$$

for an element in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, \widetilde{P_a} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_b, M))$ for $a \ge 1$ and $b \ge 0$.

NOTATION 2.37. Let z be an element of M and write

$$h_{a,b} \cdot z = \tilde{p}_a s \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_b \mapsto z \\ p_b s \mapsto z(s+\eta) \end{pmatrix}$$

for an element in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, \widetilde{P_a} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_b, M))$ for $a \ge 1$ and $b \ge 0$.

It is a straight-forward computation to check that these are indeed Γ -invariant elements, in the sense that

$$(g_{a,b} \cdot y) \cdot s = 0,$$

and analogously for $f_b \cdot x$ and $h_{a,b} \cdot z$, using the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.38. The (right) conjugate action of s on an element f of Hom(M, N) is given by

$$(fs)(m) = (-1)^{||m||} (f(m)s - f(ms)).$$

PROOF. Recall that the characterising property of the conjugate action is that it is the action on a function object $\operatorname{Hom}(M, N)$ such that the evaluation pairing ev: $\operatorname{Hom}(M, N) \otimes M \to N$ is Γ -linear. Explicitly, the Γ -action on $\operatorname{Hom}(M, N)$ makes the diagram

commute. The top composition sends a generic element to

$$f \otimes m \otimes s \mapsto f(m) \otimes s \mapsto f(m)s,$$

while the bottom composition sends the generic element to

$$f \otimes m \otimes s \mapsto f \otimes ms + (-1)^{\|m\|} fs \otimes m \mapsto f(ms) + (-1)^{\|m\|} (fs)(m).$$

These must agree, which necessarily gives us the assertion.

Furthermore, these form an '*M*-basis' of the Γ -invariants of the Tate complex in the sense of the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.39. Let $b \ge 0$ and $a \ge 1$. There are k-module isomorphisms

$$\Sigma^{-b}M \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, \widetilde{P}_0 \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_b, M))$$
$$x \mapsto f_b \cdot x$$

and

$$\Sigma^{a-b-1}M \oplus \Sigma^{a-b}M \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, \widetilde{P}_a \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_b, M))$$
$$(y, z) \mapsto g_{a,b} \cdot y + h_{a,b} \cdot z.$$

PROOF. The maps are clearly injective, so we only need to show that they are surjective.

A general element in $\widetilde{P}_0 \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_b, M)$ is on the form

$$\tilde{p}_0 \otimes \binom{p_b \mapsto x}{p_b s \mapsto y}.$$

By Lemma 2.38, the right action of the primitive element s on such an element is

$$\tilde{p}_0 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_b \mapsto xs - y \\ p_b s \mapsto y\eta - ys \end{pmatrix}.$$

For our original element to be Γ -invariant this must be zero, which gives us y = xs. In other words, a Γ -invariant element in $\widetilde{P}_0 \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_b, M)$ can be written $f_b \cdot x$, where we let x range throughout M. The grading suspension appearing in the isomorphism makes sure that this is actually a map of graded k-modules. Indeed, the internal degree of our element is

$$|f_b \cdot x| = |\tilde{p}_0| + |x| - |p_b| = |x| - b.$$

A general element in $\widetilde{P}_a \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_b, M)$ is on the form

$$\tilde{p}_a \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_b \mapsto x \\ p_b s \mapsto y \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{p}_a s \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_b \mapsto z \\ p_b s \mapsto w \end{pmatrix}.$$

We assume that this is a homogeneous element, so that |y| = |x| + 1, |z| = |x| - 1, and |w| = |x|. Letting the primitive element s act on this element from the right we obtain

$$(-1)^{|x|} \tilde{p}_a s \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_b \mapsto x \\ p_b s \mapsto y \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{p}_a \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_b \mapsto xs - y \\ p_b s \mapsto y\eta - ys \end{pmatrix} \\ - (-1)^{|x|} \tilde{p}_a s \eta \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_b \mapsto z \\ p_b s \mapsto w \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{p}_a s \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_b \mapsto zs - w \\ p_b s \mapsto w\eta - ws \end{pmatrix}.$$

For our element to be Γ -invariant we want this to add up to zero. In other words, we need to solve the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} xs - y &= 0\\ y\eta - ys &= 0\\ (-1)^{|x|}x + z\eta + zs - w &= 0\\ (-1)^{|x|}y + w\eta + w\eta - ws &= 0. \end{cases}$$

It is straight-forward to check that the solutions are given by the two independent equations

$$\begin{cases} y &= xs \\ w &= (-1)^{|x|}x + z\eta + zs, \end{cases}$$

which tells us that a Γ -invariant element can be written

$$g_{a,b} \cdot x + h_{a,b} \cdot z$$

where we are free to vary x and z in M. The suspensions in the source of the k-isomorphism are again there to make sure that the grading is preserved by the isomorphism. Indeed,

$$g_{a,b} \cdot x| = |\tilde{p}_a| + |x| - |p_b| = a - 1 + |x| - b$$

and

$$|h_{a,b} \cdot z| = |\tilde{p}_a s| + |z| - |p_b| = a + |z| - b.$$

We now need to figure out what the boundary on these generic Γ -invariant elements looks like. Keeping track of all the signs we end up with the following description of the horizontal and vertical boundaries in terms of our '*M*-basis'.

LEMMA 2.40. The horizontal boundary on $f_b \cdot x$ is given by

$$\partial^h (f_b \cdot x) = 0$$

and the vertical boundary is given by

$$\partial^{v}(f_{b} \cdot x) = \begin{cases} -(-1)^{|x|} f_{b+1} \cdot xs & b \ge 0 \text{ even} \\ -(-1)^{|x|} f_{b+1} \cdot x(s+\eta) & b \ge 1 \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2.41. The horizontal boundary on $g_{a,b} \cdot y$ is given by

$$\partial^{h}(g_{a,b} \cdot y) = \begin{cases} f_{b} \cdot y & \text{for } a = 1\\ -h_{a-1,b} \cdot y & \text{for } a \ge 2 \text{ even}\\ g_{a-1,b} \cdot y\eta - h_{a-1,b} \cdot y & \text{for } a \ge 3 \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

and the vertical boundary is given by

$$\partial^{v}(g_{a,b} \cdot y) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|y|} g_{a,b+1} \cdot ys + h_{a,b+1} \cdot y & \text{for } b \ge 0 \text{ even} \\ (-1)^{|y|} g_{a,b+1} \cdot y(s+\eta) + h_{a,b+1} \cdot y & \text{for } b \ge 1 \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2.42. The horizontal boundary on $h_{a,b} \cdot z$ is given by

$$\partial^{h}(h_{a,b} \cdot z) = \begin{cases} h_{a-1,b} \cdot z\eta & \text{for } a \ge 2 \text{ even} \\ 0 & \text{for } a \ge 1 \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

and the vertical boundary is given by

$$\partial^{v}(h_{a,b}\cdot z) = \begin{cases} -(-1)^{|z|}h_{a,b+1}\cdot z(s+\eta) & \text{for } b \geq 0 \ even\\ -(-1)^{|z|}h_{a,b+1}\cdot zs & \text{for } b \geq 1 \ odd. \end{cases}$$

We calculate the homology of U_* by filtering the first tensor factor of $U_{*,*}$ and using the spectral sequence for the total complex of a bicomplex:

$$E_{a,-b}^{1} = H_{-b}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, P_{a} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_{*}, M))) \Rightarrow H_{a-b}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, P_{*} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_{*}, M))).$$

The bicomplex $(U_{*,*}, \partial^h, \partial^v)$ is displayed in Figure 1 for the convenience of the reader.

REMARK 2.43. Let us clarify how to interpret Figure 1 and the matrix notation appearing in it. The horizontal and vertical boundaries are given in terms of the '*M*-bases' $\{f_b\}$ for $U_{0,-b}$ and $\{g_{a,b}, h_{a,b}\}$ for $U_{a,-b}$. We record $f_b \cdot x$ and $g_{a,b} \cdot y + h_{a,b} \cdot z$ as the column vectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $\begin{bmatrix} y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$,

respectively. The boundaries are then indicated by multiplication with the corresponding matrices appearing in the figure. Multiplication is done, as is usual, with the matrix on the left hand side. So, to clarify: A vertical boundary $\partial^v : U_{a,-b} \to U_{a,-b-1}$ recorded as a 2 × 2-matrix (with entries in Γ) and multiplied with the relevant column vector (with entries in M)

$$\begin{bmatrix} i & j \\ k & \ell \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} iy+jz \\ ky+\ell z \end{bmatrix}$$

indicates that this boundary is given as

$$g_{a,b} \cdot y + h_{a,b} \cdot z \mapsto g_{a,b+1} \cdot (iy+jz) + h_{a,b+1} \cdot (ky+\ell z).$$

Note that in this convention Γ ends up acting on M from the left, through the twist isomorphism followed by the right action. To see that the boundaries given in the matrix notation actually agree with the ones given in Lemma 2.40, Lemma 2.41, and Lemma 2.42, we have to switch the position of the Γ -values $(i, j, k, \text{ and } \ell)$ and the M-values (y and z), which typically introduces a sign. For example, the boundary $\partial^v : U_{a,-b} \to U_{a,-b-1}$ for even b is recorded in the figure as

$$\begin{bmatrix} s & 0 \\ 1 & -(s+\eta) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Left multiplication of this matrix with the column vector corresponding to $g_{a,b} \cdot y$ gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} s & 0 \\ 1 & -(s+\eta) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} sy \\ y \end{bmatrix},$$

which tells us that this vertical boundary is given by

$$g_{a,b} \cdot y \mapsto g_{a,b+1} \cdot sy + h_{a,b+1} \cdot y = (-1)^{|y|} g_{a,b+1} \cdot ys + h_{a,b+1} \cdot y,$$

which is indeed in agreement with Lemma 2.41.

Before we explicitly compute the first page of the spectral sequence for the bicomplex $U_{*,*}$, we again introduce some notation.

NOTATION 2.44. Let z be an element of M and write

$$\begin{aligned} u_a \cdot z &= -(-1)^{|z|} g_{a,0} \cdot z(s+\eta) - h_{a,0} \cdot z \\ &= -(-1)^{|z|} \tilde{p}_a \otimes \binom{p_0 \mapsto z(s+\eta)}{p_0 s \mapsto 0} - \tilde{p}_a s \otimes \binom{p_0 \mapsto z}{p_0 s \mapsto 0} \end{aligned}$$

for the specified element in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, \widetilde{P}_a \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_b, M))$ for $a \ge 1$ and $b \ge 0$.

FIGURE 1. The bicomplex $(U_{*,*}, \partial^h, \partial^v)$ for $\Gamma = k[s]/(s^2 = \eta s)$

PROPOSITION 2.45. The E^1 -page of the bicomplex spectral sequence for $U_{*,*}$ is given as

$$H_{-b}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, \widetilde{P}_{0} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_{*}, M))) \cong \begin{cases} f_{0} \cdot \ker(s) & \text{for } b = 0, \\ f_{b} \cdot \frac{\ker(s + \eta)}{\operatorname{im}(s)} & \text{for } b \ge 1 \text{ odd}, \\ f_{b} \cdot \frac{\ker(s)}{\operatorname{im}(s + \eta)} & \text{for } b \ge 2 \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

when a = 0, and as

$$H_{-b}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(k, \widetilde{P}_a \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M))) \cong \begin{cases} u_a \cdot M & \text{for } b = 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

when $a \geq 1$.

PROOF. This is essentially an exercise in linear algebra using the matrices in Figure 1. The kernels of the boundaries are computed by computing the null spaces of the corresponding matrices. Similarly, the images of boundaries are computed by computing the column spaces of the matrices. Let us give the details for the $a \ge 1$ case, as the a = 0 case is directly visible by inspecting the figure. The null spaces of the matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} s & 0 \\ 1 & -(s+\eta) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} s+\eta & 0 \\ 1 & -s \end{bmatrix}$$

are generated by the column vectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} s+\eta\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $\begin{bmatrix} s\\1 \end{bmatrix}$,

respectively, and the column spaces are generated by the column vectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} s \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} s+\eta \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively. From this is follows that the homology is concentrated in homological degree b = 0, in the $a \ge 1$ case. Here it consists of elements of the form

$$g_{a,0} \cdot (s+\eta)z + h_{a,0} \cdot z = (-1)^{|z|} g_{a,0} \cdot z(s+\eta) + h_{a,0} \cdot z$$

for varying z in M. For reasons concerning the multiplicative structure, we have decided to denote the above element by $-u_a \cdot z$.

In particular, note that the above result tells us that the E^1 -page of the spectral sequence is concentrated around the boundary of the fourth quadrant. The d^1 -differential $d^1: E^1_{a,-b} \to E^1_{a-1,-b}$ in the spectral sequence is induced by the horizontal boundary, and is by degree reasons only non-zero on the positive *a*-axis. There it is given by

$$d^{1}(u_{a} \cdot z) = \begin{cases} -(-1)^{|z|} f_{0} \cdot z(s+\eta) & \text{for } a = 1\\ -(-1)^{|z|} u_{a-1} \cdot zs & \text{for } a \ge 2 \text{ even} \\ -(-1)^{|z|} u_{a-1} \cdot z(s+\eta) & \text{for } a \ge 3 \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

by using Lemma 2.41 and Lemma 2.42. We conclude that the second page of the spectral sequence is concentrated along the a- and b-axes and that

$$E_{a,-b}^{2} \cong \begin{cases} f_b \cdot \frac{\ker(s)}{\operatorname{im}(s+\eta)} & \text{for } a = 0 \text{ and } b \ge 0 \text{ even,} \\ \\ f_b \cdot \frac{\ker(s+\eta)}{\operatorname{im}(s)} & \text{for } a = 0 \text{ and } b \ge 1 \text{ odd,} \\ \\ u_a \cdot \frac{\ker(s)}{\operatorname{im}(s+\eta)} & \text{for } b = 0 \text{ and } a \ge 2 \text{ even,} \\ \\ u_a \cdot \frac{\ker(s+\eta)}{\operatorname{im}(s)} & \text{for } b = 0 \text{ and } a \ge 1 \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

There is no room for further differentials, and the infinite cycles along the upper and left hand edges are necessarily also d^1 -cycles in the total complex U_* . We conclude: ,

Proposition 2.46.

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c}(k,M) \cong \begin{cases} f_{c} \cdot \frac{\ker(s)}{\operatorname{im}(s+\eta)} & \text{for } c \geq 0 \text{ even,} \\ \\ f_{c} \cdot \frac{\ker(s+\eta)}{\operatorname{im}(s)} & \text{for } c \geq 1 \text{ odd,} \\ \\ u_{-c} \cdot \frac{\ker(s)}{\operatorname{im}(s+\eta)} & \text{for } c \leq -2 \text{ even,} \\ \\ u_{-c} \cdot \frac{\ker(s+\eta)}{\operatorname{im}(s)} & \text{for } c \leq -1 \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Now all that remains is to describe the multiplicative structure. That is, given two Γ -modules M and N we want to determine the cup product

$$: \ \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c_1}(k,M) \otimes \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c_2}(k,N) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c_1+c_2}(k,M \otimes N).$$

In order to do so we need a Γ -linear chain map $\Psi \colon P_* \to P_* \otimes P_*$ covering the identity of k, and a Γ -linear chain map $\Phi \colon \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \widetilde{P}_* \to \widetilde{P}_*$ extending the fold map, as per Section 2.5.

LEMMA 2.47. A Γ -linear chain map $\Psi: P_* \to P_* \otimes P_*$ that covers the identity is given by

$$\Psi(p_b) = \sum_{b_1+b_2=b} p_{b_1} \otimes p_{b_2}$$

By Γ -linearity we have

$$\Psi(p_b s) = \sum_{b_1+b_2=b} p_{b_1} s \otimes p_{b_2} + p_{b_1} \otimes p_{b_2} s.$$

This chain map is cocommutative: $\Psi = \tau \Psi$.

PROOF. Note that

$$\partial(p_b) = p_{b-1}(s + (b-1)\eta)$$

for $b \geq 1$. To verify that Ψ , as specified in the statement of the lemma, is a chain map, we must show that

$$\partial(\Psi(p_b)) = \sum_{b_1+b_2=b} \partial(p_{b_1}) \otimes p_{b_2} + p_{b_1} \otimes \partial(p_{b_2})$$
$$= \sum_{b_1+b_2=b} p_{b_1-1}(s + (b_1 - 1)\eta) \otimes p_{b_2} + p_{b_1} \otimes p_{b_2-1}(s + (b_2 - 1)\eta)$$

is equal to

$$\Psi(\partial(p_b)) = \Psi(p_{b-1}s) + \Psi(p_{b-1})(b-1)\eta.$$

Here

$$\sum_{b_1+b_2=b} p_{b_1-1}s \otimes p_{b_2} + p_{b_1} \otimes p_{b_2-1}s = \Psi(p_{b-1})s,$$

so it remains to check that

$$\sum_{b_1+b_2=b} p_{b_1-1}(b_1-1)\eta \otimes p_{b_2} + p_{b_1} \otimes p_{b_2-1}(b_2-1)\eta = \Psi(p_{b-1})(b-1)\eta.$$

When b is odd the terms of the left hand side cancel in pairs, and the right hand side is zero. When b is even only the terms with b_1 and b_2 both even contribute to the left hand side, and these add up to $\Psi(p_{b-1})\eta$, as required. Finally,

$$(\epsilon \otimes \epsilon)(\Psi(p_0)) = 1 = \epsilon(p_0)$$

so Ψ is indeed a chain map covering $k \otimes k = k$. Cocommutativity of Ψ is clear from the explicit formulas.

LEMMA 2.48. A Γ -linear chain map $\Phi \colon \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \widetilde{P}_* \to \widetilde{P}_*$ that extends the fold map is given by

$$\Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1} \otimes \tilde{p}_{a_2}) = 0$$

$$\Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1} \otimes \tilde{p}_{a_2}s) = -\tilde{p}_a$$

$$\Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1}s \otimes \tilde{p}_{a_2}) = -\tilde{p}_a$$

$$\Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1}s \otimes \tilde{p}_{a_2}s) = -\tilde{p}_a(s+\eta)$$

for $a_1, a_2 \ge 1$ and $a = a_1 + a_2$. Furthermore,

$$\Phi(\tilde{p}_0 \otimes \tilde{p}_{a_2}) = \tilde{p}_{a_2}$$
$$\Phi(\tilde{p}_0 \otimes \tilde{p}_{a_2}s) = \tilde{p}_{a_2}s$$
$$\Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1} \otimes \tilde{p}_0) = \tilde{p}_{a_1}s$$
$$\Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1}s \otimes \tilde{p}_0) = \tilde{p}_{a_1}s$$

and $\Phi(\tilde{p}_0 \otimes \tilde{p}_0) = \tilde{p}_0$. This chain map is commutative: $\Phi = \Phi \tau$.

PROOF. Note that the differential in the chain complex \widetilde{P}_* can be described as

$$\tilde{\partial}(\tilde{p}_a) = \begin{cases} \tilde{p}_0 & \text{for } a = 1\\ -\tilde{p}_{a-1}(s + a\eta) & \text{for } a \ge 2 \end{cases}$$

To check that $\Phi,$ as specified in the statement of the lemma, is $\Gamma\text{-linear},$ we observe that

$$\Phi((\tilde{p}_{a_1} \otimes \tilde{p}_{a_2})s) = \Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1} \otimes \tilde{p}_{a_2}s - \tilde{p}_{a_1}s \otimes \tilde{p}_{a_2})$$
$$= -\tilde{p}_a + \tilde{p}_a$$
$$= 0$$
$$= \Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1} \otimes \tilde{p}_{a_2})s$$

and that

$$\begin{split} \Phi((\tilde{p}_{a_1} \otimes \tilde{p}_{a_2}s)s) &= \Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1}s \otimes \tilde{p}_{a_2}s + \tilde{p}_{a_1} \otimes \tilde{p}_{a_2}\eta s) \\ &= -\tilde{p}_a(s+\eta) + \tilde{p}_a\eta \\ &= -\tilde{p}_as \\ &= \Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1} \otimes \tilde{p}_{a_2}s)s. \end{split}$$

The check that Φ is a chain map is contained in the computations

$$\begin{split} \Phi(\partial_{\tilde{P}_*\otimes\tilde{P}_*}(\tilde{p}_{a_1}\otimes\tilde{p}_{a_2})) &= \Phi(\tilde{\partial}(\tilde{p}_{a_1})\otimes\tilde{p}_{a_2}) - \Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1}\otimes\tilde{\partial}(\tilde{p}_{a_2})) \\ &= \begin{cases} -\Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1-1}(s+a_1\eta)\otimes\tilde{p}_{a_2}) \\ +\Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1}\otimes\tilde{p}_{a_2-1}(s+a_2\eta)) & \text{for } a_1, a_2 \geq 2, \\ \Phi(\tilde{p}_0\otimes\tilde{p}_{a_2}) \\ +\Phi(\tilde{p}_1\otimes\tilde{p}_{a_2-1}(s+a_2\eta)) & \text{for } a_1 = 1, a_2 \geq 2, \\ -\Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1-1}(s+a_1\eta)\otimes\tilde{p}_1) \\ -\Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1}\otimes\tilde{p}_0) & \text{for } a_1 \geq 2, a_2 = 1 \\ &= \tilde{p}_{a-1} - \tilde{p}_{a-1} \\ &= 0 \\ &= \tilde{\partial}(\Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1}\otimes\tilde{p}_{a_2})) \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \Phi(\partial_{\tilde{P}_*\otimes\tilde{P}_*}(\tilde{p}_{a_1}\otimes\tilde{p}_{a_2}s)) &= \Phi(\tilde{\partial}(\tilde{p}_{a_1})\otimes\tilde{p}_{a_2}s) - \Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1}\otimes\tilde{\partial}(\tilde{p}_{a_2}s)) \\ &= \begin{cases} -\Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1-1}(s+a_1\eta)\otimes\tilde{p}_{a_2}s) \\ +\Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1}\otimes\tilde{p}_{a_2-1}(s+a_2\eta)s) & \text{for } a_1, a_2 \geq 2, \\ \Phi(\tilde{p}_0\otimes\tilde{p}_{a_2}s) \\ +\Phi(\tilde{p}_1\otimes\tilde{p}_{a_2-1}(s+a_2\eta)s) & \text{for } a_1 = 1, a_2 \geq 2, \\ -\Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1-1}(s+a_1\eta)\otimes\tilde{p}_1s) \\ -\Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1}\otimes\tilde{p}_0s) & \text{for } a_1 \geq 2, a_2 = 1 \\ &= \tilde{p}_{a-1}(s+a\eta) \\ &= -\tilde{\partial}(\tilde{p}_a) \\ &= \tilde{\partial}(\Phi(\tilde{p}_{a_1}\otimes\tilde{p}_{a_2}s)). \end{split}$$

Commutativity of Φ is clear from the explicit formulas.

Now we want to use the above chain maps to compute the multiplicative structure. Recall that the cup product is induced by the composite pairing

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M) \otimes \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, N) \\ \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \tau \otimes 1} \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, N) \\ \xrightarrow{1 \otimes 1 \otimes \alpha} \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_* \otimes P_*, M \otimes N) \\ \xrightarrow{\Phi \otimes \Psi^*} \widetilde{P}_* \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M \otimes N). \end{split}$$

There are two signs to be wary of here; the first one comes from twisting the factor $\operatorname{Hom}(P_*, M)$ past the second \widetilde{P}_* -factor, and the second sign comes from using the canonical map α . Please refer to Equation (2.1). We note that the cocommutativity of Γ , symmetry of α , commutativity of Φ and cocommutativity of Ψ imply that this particular model for the cochain cup product is graded commutative. The cup product computations, which can be found in the lemmas below, are straightforward computations. We only include the verification of two of the lemmas, as the other two are very similar.

LEMMA 2.49. Let $f_{b_1} \cdot m$ be a cycle with homology class in $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{b_1}(k, M)$ and let $f_{b_2} \cdot n$ be a cycle with homology class in $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{b_2}(k, N)$. The cup product of these is the cycle

$$f_{b_1} \cdot m \smile f_{b_2} \cdot n = f_{b_1 + b_2} \cdot m \otimes n$$

with homology class in $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{b_1+b_2}(k, M \otimes N).$

LEMMA 2.50. Let $u_{a_1} \cdot m$ be a cycle with homology class in $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{-a_1}(k, M)$ and let $u_{a_2} \cdot n$ be a cycle with homology class in $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{-a_2}(k, N)$. The cup product of these is the cycle

 $u_{a_1} \cdot m \smile u_{a_2} \cdot n = u_{a_1 + a_2} \cdot m \otimes n$

with homology class in $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{-a_1-a_2}(k, M \otimes N).$

LEMMA 2.51. Let $f_0 \cdot m$ and $u_a \cdot n$ be cycles with homology classes in $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^0(k, M)$ and $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{-a}(k, N)$, respectively. The cup product of these is the cycle

$$f_0 \cdot m \smile u_a \cdot n = u_a \cdot m \otimes n$$

with homology class in $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{-a}(k, M \otimes N)$. By graded commutativity we have

$$u_a \cdot n \smile f_0 \cdot m = u_a \cdot n \otimes m.$$

PROOF. Since $f_0 \cdot m$ is assumed to be a cycle in U_* we know that m is an element in ker(s). An explicit description of this cycle is then

$$f_0 \cdot m = \tilde{p}_0 \otimes \binom{p_0 \mapsto m}{p_0 s \mapsto 0}.$$

The first map $1 \otimes \tau \otimes 1$ in the composite pairing twists the second tensor factor past the third one, so that

$$\begin{split} \tilde{p}_0 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \mapsto m \\ p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -(-1)^{|n|} \tilde{p}_a \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \mapsto n(s+\eta) \\ p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix} - \tilde{p}_a s \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \mapsto n \\ p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mapsto -(-1)^{|m|+|n|} \tilde{p}_0 \otimes \tilde{p}_a \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \mapsto m \\ p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \mapsto n(s+\eta) \\ p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & - \tilde{p}_0 \otimes \tilde{p}_a s \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \mapsto m \\ p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \mapsto n \\ p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

The second map in the composite is $1 \otimes 1 \otimes \alpha$, so that

$$\begin{split} -(-1)^{|m|+|n|} \tilde{p}_0 \otimes \tilde{p}_a \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \mapsto m \\ p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \mapsto n(s+\eta) \\ p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &- \tilde{p}_0 \otimes \tilde{p}_a s \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \mapsto m \\ p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \mapsto n \\ p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\mapsto -(-1)^{|m|+|n|} \tilde{p}_0 \otimes \tilde{p}_a \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \otimes p_0 \mapsto m \otimes n(s+\eta) \\ p_0 s \otimes p_0 s \mapsto 0 \\ p_0 \otimes p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &- \tilde{p}_0 \otimes \tilde{p}_a s \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \otimes p_0 \mapsto m \otimes n \\ p_0 s \otimes p_0 s \mapsto 0 \\ p_0 \otimes p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &- \tilde{p}_0 \otimes p_0 s \mapsto 0 \\ p_0 s \otimes p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix} . \end{split}$$

Lastly, the computations of Ψ and Φ given in Lemma 2.47 and Lemma 2.48 tell us that the final map in the composite is such that

$$- (-1)^{|m|+|n|} \tilde{p}_0 \otimes \tilde{p}_a \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \otimes p_0 \mapsto m \otimes n(s+\eta) \\ p_0 s \otimes p_0 \mapsto 0 \\ p_0 \otimes p_0 s \mapsto 0 \\ p_0 s \otimes p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$- \tilde{p}_0 \otimes \tilde{p}_a s \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \otimes p_0 \mapsto m \otimes n \\ p_0 s \otimes p_0 \mapsto 0 \\ p_0 \otimes p_0 s \mapsto 0 \\ p_0 s \otimes p_0 s \mapsto 0 \\ p_0 s \otimes p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto - (-1)^{|m|+|n|} \tilde{p}_a \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \mapsto m \otimes n(s+\eta) \\ p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$- \tilde{p}_a s \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \mapsto m \otimes n \\ p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the target can be identified with $u_a \cdot (m \otimes n)$, as wanted.

By graded commutativity of \smile , we have

$$u_a \cdot n \smile f_0 \cdot m = (-1)^{|m||n|} f_0 \cdot m \smile u_a \cdot n$$
$$= (-1)^{|m||n|} u_a \cdot m \otimes n$$
$$= u_a \cdot n \otimes m.$$

LEMMA 2.52. Let $f_1 \cdot m$ and $u_1 \cdot n$ be cycles with homology classes in $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^1(k, M)$ and $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{-1}(k, N)$, respectively. Then the two cycles

$$f_1 \cdot m \smile u_1 \cdot n \simeq f_0 \cdot m \otimes n.$$

are homologous in the complex U_* . It follows that they determine the same class in $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^0_{\Gamma}(k, M \otimes N)$.

PROOF. Since $f_1 \cdot m$ and $u_1 \cdot n$ are assumed to be cycles we know that m and n are elements in ker $(s + \eta)$, which directly implies that $m \otimes n$ is an element of ker(s)

since

$$(m \otimes n) \cdot s = m \otimes ns + (-1)^{|n|} ms \otimes n$$

= $m \otimes ns + m \otimes n\eta + m \otimes n\eta + (-1)^{|n|} ms \otimes n$
= $m \otimes n(s+\eta) + (-1)^{|n|} m(s+\eta) \otimes n$
= $0.$

An explicit description of the two cycles $f_1 \cdot m$ and $u_1 \cdot n$ is

$$f_1 \cdot m = \tilde{p}_0 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \mapsto m \\ p_1 s \mapsto ms \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $u_1 \cdot n = -\tilde{p}_1 s \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \mapsto n \\ p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

The first map $1 \otimes \tau \otimes 1$ in the composite pairing twists the second tensor factor past the third one, so that

$$-\tilde{p}_0 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \mapsto m \\ p_1 s \mapsto ms \end{pmatrix} \otimes \tilde{p}_1 s \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \mapsto n \\ p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto -\tilde{p}_0 \otimes \tilde{p}_1 s \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \mapsto m \\ p_1 s \mapsto ms \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \mapsto n \\ p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The second map in the composite is $1 \otimes 1 \otimes \alpha$, so that

$$-\tilde{p}_0 \otimes \tilde{p}_1 s \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \mapsto m \\ p_1 s \mapsto ms \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \mapsto n \\ p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto -\tilde{p}_0 \otimes \tilde{p}_1 s \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \otimes p_0 \mapsto m \otimes n \\ p_1 s \otimes p_0 \mapsto (-1)^{|n|} ms \otimes n \\ p_1 \otimes p_0 s \mapsto 0 \\ p_1 s \otimes p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Lastly, the computations of Ψ and Φ given in Lemma 2.47 and Lemma 2.48 tells us that the final map in the composite is such that

$$-\tilde{p}_0 \otimes \tilde{p}_1 s \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \otimes p_0 \mapsto m \otimes n \\ p_1 s \otimes p_0 \mapsto (-1)^{|n|} m s \otimes n \\ p_1 \otimes p_0 s \mapsto 0 \\ p_1 s \otimes p_0 s \mapsto 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto -\tilde{p}_1 s \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \mapsto m \otimes n \\ p_1 s \mapsto (-1)^{|n|} m s \otimes n \end{pmatrix}.$$

The right hand term can be identified with $-h_{1,1} \cdot m \otimes n$. We conclude that

$$f_1 \cdot m \smile u_1 \cdot n = -h_{1,1} \cdot m \otimes n.$$

Note that the boundary of $g_{1,0} \cdot m \otimes n$ is

$$\partial(g_{1,0}\cdot m\otimes n)=f_0\cdot m\otimes n+h_{1,1}\cdot m\otimes n,$$

which tells us that $f_0 \cdot m \otimes n$ and $-h_{1,1} \cdot m \otimes n$ are homologous in U_* , and hence represent the same class in $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^0_{\Gamma}(k, M \otimes N)$.

We decide to make a final change of notation.

NOTATION 2.53. Let $t^b \cdot m$ and $t^{-a} \cdot n$ denote the homology classes

$$t^b \cdot m = [f_b \cdot m]$$
 and $t^{-a} \cdot n = [u_a \cdot n]$

in $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{b}(k, M)$ for $b \ge 0$ and in $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{-a}(k, N)$ for $a \ge 1$, respectively.

Note that $t^b \cdot m$ has internal and total degrees equal to those of $f_b \cdot m$, so that

$$|t^b \cdot m| = |m| - b$$
 and $||t^b \cdot m|| = |m| - 2b$.

Similarly, $t^{-a} \cdot n$ has internal and total degrees equal to those of $u_a \cdot n$, so that

 $|t^{-a} \cdot n| = a + |n|$ and $||t^{-a} \cdot n|| = 2a + |n|.$

We conclude that, formally, the symbol t has homological degree -1, internal degree |t| = -1 and total degree ||t|| = -2. Using this new notation we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.54.

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c}(k,M) \cong \begin{cases} t^{c} \cdot \frac{\ker(s)}{\operatorname{im}(s+\eta)} & \text{for } c \text{ even,} \\ \\ t^{c} \cdot \frac{\ker(s+\eta)}{\operatorname{im}(s)} & \text{for } c \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

The cup product

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c_1}(k,M) \otimes \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c_2}(k,N) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c_1+c_2}(k,M \otimes N)$$

is given by

$$(t^{c_1} \cdot m) \smile (t^{c_2} \cdot n) = t^{c_1 + c_2} \cdot m \otimes n.$$

COROLLARY 2.55.

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c}(k,k) \cong \begin{cases} t^{c} \cdot \operatorname{coker}(\eta) & \text{for } c \text{ even,} \\ t^{c} \cdot \operatorname{ker}(\eta) & \text{for } c \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

In this case, the cup product

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c_1}(k,k) \otimes \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c_2}(k,k) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c_1+c_2}(k,k)$$

is given by

$$(t^{c_1}\cdot x)\smile (t^{c_2}\cdot y)=t^{c_1+c_2}\cdot xy$$

and makes $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{*}(k,k)$ into a k-algebra over which $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{*}(k,M)$ is a module for any Γ -module M.

REMARK 2.56. Note that in Theorem 2.54 above, the answer is also the homology of a differential graded Γ -module

 $M[t, t^{-1}]$

with differential given by

$$d(m) = tms$$
 and $d(t) = t^2 \eta$,

where m is an element of M and t has homological degree -1 and internal degree -1. More precisely, the differential satisfies a Leibniz rule in the form

$$d(t^{c}m) = d(t^{c})m + t^{c}d(m) = ct^{c+1}\eta m + t^{c+1}ms$$
$$= \begin{cases} t^{c+1}ms & \text{if } c \text{ is even,} \\ t^{c+1}m(s+\eta) & \text{if } c \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

and this gives us the same homology groups as in Theorem 2.54. Note that this is also true multiplicatively: if $\mu: M \otimes N \to L$ is a pairing of Γ -modules, then the cup product

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c_1}(k,M) \otimes \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c_2}(k,N) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c_1+c_2}(k,M \otimes N) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\Gamma}^{c_1+c_2}(k,L)$$

is precisely the one induced by the obvious pairing

$$M[t, t^{-1}] \otimes N[t, t^{-1}] \longrightarrow L[t, t^{-1}]$$

on homology.

CHAPTER 3

Homotopy Groups of Orthogonal G-Spectra

In this chapter we discuss some results regarding equivariant stable homotopy groups. Our chosen model for equivariant spectra is orthogonal *G*-spectra, and we recall some basic theory about these objects and their homotopy groups in Section 3.1. In Section 3.2 we define the main Hopf algebra that we will work with in this memoir, namely the (non-equivariant) homotopy groups of the unreduced suspension spectrum of a compact Lie group, also referred to as the spherical group ring $\mathbb{S}[G]$ of that group. Since our main group of interest is the circle \mathbb{T} , we also give an explicit description of $\pi_*(\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}])$ as an algebra over $\pi_*(\mathbb{S})$. Lastly, in Section 3.3 we show, under suitable projectivity assumptions, that we can sometimes describe the equivariant homotopy groups $\pi^G_*(X)$ of an orthogonal *G*-spectrum *X* as the ' $\pi_*(\mathbb{S}[G])$ -invariants' of the non-equivariant homotopy groups $\pi_*(X)$.

3.1. Equivariant homotopy groups

Let G be a compact Lie group, and let X be an orthogonal G-spectrum, as in [**MM02**, §II.2] and [**Sch18**, §3.1]. In what follows, we will always assume that G acts from the right. Recall that, in particular, X associates to each (finitedimensional, orthogonal) G-representation V a based G-space X(V), and to each pair (U, V) of G-representations a G-equivariant structure map $\sigma: \Sigma^U X(V) \to X(U \oplus V)$.

We can define G-equivariant homotopy groups $\pi^G_*(X)$ associated to X. To do this, one fixes a complete G-universe¹ \mathscr{U} containing a fixed copy of \mathbb{R}^{∞} . Note that the set of finite-dimensional G-subrepresentations of \mathscr{U} is partially ordered by inclusion. For non-negative integers $q \geq 0$, we define the qth G-equivariant homotopy group of X as the colimit, over this directed partially ordered set, of the sets of homotopy classes [f] of G-maps $f: \Sigma^V S^q \to X(V)$:

$$\pi_q^G(X) = \operatorname{colim}_V [\Sigma^V S^q, X(V)]^G.$$

Similarly, to define the non-positive G-equivariant homotopy groups, we let

$$\pi^{G}_{-q}(X) = \operatorname{colim}_{V}[\Sigma^{V-\mathbb{R}^{q}}S^{0}, X(V)]^{G}$$

where $V - \mathbb{R}^q$ denotes the orthogonal complement of \mathbb{R}^q in V. Here the colimit is formed over the partially ordered set of subrepresentations V in \mathscr{U} that contain \mathbb{R}^q . These definitions agree for q = 0. Each equivariant homotopy group $\pi_q^G(X)$ is naturally an abelian group.

 $^{^{1}}$ A complete *G*-universe is an orthogonal representation of countably infinite dimension in which every finite dimensional *G*-representation, and their countably infinite direct sums, embeds.

Given a group homomorphism $H \to G$, we can view any G-spectrum as an H-spectrum. This gives rise to a restriction map

$$\operatorname{res}_{H}^{G} \colon \pi_{*}^{G}(X) \longrightarrow \pi_{*}^{H}(X)$$

of graded abelian groups. In particular, any G-spectrum can be viewed as a nonequivariant spectrum via the inclusion homomorphism $1 \to G$, where 1 denotes the trivial group. In this case, we will write $\pi_*(X)$ in place of $\pi_*^1(X)$, as these are simply the ordinary non-equivariant homotopy groups of X viewed as a non-equivariant orthogonal spectrum.

The category of orthogonal G-spectra is symmetric monoidal, with the symmetric monoidal product being denoted \wedge and referred to as the *smash product*. The unit of this symmetric monoidal structure is the sphere spectrum \mathbb{S} with the trivial G-action. Any pairing $\phi: X \wedge Y \to Z$ of orthogonal G-spectra gives rise to a pairing of the corresponding equivariant homotopy groups. Consider classes $[f] \in \pi_p^G(X)$ and $[g] \in \pi_q^G(Y)$, represented by homotopy classes of G-maps $f: \Sigma^V S^p \to X(V)$ and $g: \Sigma^W S^q \to Y(W)$, respectively. The induced pairing

$$\phi_* \colon \pi_p^G(X) \otimes \pi_q^G(Y) \longrightarrow \pi_{p+q}^G(Z)$$

maps $[f] \otimes [g]$ to the element represented by the homotopy class of the composite

$$\Sigma^{V \oplus W} S^{p+q} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma^V S^p \wedge \Sigma^W S^q \xrightarrow{f \wedge g} X(V) \wedge Y(W) \xrightarrow{\phi} Z(V \oplus W).$$

Similar constructions can be carried out if p or q is negative, although this is a bit tricky. One approach is to use the suspension isomorphisms $E: \pi_p^G(X) \cong \pi_{p+1}^G(X \wedge S^1)$ and define ϕ_* as the composite

$$\begin{split} \pi_p^G(X) \otimes \pi_q^G(Y) &\cong \pi_{p+n}^G(X \wedge S^n) \otimes \pi_{q+m}^G(Y \wedge S^m) \\ &\longrightarrow \pi_{p+n+q+m}^G(X \wedge S^n \wedge Y \wedge S^m) \\ &\xrightarrow{(-1)^{n_q} \tau_*} \pi_{p+q+n+m}^G(X \wedge Y \wedge S^n \wedge S^m) \\ &\longrightarrow \pi_{p+q+n+m}^G(Z \wedge S^n \wedge S^m) \cong \pi_{p+q}^G(Z) \end{split}$$

for n and m such that $p+n \ge 0$ and $q+m \ge 0$. In this way, we obtain a pairing

$$\phi_* \colon \pi^G_*(X) \otimes \pi^G_*(Y) \longrightarrow \pi^G_*(Z)$$

of graded abelian groups.

REMARK 3.1. More generally, given a group homomorphism $\alpha: G \to H \times K$ and an α -equivariant map $X \wedge Y \to Z$, where X, Y and Z are orthogonal H-, Kand G-spectra, respectively, we obtain a pairing

$$\pi^H_*(X) \otimes \pi^K_*(Y) \longrightarrow \pi^G_*(Z).$$

Here, α -equivariant means that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X \wedge Y \wedge G_{+} \xrightarrow{1 \wedge 1 \wedge \alpha} X \wedge Y \wedge H_{+} \wedge K_{+} \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \tau \wedge 1} X \wedge H_{+} \wedge Y \wedge K_{+} & \longrightarrow X \wedge Y \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z \wedge G_{+} & & & \downarrow \\ \end{array}$$

commutes.

If R is a commutative (non-equivariant) orthogonal ring spectrum, with multiplication $\mu: R \wedge R \to R$, then the induced pairing

$$\mu_* \colon \pi_*(R) \otimes \pi_*(R) \longrightarrow \pi_*(R)$$

of (non-equivariant) homotopy groups makes $R_* = \pi_*(R)$ into a graded commutative ring. A right *R*-module in orthogonal *G*-spectra is an orthogonal *G*-spectrum *X* with an associative and unital action $\rho: X \wedge R \to X$, defined in the category of orthogonal *G*-spectra. Here *R* is regarded as a *G*-spectrum with trivial action. In this case, there is an induced pairing

$$\rho_* \colon \pi^G_*(X) \otimes R_* \longrightarrow \pi^G_*(X)$$

making $\pi^G_*(X)$ into a right R_* -module. If X and Y are two R-modules in orthogonal G-spectra, then the canonical map $X \wedge Y \to X \wedge_R Y$ induces a pairing

$$\pi^G_*(X) \otimes \pi^G_*(Y) \longrightarrow \pi^G_*(X \wedge_R Y)$$

that equalizes the two composites from $\pi^G_*(X) \otimes R_* \otimes \pi^G_*(Y)$, so that we have the induced dashed map making the diagram

$$\pi^{G}_{*}(X) \otimes R_{*} \otimes \pi^{G}_{*}(Y) \Longrightarrow \pi^{G}_{*}(X) \otimes \pi^{G}_{*}(Y) \longrightarrow \pi^{G}_{*}(X) \otimes_{R_{*}} \pi^{G}_{*}(Y)$$

commute.

3.2. A cocommutative Hopf algebra

Let us introduce the Hopf algebra that we will work with through the remainder of this memoir. The right *R*-action on $R[G] = R \wedge G_+$ is given by the composite map

$$R \wedge G_+ \wedge R \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \tau} R \wedge R \wedge G_+ \xrightarrow{\mu \wedge 1} R \wedge G_+.$$

LEMMA 3.2. If $R[G]_* = \pi_*(R \wedge G_+)$ is flat as a (right) R_* -module, then $R[G]_*$ is naturally a cocommutative Hopf algebra over $R_* = \pi_*(R)$.

PROOF. We have a pairing

$$R[G]_* \otimes_{R_*} R[G]_* = \pi_*(R \wedge G_+) \otimes_{\pi_*(R)} \pi_*(R \wedge G_+)$$

$$\stackrel{\cdot}{\longrightarrow} \pi_*((R \wedge G_+) \wedge_R (R \wedge G_+)) \cong \pi_*(R \wedge G_+ \wedge G_+),$$

where the left R_* -action on the right hand copy of $R[G]_*$ is equal to that obtained by twisting the right R_* -action. When $R[G]_*$ is flat as a right R_* -module, it follows by a well-known induction [Ada69, Lec. 3, Lem. 1, p. 68] over the cells of a CW structure on the right hand copy of G that the pairing above is an isomorphism of R_* -modules.

The unit inclusion $1 \to G$, group multiplication $G \times G \to G$, collapse $G \to 1$, diagonal $G \to G \times G$ and group inverse $G \to G$ give us *R*-module maps $R \to R \wedge G_+$, $R \wedge G_+ \wedge_R R \wedge G_+ \to R \wedge G_+$, $R \wedge G_+ \to R$, $R \wedge G_+ \to R \wedge G_+ \wedge_R R \wedge G_+$ and $R \wedge G_+ \rightarrow R \wedge G_+$ that induce R_* -module homomorphisms

$$\begin{split} \eta \colon R_* &\longrightarrow R[G]_* \\ \phi \colon R[G]_* \otimes_{R_*} R[G]_* &\longrightarrow R[G]_* \\ \epsilon \colon R[G]_* &\longrightarrow R_* \\ \psi \colon R[G]_* &\longrightarrow R[G]_* \otimes_{R_*} R[G]_* \\ \chi \colon R[G]_* &\longrightarrow R[G]_* \end{split}$$

which make $R[G]_*$ a Hopf algebra over R_* . The cocommutativity of the diagonal implies that ψ is cocommutative.

By the discussion in Section 2.1, the category of modules over $R[G]_*$ is closed symmetric monoidal. Note that if X is an R-module in orthogonal G-spectra, then the commuting right R- and G-actions combine to define an action

$$\gamma \colon X \wedge_R R[G] \cong X \wedge G_+ \longrightarrow X$$

which makes the underlying (non-equivariant) orthogonal spectrum of X into a right R[G]-module in the category of (non-equivariant) R-modules. The induced pairing

$$\gamma_* : \pi_*(X) \otimes_{R_*} R[G]_* \longrightarrow \pi_*(X)$$

gives the (non-equivariant) homotopy groups $\pi_*(X)$ the structure of a right $R[G]_*$ module. If Y is a second R-module in orthogonal G-spectra, the pairing

$$\pi_*(X) \otimes_{R_*} \pi_*(Y) \xrightarrow{\cdot} \pi_*(X \wedge_R Y)$$

is a homomorphism of $R[G]_*$ -modules, where the Hopf algebra $R[G]_*$ acts diagonally on the left hand side. Likewise,

$$\pi_*F_R(X,Y) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R_*}(\pi_*(X),\pi_*(Y))$$

is a homomorphism of $R[G]_*$ -modules, where the Hopf algebra $R[G]_*$ acts by conjugation on the right hand side.

The case we are the most interested in is when the Lie group is the circle, so let us compute the homotopy groups of the spherical group ring of this specific group.

PROPOSITION 3.3. When $G = \mathbb{T} = U(1)$ is the circle group,

$$R[\mathbb{T}]_* = R_*[s]/(s^2 = \eta s)$$

with $|s| = |\eta| = 1$. Here s generates the augmentation ideal

$$\overline{R[\mathbb{T}]}_* = \ker(\epsilon \colon R[\mathbb{T}]_* \longrightarrow R_*) = R_*\{s\},$$

and η is the image of the complex Hopf map in $\pi_1(\mathbb{S}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. The generator s is primitive, so the coproduct and involution are given by $\psi(s) = s \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes s$ and $\chi(s) = -s$.

PROOF. It suffices to prove the result for $R = \mathbb{S}$. Proving this we would know that $\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_*$ is free over \mathbb{S}_* , so that the case of a general ground ring spectrum R follows immediately from the isomorphism $R[\mathbb{T}]_* \cong \mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_* \otimes_{\mathbb{S}_*} R_*$.

To prove the result for the sphere spectrum, we start by noting that the cofibre sequence

$$S^0 \cong 1_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{T} \cong S^1$$

admits a retraction $\mathbb{T}_+ \to \mathbb{1}_+$. Hence the induced stable cofibre sequence

$$\mathbb{S} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}] \xrightarrow{p} \Sigma \mathbb{S}$$

admits a retraction $c: \mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}] \to \mathbb{S}$ and a section $s: \Sigma \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]$ with $ps \simeq 1$ and $cs \simeq 0$. The maps *i* and *s* represent classes in $\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_*$ of total (and internal) degree 0 and 1, respectively, and induce an isomorphism $\mathbb{S}_*\{i,s\} \cong \mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_*$. Here, *i* is the multiplicative unit and *s* generates the augmentation ideal $\overline{\mathbb{S}}[\mathbb{T}]_* = \mathbb{S}_*\{s\}$. It only remains to prove that we have the relation $s^2 = \eta s$ in $\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_2$. This is the content of formula (1.4.4) in [**Hes96**]. We give the following direct argument using the bar construction [**Seg68**, §3], [**May75**, §7] and the bar spectral sequence [**Seg68**, §5], [**May72**, §11]. We shall discuss these tools at greater length in Section 5.1.

The bar construction of \mathbb{T} is the geometric realization $B\mathbb{T} = |B_{\bullet}\mathbb{T}| \simeq \mathbb{C}P^{\infty}$ of the simplicial space

$$[q] \mapsto B_q \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}^q,$$

with the usual face and degeneracy maps. There is a standard filtration of $B\mathbb{T}$ by simplicial skeleta. The associated spectral sequence in (reduced) stable homotopy has E^1 -page given as the normalised bar complex $NB_*(\mathbb{S}_*, \mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_*, \mathbb{S}_*)$

$$0 \leftarrow 0 \xleftarrow{d_1^1} \overline{\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]}_* \xleftarrow{d_2^1} \overline{\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]}_* \otimes_{\mathbb{S}_*} \overline{\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]}_* \xleftarrow{d_3^1} \cdots$$

which we reviewed in Construction 2.26. This spectral sequence converges (strongly) to $\pi_* \Sigma^{\infty}(B\mathbb{T}) \cong \pi_* \Sigma^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}P^{\infty})$. The part of the E^1 -page that will be relevant to us is pictured below, with the origin in the lower left hand corner:

$$0 \qquad \overline{\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]}_2 \longleftarrow \overline{\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]}_1 \otimes \overline{\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]}_1 \qquad \dots \qquad \dots$$
$$0 \qquad \overline{\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]}_1 \qquad 0 \qquad 0 \qquad \dots$$

Firstly,

. . .

. . .

$$d_2^1(x \otimes y) = \epsilon(x)y - xy + x\epsilon(y) = -xy$$

for $x, y \in \overline{\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]}_*$, since x and y both augment to zero. With prior understanding of the stable homotopy groups of $\mathbb{C}P^{\infty}$ we can now figure out the displayed differential. The stable class of the inclusion $S^2 \cong \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathbb{C}P^{\infty}$ is well-known to generate $\pi_2 \Sigma^{\infty} \mathbb{C}P^{\infty} \cong \mathbb{Z}$. For degree reasons this must be detected by $\pm s$ in $E_{1,1}^{\infty} = E_{1,1}^1 = \overline{\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]}_1 = \mathbb{Z}\{s\}$. The 4-cell in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ is attached by the Hopf fibration η to $\mathbb{C}P^1$, so that $\pi_3 \Sigma^{\infty} \mathbb{C}P^{\infty} = 0$. This forces $\eta s \in E_{1,2}^1 = \overline{\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\{\eta s\}$ to be a boundary in the spectral sequence. For degree reasons, the only possibility is that

$$\eta s = d_2^1(s \otimes s),$$

so that $s^2 = \eta s$.

Note that the coproduct $\psi(s)$ must contain the terms $s \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes s$ by counitality, and cannot contain other terms since $\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_*$ is connected and |s| = 1. Hence s is a primitive element of our Hopf algebra.

. . .

PROPOSITION 3.4. When $G = \mathbb{U} = Sp(1)$ is the 3-sphere group,

$$R[\mathbb{U}]_{*} = R_{*}[t]/(t^{2} = \dot{\nu}t)$$

with $|t| = |\dot{\nu}| = 3$. Here t generates the augmentation ideal

$$R[\mathbb{U}]_* = \ker(\epsilon \colon R[\mathbb{U}]_* \longrightarrow R_*) = R_*\{t\},\$$

and $\dot{\nu}$ is the image of a generator of $\pi_3(\mathbb{S}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/24$. The coproduct is given by $\psi(t) = t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t$.

 \square

PROOF. Similar to the circle case.

Note that we cannot assert from this line of argument that $\dot{\nu}$ is the image of the quaternionic Hopf map. The bar spectral sequence argument for R = S only shows that $t^2 = \dot{\nu}t$ with $\dot{\nu}$ some generator of $\pi_3(S) \cong \mathbb{Z}/24$. A more geometric argument might link $\dot{\nu}$ to the standard generator ν of $\pi_3(S)$, but we will not pursue this here.

3.3. A restriction homomorphism

As explained earlier, the inclusion homomorphism $1 \to G$ gives rise to a map of graded abelian groups

$$\operatorname{res}_1^G \colon \pi^G_*(X) \longrightarrow \pi_*(X)$$

taking the homotopy class of a G-map $f: \Sigma^V S^q \to X(V)$ to the homotopy class of the underlying non-equivariant map, and similarly for G-maps $\Sigma^{V-\mathbb{R}^q}S^0 \to X(V)$. Tracing through definitions shows that res_1^G is R_* -linear if X is an R-module in orthogonal G-spectra. We are interested in the following refined restriction homomorphism.

LEMMA 3.5. There is a natural R_* -module homomorphism

 $\omega_X \colon \pi^G_*(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, \pi_*(X))$

making the triangle in the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{c} \pi^G_*(X) \\ & & \\ \omega_X \\ & & \\ \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, \pi_*(X)) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{res}_1^G} \pi_*(X) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_*}(R[G]_*, \pi_*(X)) \end{array}$$

Here $\tilde{\epsilon}$ denotes the adjoint of the trivial $R[G]_*$ -action ϵ_* on $\pi_*(X)$, which equals the composite

 $\epsilon_* \colon \pi_*(X) \otimes_{R_*} R[G]_* \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \epsilon} \pi_*(X) \otimes_{R_*} R_* \cong \pi_*(X).$

Similarly $\tilde{\gamma}$ denotes the adjoint to the $R[G]_*$ -action

$$\gamma_* \colon \pi_*(X) \otimes_{R_*} R[G]_* \longrightarrow \pi_*(X)$$

on $\pi_*(X)$.

PROOF. We claim that the two composite homomorphisms

$$\pi^G_*(X) \otimes_{R_*} R[G]_* \xrightarrow{\operatorname{res}^G_1 \otimes 1} \pi_*(X) \otimes_{R_*} R[G]_* \xrightarrow{\gamma_*}_{\epsilon_*} \pi_*(X)$$

are equal. This implies that the two adjoint homomorphisms

$$\pi^G_*(X) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{res}_1^G} \pi_*(X) \xrightarrow[\tilde{\gamma}]{\tilde{\gamma}} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_*}(R[G]_*, \pi_*(X))$$

are equal, so that res_1^G factors uniquely through the equalizer $\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, \pi_*(X))$ of the two right-hand arrows.

By fibrant replacement we may assume that X is an Ω -G-spectrum [**MM02**, Def. III.3.1], meaning that each adjoint structure map $X(V) \to \Omega^{W-V}X(W)$ is a weak G-equivalence, where $V \subset W$ lie in our fixed complete G-universe \mathscr{U} . Then each element x in $\pi^G_*(X)$ is represented by the homotopy class [f] of a G-map $f: S^m \to X(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for suitable non-negative integers m and n. Here G acts trivially on S^m , so f factors through the fixed points $X(\mathbb{R}^n)^G$, where the G-action γ is trivial. It follows that $\tilde{\gamma}$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}$ agree on res^G₁(x) $\otimes y$ for any $y \in R[G]_*$, as claimed. \Box

PROPOSITION 3.6. If $R[G]_*$ is projective as an R_* -module, and $X \simeq F(G_+, Y)$ for some R-module Y in orthogonal G-spectra, then the natural homomorphism

$$\omega_X \colon \pi^G_*(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, \pi_*(X))$$

is an isomorphism.

PROOF. By fibrant replacement, we may assume that Y is an Ω -G-spectrum. As usual we give $F(G_+, Y) \cong F_R(R[G], Y)$ the conjugate G-action. By naturality of ω_X we may assume that $X = F(G_+, Y)$, in which case X is also an Ω -G-spectrum.

Let us consider the commutative diagram

We make the maps involved a bit more explicit. The vertical isomorphisms are given as follows. The left hand vertical isomorphism $\pi^G_*(X) = \pi^G_*(F(G_+, Y)) \to \pi_*(Y)$ takes the homotopy class of a *G*-map $f: S^m \to X(\mathbb{R}^n) = F(G_+, Y(\mathbb{R}^n))$ bijectively to the homotopy class of $f': S^m \to Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by f'(s) = f(s)(e), where $e \in G$ is the unit element of our group. The right hand vertical isomorphism is the special case Z = R[G] of the natural $R[G]_*$ -module homomorphism

$$\pi_*F_R(Z,Y) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R_*}(\pi_*(Z),\pi_*(Y)).$$

Indeed, this is an isomorphism whenever $\pi_*(Z)$ is projective as an R_* -module. The top horizontal map is the restriction homomorphism we described at the beginning of this section, and the lower horizontal homomorphism $\tilde{\gamma}$ is adjoint to the $R[G]_*$ module action on $\pi_*(Y)$. Note that the diagram does indeed commute, since the lower and upper compositions both send the homotopy class of the *G*-map $f: S^m \to$ $F(G_+, Y(\mathbb{R}^n))$ to the homomorphism $R[G]_* \to \pi_*(Y)$ induced by the left adjoint $S^m \wedge G_+ \to Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of f.

The homomorphism $\tilde{\gamma}$ identifies $\pi_*(Y)$ with the R_* -submodule

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*,\mathrm{Hom}_{R_*}(R[G]_*,\pi_*(Y))) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R[G]_*,\pi_*(Y))$$

of its target, while res_1^G factors through $\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, \pi_*(X))$ by the previous lemma. Hence we can apply $\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, -)$ to the right hand vertical isomorphism in the diagram above to obtain another isomorphism, and a commutative square

It follows that ω_X is an isomorphism, as asserted.

To handle multiplicative structure, we need the following observation.

LEMMA 3.7. The natural transformation ω is monoidal, in the sense that the diagram

commutes.

PROOF. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, \pi_*(X \wedge_R Y)) \to \pi_*(X \wedge_R Y)$ is a monomorphism it suffices to show that

commutes, which is clear.

CHAPTER 4

Sequences of Spectra and Spectral Sequences

In this chapter we associate a Cartan–Eilenberg system, an exact couple, and a spectral sequence to any sequence of orthogonal *G*-spectra. We identify certain well-behaved sequences called filtrations, and use these to show how pairings of sequences induce pairings of Cartan–Eilenberg systems and spectral sequences. This is essentially the content of Section 4.5 and Section 4.6, culminating in Theorem 4.26 and Theorem 4.27. We shall rely on the classical telescope construction to approximate general sequences by equivalent filtrations. Finally we discuss how pairings can be internalized in terms of the convolution product of two sequences.

4.1. Cartan–Eilenberg systems

A Cartan–Eilenberg system is an algebraic structure, introduced in **[CE56**], which determines two exact couples **[Mas52**] and a spectral sequence. This structure has the advantage that one can give a useful definition of a pairing of Cartan–Eilenberg systems, which determines a pairing of the corresponding spectral sequences. These definitions were reviewed by Douady in **[Dou59a]** and **[Dou59b]**. As opposed to these sources, which use cohomological indexing, we adopt homological indexing for our Cartan–Eilenberg systems, as in **[HR19**].

We start with some preliminary definitions. We will in particular make use of the posets $[1] = \{0 \rightarrow 1\}$ and $[2] = \{0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2\}$ regarded as categories. Note that we have three functors

$$\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2 \colon [1] \longrightarrow [2],$$

with subscript indicating which object of the target is skipped. In addition, we have natural transformations

$$i: \delta_2 \longrightarrow \delta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad p: \delta_1 \longrightarrow \delta_0.$$

DEFINITION 4.1 ([**HR19**, Def. 4.1]). Let (\mathcal{I}, \leq) be a linearly ordered set.

- Let $\mathcal{I}^{[1]} = \operatorname{Fun}([1], \mathcal{I})$. The objects in this category are pairs (i, j) in \mathcal{I} with $i \leq j$, and we have a single morphism $(i, j) \to (i', j')$ precisely when $i \leq i'$ and $j \leq j'$.
- Let $\mathcal{I}^{[2]} = \operatorname{Fun}([2], \mathcal{I})$. The objects in this category are triples (i, j, k) in \mathcal{I} with $i \leq j \leq k$, and we have a single morphism $(i, j, k) \to (i', j', k')$ precisely when $i \leq i', j \leq j'$ and $k \leq k'$.

The functors δ_0 , δ_1 , and δ_2 defined above induce functors

$$d_0, d_1, d_2 \colon \mathcal{I}^{[2]} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}^{[1]}.$$

These map (i, j, k) to (j, k), (i, k) and (i, j), respectively. The natural transformations i and p induce natural transformations

$$\iota: d_2 \longrightarrow d_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi: d_1 \longrightarrow d_0$$

with components $\iota: (i, j) \to (i, k)$ and $\pi: (i, k) \to (j, k)$, respectively.

Let k be a graded ring and let \mathcal{A} be the graded abelian category of k-modules. The grading ||x|| of a homogeneous element $x \in M$ in an object M of \mathcal{A} will be referred to as its *total degree*.

DEFINITION 4.2 ([**HR19**, Def. 4.2, Def. 6.1]). An \mathcal{I} -system in \mathcal{A} is a pair (H, ∂) where $H: \mathcal{I}^{[1]} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a functor and $\partial: Hd_0 \to Hd_2$ is a natural transformation of functors $\mathcal{I}^{[2]} \to \mathcal{A}$, such that the triangle



is exact. We assume that $H\iota$ and $H\pi$ have total degree 0, while ∂ has total degree -1. We generically write $\eta: H(i,j) \to H(i',j')$ for the total degree 0 morphisms in \mathcal{A} induced by morphisms in $\mathcal{I}^{[1]}$.

DEFINITION 4.3.

- A finite Cartan-Eilenberg system is a \mathbb{Z} -system (H, ∂) , where \mathbb{Z} denotes the integers with its usual linear ordering.
- An extended Cartan-Eilenberg system is an \mathcal{I} -system (H, ∂) for $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$, with the extended linear ordering where $-\infty$ is initial and $+\infty$ is terminal.
- An extended Cartan–Eilenberg system (H, ∂) is a *Cartan–Eilenberg system* if the following condition, called (SP.5), is satisfied: The canonical homomorphism

$$\operatorname{colim} H(i,j) \xrightarrow{\cong} H(i,\infty)$$

is an isomorphism for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

An extended Cartan-Eilenberg system thus associates to each pair (i, j) with $-\infty \leq i \leq j \leq \infty$ a module H(i, j), in a functorial way. Furthermore, it associates to each triple (i, j, k) with $-\infty \leq i \leq j \leq k \leq \infty$ a long exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow H(i,j) \longrightarrow H(i,k) \longrightarrow H(j,k) \xrightarrow{o} H(i,j) \longrightarrow \dots,$$

where ∂ is a natural transformation of total degree -1. If the homomorphism in condition (SP.5) is an isomorphism for one $-\infty \leq i < \infty$, then it is an isomorphism for every such *i*. This follows by using the 5-Lemma twice in the following map of exact sequences:

An extended Cartan–Eilenberg system determines a finite Cartan–Eilenberg system by restriction to (i, j) with $-\infty < i \le j < \infty$.

REMARK 4.4. Apart from the switch in variance, the definition given by Cartan and Eilenberg in [**CE56**, §XV.7] corresponds to our Cartan–Eilenberg systems. This is also the definition recalled in [**McC01**, Ex. 2.2]. In [**Dou59a**, § II C], Douady works with data defining an Adams spectral sequence, which is concentrated in non-negative cohomological (so: non-positive homological) filtration degrees. He therefore assumes that H(i, 0) = H(i, j) for all $i \leq 0 \leq j \leq \infty$, so that condition (SP.5) is trivially satisfied.

DEFINITION 4.5 ([**HR19**, Def. 7.1]). Let (H, ∂) be a Cartan–Eilenberg system. Let the *left couple* (A, E^1) be the exact couple given by

$$A_s = H(-\infty, s)$$
 and $E_s^1 = H(s - 1, s)$

fitting together in the exact triangle



associated to the triple $(-\infty, s-1, s)$. The *abutment* of this exact couple is

$$A_{\infty} = \operatorname{colim}_{s} A_{s} \cong H(-\infty, \infty).$$

This abutment is exhaustively filtered by the images

$$F_s A_\infty = \operatorname{im}(A_s \longrightarrow A_\infty).$$

The Cartan–Eilenberg system and the left couple give rise to the same spectral sequence (E^r, d^r) . The pages of this spectral sequence are given by

$$E_s^r = Z_s^r / B_s^r$$

and the differentials $d^r_s\colon E^r_s\to E^r_{s-r}$ are of total degree -1. Here

$$Z_s^r = \ker(\partial \colon E_s^1 \longrightarrow H(s-r,s-1))$$
$$B_s^r = \operatorname{im}(\partial \colon H(s,s+r-1) \longrightarrow E_s^1)$$

define the r-cycles and r-boundaries in *filtration degree s*, respectively, and

$$d_s^r([x]) = [\partial(\tilde{x})],$$

where $x \in Z_s^r$ and $\tilde{x} \in H(s-r,s)$ satisfies $\eta(\tilde{x}) = x$. There are preferred isomorphisms $H(E^r, d^r) \cong E^{r+1}$. We let

$$Z_s^{\infty} = \lim_r Z_s^r, \quad B_s^{\infty} = \operatorname{colim}_r B_s^r, \quad \text{and} \quad E_s^{\infty} = Z_s^{\infty}/B_s^{\infty}$$

We refer to [**CE56**, §XV.1] or [**HR19**, Prop. 4.9] for the verification that (E^r, d^r) is indeed a spectral sequence. Note in particular that it only depends on the finite part of the Cartan–Eilenberg system (H, ∂) . The abutment and E^{∞} -page are related as follows.

LEMMA 4.6. There is a natural monomorphism

$$\beta \colon \frac{F_s A_\infty}{F_{s-1} A_\infty} \longrightarrow E_s^\infty$$

in each filtration degree s.

PROOF. See [Boa99, Lem. 5.6] or [HR19, Lem. 3.15(a)].

The main purpose of reviewing the above definitions is to let us record the following definitions of pairings of (finite and classical) Cartan-Eilenberg systems. We assume that k is graded commutative, and write \otimes in place of \otimes_k .

DEFINITION 4.7. Let (H', ∂) , (H'', ∂) and (H, ∂) be finite Cartan–Eilenberg systems in \mathcal{A} . A pairing $\phi: (H', H'') \to H$ of such systems is a collection of k-module homomorphisms

$$\phi_r \colon H'(i-r,i) \otimes H''(j-r,j) \longrightarrow H(i+j-r,i+j)$$

of total degree 0, for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \geq 1$. These are required to satisfy the following two conditions:

SPP I: Each square

$$\begin{array}{c} H'(i-r,i) \otimes H''(j-r,j) & \xrightarrow{\phi_r} & H(i+j-r,i+j) \\ & & & & \downarrow^{\eta} \\ H'(i'-r',i') \otimes H''(j'-r',j') & \xrightarrow{\phi_{r'}} & H(i'+j'-r',i'+j') \end{array}$$

commutes, for all integers i, j, i', j' and $r, r' \ge 1$ with $i \le i', i - r \le i' - r'$, $j \le j'$ and $j - r \le j' - r'$.

SPP II: In the (non-commutative) diagram

$$\begin{array}{c|c}H'(i-r,i)\otimes H''(j-r,j) & \xrightarrow{\eta\otimes\partial} H'(i-1,i)\otimes H''(j-r-1,j-r)\\ & & & & \\ &$$

the inner composition is the sum of the two outer ones:

$$\partial \phi_r = \phi_1(\partial \otimes \eta) + \phi_1(\eta \otimes \partial).$$

In terms of elements, this identity in H(i + j - r - 1, i + j - r) can be written

$$\partial \phi_r(x \otimes y) = \phi_1(\partial x \otimes \eta y) + (-1)^{\|x\|} \phi_1(\eta x \otimes \partial y)$$

for $x \in H'(i-r,i)$ of total degree ||x|| and $y \in H''(j-r,j)$.

REMARK 4.8. Apart from the switch in variance, this definition agrees with that of Douady [**Dou59b**, § II A], except for the fact that we ignore r = 0, since ϕ_0 carries no information, and Douady omits the cases i > 0 and j > 0, due to his focus on Adams spectral sequences. In the definition given in [**McC01**, Ex. 2.3], the homomorphism φ_1 is missing from the right hand term in his equation (2), and the conditions $n \ge 0$ and $q \ge 0$ should be omitted.

DEFINITION 4.9. Let (E^r, d^r) , (E^r, d^r) and (E^r, d^r) be k-module spectral sequences. A pairing $\phi: (E^*, E^*) \to E^*$ of such spectral sequences consists of a collection of k-module homomorphisms

$$\phi^r \colon {'E^r \otimes {''E^r}} \longrightarrow E^r$$

for all $r \ge 1$, such that:

(1) The Leibniz rule

$$d^r \phi^r = \phi^r (d^r \otimes 1) + \phi^r (1 \otimes d^r)$$

holds as an equality of homomorphisms $E_i^r \otimes E_j^r \longrightarrow E_{i+j-r}^r$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \ge 1$.

(2) The diagram

commutes for all $r \geq 1$.

By a multiplicative spectral sequence, we mean a spectral sequence (E^r, d^r) equipped with a pairing

$$\phi \colon (E^*, E^*) \longrightarrow E^*.$$

If $\phi^a : E^a \otimes E^a \to E^a$ (usually with a = 1 or a = 2) is associative and unital, then each pairing ϕ^r for $r \ge a$ is also associative and unital, and we call $(E^r, d^r)_{r\ge a}$ an algebra spectral sequence.

THEOREM 4.10 ([**Dou59b**, Thm. II A]). Let (H', ∂) , (H'', ∂) and (H, ∂) be finite Cartan-Eilenberg systems, with associated spectral sequences referred to as $('E^r, d^r)$, $(''E^r, d^r)$ and (E^r, d^r) , respectively. Let $\phi: (H', H'') \to H$ be a pairing of finite Cartan-Eilenberg systems. Then there is a pairing $\phi: ('E^*, ''E^*) \to E^*$ of spectral sequences, uniquely defined by the condition $\phi^1 = \phi_1$.

PROOF. Douady leaves the proof to the reader ('s'il existe'). Starting with setting ϕ^1 : $E_i^1 \otimes E_i^1 \to E_{i+j}^1$ equal to

$$\phi_1 \colon {}^{\prime}H(i-1,i) \otimes {}^{\prime\prime}H(j-1,j) \longrightarrow H(i+j-1,i+j),$$

the point is to inductively show that d^r satisfies the Leibniz rule with respect to the pairing ϕ^r of E^r -pages, so that ϕ^{r+1} can be defined to be equal to the induced pairing in homology with respect to d^r . A full proof can be found in [Hel17, Prop. 3.4.2].

We now move from finite Cartan–Eilenberg systems to classical ones.

DEFINITION 4.11. Let (H', ∂) , (H'', ∂) and (H, ∂) be Cartan–Eilenberg systems. A pairing $\phi: (H', H'') \to H$ of such systems consists of a pairing $(\phi_r)_{r\geq 1}$ of the restricted finite Cartan–Eilenberg systems, together with k-module homomorphisms

$$\phi_{\infty} \colon H'(-\infty, i) \otimes H''(-\infty, j) \longrightarrow H(-\infty, i+j)$$

of total degree 0, for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. These are required to satisfy the following additional condition:

SPP III: The squares

$$\begin{array}{c}H'(-\infty,i)\otimes H''(-\infty,j)\xrightarrow{\phi_{\infty}}H(-\infty,i+j)\\ & & & \downarrow^{\eta}\\ & & & \downarrow^{\eta}\\ H'(-\infty,i')\otimes H''(-\infty,j')\xrightarrow{\phi_{\infty}}H(-\infty,i'+j')\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c} H'(-\infty,i) \otimes H''(-\infty,j) \xrightarrow{\phi_{\infty}} H(-\infty,i+j) \\ & \eta \otimes \eta \\ & & \downarrow \eta \\ H'(i-r,i) \otimes H''(j-r,j) \xrightarrow{\phi_r} H(i+j-r,i+j) \end{array}$$

commute, for all integers $i \leq i'$, $j \leq j'$ and $r \geq 1$.

We emphasize that the ϕ_r in the definition above must satisfy the conditions (SPP I) and (SPP II), by virtue of defining a pairing of finite Cartan–Eilenberg systems. The new condition (SPP III) is an analogue of (SPP I) for $r = \infty$.

With notation as in Definition 4.5, we can rewrite ϕ_{∞} as compatible pairings

$$\phi_{i,j}\colon A_i'\otimes A_j''\longrightarrow A_{i+j}$$

in the corresponding left couples, for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Passing to colimits, we obtain a pairing of abutments

$$\phi_*\colon A'_\infty\otimes A''_\infty\longrightarrow A_\infty.$$

This is filtration-preserving in the sense that it sends $F_i A'_{\infty} \otimes F_j A''_{\infty}$ to $F_{i+j} A_{\infty}$, by virtue of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} A'_{i} \otimes A''_{j} & \stackrel{\phi_{i,j}}{\longrightarrow} A_{i+j} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ F_{i}A'_{\infty} \otimes F_{j}A''_{\infty} & \longrightarrow F_{i+j}A_{\infty} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ A'_{\infty} \otimes A''_{\infty} & \stackrel{\phi_{*}}{\longrightarrow} A_{\infty}. \end{array}$$

Being filtration-preserving, the pairing ϕ_* then induces pairings of filtration subquotients

$$\bar{\phi}_* \colon \frac{F_i A'_{\infty}}{F_{i-1} A'_{\infty}} \otimes \frac{F_j A''_{\infty}}{F_{j-1} A''_{\infty}} \longrightarrow \frac{F_{i+j} A_{\infty}}{F_{i+j-1} A_{\infty}}$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In a similar way, the spectral sequence pairing $\phi = (\phi^r)_{r\geq 1}$, induced by the pairing $(\phi_r)_{r\geq 1}$ per Theorem 4.10, maps

$${}^{\prime}Z^{r} \otimes {}^{\prime\prime}Z^{r} \longrightarrow Z^{r},$$
$${}^{\prime}B^{r} \otimes {}^{\prime\prime}Z^{r} \longrightarrow B^{r},$$
$${}^{\prime}Z^{r} \otimes {}^{\prime\prime}B^{r} \longrightarrow B^{r},$$

hence also maps

It follows that ϕ also induces k-module homomorphisms

(4.1)
$$\phi^{\infty} \colon 'E_i^{\infty} \otimes ''E_j^{\infty} \longrightarrow E_{i+j}^{\infty},$$

sending $[x] \otimes [y]$ to $[\phi^1(x \otimes y)]$ for any pair of infinite cycles x and y. Condition (SPP III) ensures that we have the following compatibility.

PROPOSITION 4.12. Let $\phi = (\phi_r): (H', H'') \to H$ be a pairing of Cartan-Eilenberg systems, with induced pairing $\phi = (\phi^r): (E^*, E^*) \to E^*$ of spectral sequences, per Theorem 4.10. Then the pairing ϕ_* of filtered abutments is compatible with the pairing ϕ^{∞} of E^{∞} -pages, in the sense that the diagram

commutes, for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

PROOF. A detailed proof is given in [Hel17, Prop. 3.4.4].

REMARK 4.13. As a consequence of Theorem 4.10, if (H, ∂) is a multiplicative Cartan-Eilenberg system, meaning that it is equipped with a pairing $\phi: (H, H) \rightarrow$ H, then the associated spectral sequence (E^r, d^r) is also multiplicative. Moreover, Proposition 4.12 tells us that the induced pairing on the filtered abutment A_{∞} is compatible with the induced pairing on the E^{∞} -page of the spectral sequence. In this situation, we say that A_{∞} is a multiplicative abutment. When (E^r, d^r) converges strongly to A_{∞} , meaning that the filtration $(F_s A_{\infty})_s$ is complete Hausdorff and exhaustive, and that β is an isomorphism, multiplicativity of the abutment means that we can reconstruct the product ϕ_* on A_{∞} from the product ϕ^{∞} on E^{∞} , up to the usual ambiguity created by extensions and filtration shifts.

4.2. Sequences

Our Cartan–Eilenberg systems will in practice be obtained from filtrations and sequences. Let us first set up some terminology, so that it is clear what we are discussing. Again, G denotes a compact Lie group.

DEFINITION 4.14. A sequential diagram X_{\star} of orthogonal G-spectra and G-maps of the form

$$\cdots \longrightarrow X_{i-1} \longrightarrow X_i \longrightarrow X_{i+1} \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

indexed over $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, is called a *sequence*.

We can extend the sequence to be indexed over $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$ by setting

 $X_{-\infty} = *$ and $X_{\infty} = \operatorname{Tel}(X_{\star}),$

where

$$\operatorname{Tel}(X_{\star}) = \bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} [i, i+1]_{+} \wedge X_{i} / \sim$$

is the classical telescope construction. Here, the equivalence relation \sim is given by identifying $\{i\}_+ \wedge X_{i-1}$ with $\{i\}_+ \wedge X_i$ using the *G*-map $X_{i-1} \to X_i$. There are standard inclusions $X_i \cong \{i\}_+ \wedge X_i \subset \text{Tel}(X_\star)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and each diagram



commutes up to preferred homotopy.

DEFINITION 4.15. The Cartan-Eilenberg system $(H = H(X_*), \partial)$ associated to the sequence X_* of orthogonal G-spectra is given by

$$H(i,j) = \pi^G_*(X_i \longrightarrow X_j)$$

for all $-\infty \leq i \leq j \leq \infty$, and

$$\partial : \pi^G_*(X_j \to X_k) \longrightarrow \pi^G_{*-1}(X_i \to X_j)$$

for all $-\infty \leq i \leq j \leq k \leq \infty$.

Let us elaborate on the definition above. In the $q \ge 0$ case, the expression

$$H(i,j) = \pi_q^G(X \to Y)$$

denotes the colimit, over the partially ordered set of finite-dimensional G-subrepresentations V of the complete G-universe \mathscr{U} , of the groups of homotopy classes [f', f] of pairs (f', f) of G-maps $f': \Sigma^V S^{q-1} \to X(V)$ and $f: \Sigma^V D^q \to Y(V)$ making the square



commute. Similar definitions can be made for $q \leq 0$, but will be left to the reader. By the stability of the homotopy category of orthogonal G-spectra there is a natural isomorphism

$$\pi_a^G(X \to Y) \cong \pi_a^G(Y \cup CX),$$

where $Y \cup CX$ is the mapping cone of $X \to Y$. This isomorphism takes the homotopy class [f', f] to (the image in the colimit over V of) the homotopy class of the composite map

$$\Sigma^V S^q \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Sigma^V (D^q \cup CS^{q-1}) \xrightarrow{f \cup Cf'} (Y \cup CX)(V)$$

where the first map is a (V-suspended) homotopy inverse to the collapse map $D^q \cup CS^{q-1} \to D^q/S^{q-1} \cong S^q$.

The connecting homomorphism $\partial: \pi_q^G(Y \to Z) \to \pi_{q-1}^G(X \to Y)$ mentioned in the definition takes the homotopy class [g',g] of a pair of *G*-maps $g': \Sigma^V S^{q-1} \to Y(V)$ and $g: \Sigma^V D^q \to Z(V)$ to the homotopy class $[*,g'\pi]$ of the maps

$$*\colon \Sigma^V S^{q-2} \longrightarrow * \longrightarrow X(V) \quad \text{and} \quad g'\pi: \ \Sigma^V D^{q-1} \stackrel{\pi}{\longrightarrow} \Sigma^V S^{q-1} \longrightarrow Y(V),$$

62

where $\pi: D^{q-1} \to S^{q-1}$ identifies D^{q-1}/S^{q-2} with S^{q-1} . The diagram



evidently commutes. Under the isomorphism $\pi_{q-1}^G(X \to Y) \cong \pi_{q-1}^G(Y \cup CX)$ the homotopy class $[*, g'\pi]$ corresponds to the homotopy class of the composite map

$$\Sigma^V S^{q-1} \xrightarrow{g'} Y(V) \longrightarrow (Y \cup CX)(V).$$

Note that the graded abelian group $\pi^G_*(X_i \to X_j)$ is functorial in $i \leq j$, the homomorphism ∂ is natural in $i \leq j \leq k$, and the sequence

$$\cdots \to \pi_q^G(X_i \to X_j) \to \pi_q^G(X_i \to X_k) \to \pi_q^G(X_j \to X_k) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{q-1}^G(X_i \to X_j) \to \cdots$$

is exact for all $i \leq j \leq k$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. The canonical homomorphism

$$\operatorname{colim}_{j} \pi^{G}_{*}(X_{j}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi^{G}_{*} \operatorname{Tel}(X_{\star})$$

is an isomorphism, which implies that condition (SP.5) is satisfied. Hence (H, ∂) is indeed a Cartan–Eilenberg system in the sense of Definition 4.3.

We can extract two different exact couples [Mas52] from $(H(X_*), \partial)$, but shall only be concerned with the 'left' couple of Definition 4.5. Explicitly, the exact couple $(A, E^1) = (A(X_*), E^1(X_*))$ associated to X_* is given by

$$A_s = \pi^G_*(X_s)$$
 and $E^1_s = \pi^G_*(X_{s-1} \to X_s),$

fitting together in the exact triangle

$$\pi^{G}_{*}(X_{s-1}) \xrightarrow{} \pi^{G}_{*}(X_{s})$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\pi^{G}_{*}(X_{s-1} \to X_{s})$$

where ∂ has total degree -1.

Recall from [**Boa99**, Def. 5.10] that the spectral sequence associated to the unrolled exact couple (A, E^1) is said to be *conditionally convergent* to the abutment

(4.2)
$$A_{\infty} = \operatorname{colim}_{s} \pi^{G}_{*}(X_{s}) \cong \pi^{G}_{*} \operatorname{Tel}(X_{\star})$$

if and only if

$$A_{-\infty} = \lim_{s} A_s = 0$$
 and $RA_{-\infty} = \operatorname{Rlim}_s A_s = 0.$

Here $\text{Rlim} = \text{lim}^1$ denotes the (first right) derived limit of a sequence. In view of the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Rlim}_{s} \pi^{G}_{*+1}(X_{s}) \longrightarrow \pi^{G}_{*}(\operatorname{holim}_{s} X_{s}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{lim}_{s} \pi^{G}_{*}(X_{s}) \longrightarrow 0$$

this is equivalent to the condition that

$$\pi^G_*(\operatorname{holim}_s X_s) = 0.$$

In particular, conditional convergence holds if $\operatorname{holim}_s X_s \simeq_G *$.

The spectral sequence $(E^r = E^r(X_\star), d^r)_{r\geq 1}$ associated to the sequence X_\star (and the Cartan–Eilenberg system $(H(X_\star), \partial)$, and the exact couple $(A(X_\star), E^1(X_\star))$) has

$$E_{s,t}^1 = \pi_{s+t}^G(X_{s-1} \to X_s)$$

and $d^1 \colon E^1_{s,t} \to E^1_{s-1,t}$ is equal to the composite homomorphism

$$\pi_{s+t}^G(X_{s-1} \to X_s) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{s+t-1}^G(X_{s-1}) \longrightarrow \pi_{s+t-1}^G(X_{s-2} \to X_{s-1}).$$

Here s + t is the total degree, s is the filtration degree, and t will be called the internal degree. The d^r -differentials have the form

$$d^r \colon E^r_{s,t} \longrightarrow E^r_{s-r,t+r-1}$$

and there are preferred isomorphisms $H(E^r, d^r) \cong E^{r+1}$ for all $r \ge 1$.

4.3. Filtrations

The category of orthogonal G-spectra is based topological, meaning that it is enriched in the closed symmetric monoidal category of compactly generated weak Hausdorff spaces with base point.

DEFINITION 4.16. Let I = [0, 1], with boundary $\partial I = \{0, 1\}$.

• A G-map $i: A \to X$ of orthogonal G-spectra is an h-cofibration (also called: Hurewicz cofibration) if it has the homotopy extension property with respect to any target Z:



• A G-map $p: E \to B$ of orthogonal G-spectra is an *h*-fibration (also called: Hurewicz fibration) if it has the homotopy lifting property with respect to any source X:



• Adapting [SV02, Def. 2.4], we say that $i: A \to X$ is a strong h-cofibration if the G-map $X \cup_A A \wedge I_+ \to X \wedge I_+$ has the left lifting property with respect to any h-fibration:



Strong *h*-cofibrations are closed under cobase change, retracts, arbitrary sums, and sequential colimits [**SV02**, Lem. 2.6]. For each map $f: X \to Y$ the inclusion $i_0: X \to Y \cup_X X \land I_+$ is a strong *h*-cofibration [**SV02**, Rmk. 3.3(2)]. It follows

that each q-cofibration (= Quillen cofibration, [**MM02**, Def. III.2.3]) is a strong h-cofibration. Each strong h-cofibration is evidently an h-cofibration. Our main reason for working with strong h-cofibrations is the availability of the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.17 ([SV02, Thm. 2.7]). If $i: A \to X$ and $j: B \to Y$ are strong h-cofibrations, then the pushout-product map

$$i \wedge 1 \cup 1 \wedge j \colon A \wedge Y \cup_{A \wedge B} X \wedge B \longrightarrow X \wedge Y$$

is a strong h-cofibration.

We can now specify well-behaved sequences, called filtrations, for which we can directly prove that pairings of sequences induce pairings of Cartan–Eilenberg systems and of spectral sequences.

DEFINITION 4.18. Let X_{\star} be a sequence of orthogonal *G*-spectra. We say that X_{\star} is a *filtration* if each *G*-map $X_{i-1} \to X_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a strong *h*-cofibration.

In particular, if X_{\star} is a filtration, then the *G*-maps are all *h*-cofibrations, so the canonical maps

$$X_j \cup CX_i \longrightarrow X_j/X_i$$
 and $\operatorname{Tel}(X_\star) \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim}_i X_i = \bigcup_i X_i$

are G-equivalences, so that

$$H(i,j) \cong \pi^G_*(X_j/X_i) \text{ and } A_\infty \cong \pi^G_*\left(\bigcup_i X_i\right)$$

in the associated Cartan–Eilenberg system.

We can always approximate a sequence X_* with an equivalent filtration $T_*(X)$. To do this, we proceed as follows. For each integer j we let

$$T_j(X) = \{j\}_+ \land X_j \lor \bigvee_{i < j} [i, i+1]_+ \land X_i / \sim$$

be the subspectrum of $\text{Tel}(X_{\star})$ with telescope coordinate in the interval $(-\infty, j]$ within the real line $(-\infty, \infty) = \bigcup_{i} [i, i+1]$. The sequence $T_{\star}(X)$ given by the inclusions

$$\cdots \to T_{j-1}(X) \longrightarrow T_j(X) \longrightarrow T_{j+1}(X) \longrightarrow \ldots$$

is then a filtration.

For each integer j there is a deformation retraction

$$_j: T_j(X) \longrightarrow X_j$$

identifying $\{j\}_+ \wedge X_j$ with X_j and mapping $[i, i+1]_+ \wedge X_i$ to X_j by the evident composition $[i, i+1]_+ \wedge X_i \to X_i \to X_j$, for each i < j. The resulting diagram

commutes, and defines a G-equivalence of sequences $\epsilon: T_{\star}(X) \to X_{\star}$. It follows that the associated maps

 $* = T_{-\infty}(X) \longrightarrow X_{-\infty} = *$ and $T_{\infty}(X) \longrightarrow X_{\infty} = \operatorname{Tel}(X_{\star})$
are both G-equivalences. Hence the induced maps of Cartan–Eilenberg systems

$$H(T_{\star}(X))(i,j) = \pi^{G}_{\star}(T_{i}(X) \longrightarrow T_{j}(X)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi^{G}_{\star}(X_{i} \to X_{j}) = H(X_{\star})(i,j),$$

and of spectral sequences

$$(E^r(T_\star(X)), d^r)_{r\geq 1} \xrightarrow{\cong} (E^r(X_\star), d^r)_{r\geq 1},$$

are both isomorphisms. Their common abutment for convergence to the colimit is $A_{\infty}(X_{\star}) \cong \pi^{G}_{\star} \operatorname{Tel}(X_{\star})$, filtered by the image subsequence

 $F_s A_{\infty}(X_{\star}) \cong F_s \pi^G_{\star} \operatorname{Tel}(X_{\star}) = \operatorname{im}(\pi^G_{\star}(X_s) \to \pi^G_{\star} \operatorname{Tel}(X_{\star})).$

REMARK 4.19. Some form of cofibrant replacement of maps is necessary to convert general sequences to filtrations. We have chosen to use mapping cylinders and telescopes, which have convenient monoidal properties. For finite groups, Hesselholt and Madsen [HM03, §4.3] instead use a functorial *G*-CW replacement to convert *G*-spectra to *G*-CW spectra. There exists a functorial *G*-CW replacement also for compact Lie groups [Sey83], but its construction is comparatively intricate, and the monoidal properties are less clear, which may partially justify our choice.

4.4. Pairings of sequences

We now turn to discussing pairings of sequences and how these behave under passage to mapping telescopes.

DEFINITION 4.20. Let X_{\star} , Y_{\star} and Z_{\star} be sequences of orthogonal *G*-spectra. A pairing $\phi: (X_{\star}, Y_{\star}) \to Z_{\star}$ is a collection of *G*-maps

$$\phi_{i,j}\colon X_i\wedge Y_j\longrightarrow Z_{i+j}$$

for all integers i and j, making the squares

commute. We say that a sequence X_{\star} is *multiplicative* if it comes equipped with a pairing $\phi: (X_{\star}, X_{\star}) \to X_{\star}$.

We note that, from [MM02, §II.3] and [Sch18, §3.5], the smash product $X_i \wedge Y_j$ of orthogonal *G*-spectra is defined in such a way that $\phi_{i,j}$ associates to each pair of *G*-representations *U* and *V* a *G*-map of based *G*-spaces

$$\phi_{i,j}(U,V)\colon X_i(U)\wedge Y_j(V)\longrightarrow Z_{i+j}(U\oplus V),$$

subject to bilinearity relations for varying U and V.

LEMMA 4.21. A pairing of sequences $\phi: (X_{\star}, Y_{\star}) \to Z_{\star}$ induces a pairing of sequences $T(\phi): (T_{\star}(X), T_{\star}(Y)) \to T_{\star}(Z)$, such that the diagram

commutes for all integers i and j.

PROOF. Given a pairing ϕ of sequences, we can form *G*-maps

$$(4.4) \qquad [i, i+1]_+ \land X_i \land [j, j+1]_+ \land Y_j \longrightarrow [k, k+2]_+ \land Z_k \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tel}(Z_\star)$$

for any integers i and j, with k = i + j. Here $[i, i + 1] \times [j, j + 1] \rightarrow [k, k + 2]$ sends (x, y) to x + y, while $X_i \wedge Y_j \rightarrow Z_k$ is given by $\phi_{i,j}$. The second map factors through

$$[k, k+1]_+ \wedge Z_k \cup [k+1, k+2]_+ \wedge Z_{k+1}$$

and is given by $Z_k \to Z_{k+1}$ on $[k+1, k+2] \subset [k, k+2]$. The maps (4.4) for varying i and j are compatible with the identifications defining $\operatorname{Tel}(X_{\star})$ and $\operatorname{Tel}(Y_{\star})$, hence combine to define a G-map

$$\operatorname{Tel}(\phi) \colon \operatorname{Tel}(X_{\star}) \wedge \operatorname{Tel}(Y_{\star}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tel}(Z_{\star}).$$

By construction, it restricts to compatible G-maps

$$T(\phi)_{i,j} \colon T_i(X) \wedge T_j(Y) \longrightarrow T_{i+j}(Z)$$

for all integers i and j, defining the pairing of sequences $T(\phi): (T_{\star}(X), T_{\star}(Y)) \to T_{\star}(Z))$. It is then clear that the square in the lemma commutes, and that the vertical maps are G-equivariant deformation retractions.

COROLLARY 4.22. If (X_*, ϕ) is a multiplicative sequence, then so is the sequence $(T_*(X), T(\phi))$. Moreover, the equivalence $\epsilon \colon T_*(X) \to X_*$ respects the multiplicative structures.

4.5. Pairings of Cartan–Eilenberg systems, I

The goal of the following two sections is to show that a pairing of sequences gives rise to a pairing of the resulting Cartan-Eilenberg systems. By Theorem 4.10 and Proposition 4.12 this is enough to guarantee that we have a pairing of the associated spectral sequences in such a way that the induced pairing on filtered abutments is compatible with the pairing on E^{∞} -pages. Referring back to Definition 4.7 and Definition 4.11, we note that there are three things to check. In this section we deal with (SPP I) and (SPP III).

Let $\phi: (X_{\star}, Y_{\star}) \to Z_{\star}$ be a pairing of sequences. For integers i, j and r, with $r \ge 1$, we define induced pairings

$$\phi_r \colon H(X_\star)(i-r,i) \otimes H(Y_\star)(j-r,j) \longrightarrow H(Z_\star)(i+j-r,i+j)$$

as homomorphisms

$$\phi_r \colon \pi_p^G(X_{i-r} \to X_i) \otimes \pi_q^G(Y_{j-r} \to Y_j) \longrightarrow \pi_{p+q}^G(Z_{i+j-r} \to Z_{i+j}).$$

Here p and q range over all integers, but for (relative) brevity we concentrate on the case when $p \ge 0$ and $q \ge 0$. Given two pairs of vertical G-maps





we first form the commutative diagram

For typographical reasons, we will often suppress the stabilising G-representations Uand V and simply display this diagram as



Let

$$S^{p+q-1} = S^{p-1} \wedge D^q \cup_{S^{p-1} \wedge S^{q-1}} D^p \wedge S^{q-1}$$
$$W = X_{i-r} \wedge Y_j \cup_{X_{i-r} \wedge Y_{j-r}} X_i \wedge Y_{j-r}$$

denote the pushouts in the squares of the upper and middle layer of the diagram, respectively. In particular, S^{p+q-1} is the boundary of $D^p \wedge D^q \cong D^{p+q}$. We then have an induced commutative diagram

(4.5)
$$S^{p-1} \wedge S^{q-1} \longrightarrow S^{p+q-1} \longrightarrow D^{p+q} \\ \downarrow^{f' \wedge g'} \qquad \downarrow^{(f \wedge g)'} \qquad \downarrow^{f \wedge g} \\ X_{i-r} \wedge Y_{j-r} \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow X_i \wedge Y_j \\ \downarrow^{\phi_{i-r,j-r}} \qquad \downarrow^{\phi_W} \qquad \downarrow^{\phi_{i,j}} \\ Z_{i+j-2r} \longrightarrow Z_{i+j-r} \longrightarrow Z_{i+j}.$$

Here, $(f \wedge g)' \colon S^{p+q-1} \to W$ is the induced map between the pushouts in the top and bottom square of the boxed-shaped diagram appearing above, and ϕ_W is the induced map in the diagram



We define the homomorphism

$$\phi_r \colon \pi_p^G(X_{i-r} \to X_i) \otimes \pi_q^G(Y_{j-r} \to Y_j) \to \pi_{p+q}^G(Z_{i+j-r} \to Z_{i+j})$$

as sending $[f', f] \otimes [g', g]$ to the homotopy class of the pair

$$\phi_W(f \wedge g)' \colon S^{p+q-1} \to Z_{i+j-r} \text{ and } \phi_{i,j}(f \wedge g) \colon D^{p+q} \to Z_{i+j},$$

which is an element of $\pi_{p+q}^G(Z_{i+j-r} \to Z_{i+j})$. As a diagram, this pair is visualised as the commutative square



In symbols:

$$\phi_r \colon [f', f] \otimes [g', g] \longmapsto [\phi_W(f \land g)', \phi_{i,j}(f \land g)].$$

Spelled out with the stabilising G-representations U and V, this diagram should be interpreted as the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \Sigma^{U \oplus V} S^{p+q-1} & \longrightarrow \Sigma^{U \oplus V} D^{p+q} \\ & \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Sigma^{U} S^{p-1} \wedge \Sigma^{V} D^{q} \cup \Sigma^{U} D^{p} \wedge \Sigma^{V} S^{q-1} & \longrightarrow \Sigma^{U} D^{p} \wedge \Sigma^{V} D^{q} \\ & & (f \wedge g)' & & & \downarrow f \wedge g \\ X_{i-r}(U) \wedge Y_{j}(V) \cup X_{i}(U) \wedge Y_{j-r}(V) & \longrightarrow X_{i}(U) \wedge Y_{j}(V) \\ & & & \downarrow \phi_{w}(U,V) \\ & & & \downarrow \phi_{i,j}(U,V) \\ Z_{i+j-r}(U \oplus V) & \longrightarrow Z_{i+j}(U \oplus V) \end{array}$$

The pushouts on the left hand side are formed along $\Sigma^U S^{p-1} \wedge \Sigma^V S^{q-1}$ and $X_{i-r}(U) \wedge Y_{j-r}(V)$, respectively.

REMARK 4.23. We note that the pushout W is not generally equivalent to the corresponding homotopy pushout, but this will hold if X_{\star} and Y_{\star} are filtrations.

We also note that if one only has a weak pairing, in the sense that the squares in diagram (4.3) commute up to homotopy, then there is in general no preferred commuting homotopy in the diagram



and therefore no well-defined pairing ϕ_r . Any construction of spectral sequence pairings that only assumes such compatibility at the level of the (stable) homotopy category is therefore likely to contain a logical gap.

The pairing ϕ_r is evidently natural in i, j and r, in the sense that the square

$$(4.6) \qquad \begin{array}{c} H(X_{\star})(i-r,i) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(j-r,j) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{r}} & H(Z_{\star})(i+j-r,i+j) \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ H(X_{\star})(i'-r',i') \otimes H(Y_{\star})(j'-r',j') & \xrightarrow{\phi_{r'}} & H(Z_{\star})(i'+j'-r',i'+j') \end{array}$$

commutes for all integers $i, j, r \ge 1, i', j', r' \ge 1$ with $i \le i', i - r \le i' - r', j \le j'$ and $j - r \le j' - r'$. As we recalled from [**Dou59b**, § II A] in Definition 4.7, this is the first (SPP I) of two conditions for $(\phi_r)_{r\ge 1}$ to define a pairing of (finite) Cartan–Eilenberg systems.

We now check condition (SPP III). The pairings ϕ_r can be extended to the case $r = \infty$ by letting

$$\phi_{\infty} \colon H(X_{\star})(-\infty,i) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(-\infty,j) \longrightarrow H(Z_{\star})(-\infty,i+j)$$

be defined by homomorphisms

$$\phi_{\infty} \colon \pi_p^G(X_i) \otimes \pi_q^G(Y_j) \longrightarrow \pi_{p+q}^G(Z_{i+j}).$$

Given G-maps $f: \Sigma^U S^p \to X_i(U)$ and $g: \Sigma^V S^q \to Y_j(V)$, the homomorphism ϕ_{∞} sends the homotopy classes [f] and [g] to the homotopy class of the composite

$$\Sigma^{U \oplus V} S^{p+q} \cong \Sigma^{U} S^{p} \wedge \Sigma^{V} S^{q} \xrightarrow{f \wedge g} X_{i}(U) \wedge Y_{j}(V) \xrightarrow{\phi_{i,j}(U,V)} Z_{i+j}(U \oplus V).$$

In symbols, suppressing U and V:

$$\phi_{\infty} \colon [f] \otimes [g] \longmapsto [\phi_{i,j}(f \wedge g)].$$

These pairings are natural in i and j, which verifies the first part of (SPP III).

Recalling that our convention is such that $X_{-\infty} = Y_{-\infty} = Z_{-\infty} = *$, we note that the isomorphism $\pi_p^G(X_i) \cong \pi_p^G(X_{-\infty} \to X_i)$ takes the homotopy class of f to the homotopy class $[*, f\pi]$ of the pair $*: \Sigma^U S^{p-1} \to X_{-\infty}(U)$ and $f\pi: \Sigma^U D^p \to X_i(U)$. Here $\pi: D^p \to S^p$ identifies D^p/S^{p-1} with S^p . The pairing ϕ_{∞} then corresponds to the pairing ϕ_r as defined in the paragraph above, for $r = \infty$, with every reference to $X_{i-r}, Y_{j-r}, Z_{i+j-r}$ and Z_{i+j-2r} replaced by *. By the discussion above, it then also follows that the extended naturality condition

(4.7)
$$\begin{array}{c} H(X_{\star})(-\infty,i) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(-\infty,j) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\infty}} & H(Z_{\star})(-\infty,i+j) \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ H(X_{\star})(i-r,i) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(j-r,j) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{r}} & H(Z_{\star})(i+j-r,i+j) \end{array}$$

holds for the pairings ϕ_r with $1 \leq r \leq \infty$. This is the second part of condition (SPP III) from Definition 4.11.

4.6. Pairings of Cartan–Eilenberg systems, II

Having proved (SPP I) and (SPP III), we now turn to the second condition (SPP II) from [**Dou59b**, § II A]. Recall that it says that, for $(\phi_r)_{r\geq 1}$ to define a pairing of Cartan–Eilenberg systems, we want the Leibniz rule

(4.8)
$$\partial \phi_r = \phi_1(\partial \otimes \eta) + \phi_1(\eta \otimes \partial)$$

to hold. That is, we want the composite

$$H(X_{\star})(i-r,i) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(j-r,j) \xrightarrow{\phi_r} H(Z_{\star})(i+j-r,i+j)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\partial} H(Z_{\star})(i+j-r-1,i+j-r)$$

to be equal to the sum of the composite homomorphisms

$$H(X_{\star})(i-r,i) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(j-r,j) \xrightarrow{\partial \otimes \eta} H(X_{\star})(i-r-1,i-r) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(j-1,j)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\phi_1} H(Z_{\star})(i+j-r-1,i+j-r)$$

and

$$H(X_{\star})(i-r,i) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(j-r,j) \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes \partial} H(X_{\star})(i-1,i) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(j-r-1,j-r)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\phi_1} H(Z_{\star})(i+j-r-1,i+j-r).$$

Here

$$\eta \colon H(X_{\star})(i-r,i) \longrightarrow H(X_{\star})(i-1,i)$$

$$\eta \colon H(Y_{\star})(j-r,j) \longrightarrow H(Y_{\star})(j-1,j)$$

denote the natural maps. Regarding signs in the Leibniz rule, we recall the convention that

$$(\partial \otimes 1)(x \otimes y) = \partial x \otimes y$$
 and $(1 \otimes \partial)(x \otimes y) = (-1)^p x \otimes \partial y$,

for $x \in \pi_p^G(X_{i-r} \to X_i)$ in total degree p of $H(X_*)(i-r,i)$.

To verify condition (4.8) for a given pairing $\phi: (X_{\star}, Y_{\star}) \to Z_{\star}$, it follows from the naturality of the boundary homomorphisms ∂ , and the case $i = i', j = j', r \ge r' = 1$ of (4.6), that it suffices to establish the rule

(4.9)
$$\partial \phi_r = \phi_r (\partial \otimes 1) + \phi_r (1 \otimes \partial).$$

Here the left hand side is the composite

$$\begin{split} H(X_{\star})(i-r,i)\otimes H(Y_{\star})(j-r,j) &\xrightarrow{\phi_{r}} H(Z_{\star})(i+j-r,i+j) \\ &\xrightarrow{\partial} H(Z_{\star})(i+j-2r,i+j-r), \end{split}$$

and the right hand side is the sum of the two composite homomorphisms

$$H(X_{\star})(i-r,i) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(j-r,j) \xrightarrow{\partial \otimes 1} H(X_{\star})(i-2r,i-r) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(j-r,j)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\phi_r} H(Z_{\star})(i+j-2r,i+j-r)$$

and

$$H(X_{\star})(i-r,i) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(j-r,j) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \partial} H(X_{\star})(i-r,i) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(j-2r,j-r)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\phi_r} H(Z_{\star})(i+j-2r,i+j-r).$$

We shall now show that the identity (4.9) holds for pairings of filtrations of orthogonal *G*-spectra. Thereafter we use approximation by mapping telescopes to deduce that the identity holds for pairings of arbitrary sequences, as well.

PROPOSITION 4.24. If $\phi: (X_{\star}, Y_{\star}) \to Z_{\star}$ is a pairing of sequences of orthogonal G-spectra, and X_{\star} and Y_{\star} are filtrations, then

$$\partial \phi_r = \phi_r(\partial \otimes 1) + \phi_r(1 \otimes \partial)$$

as homomorphisms

$$H(X_{\star})(i-r,i) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(j-r,j) \longrightarrow H(Z_{\star})(i+j-2r,i+j-r)$$

for all integers i, j, r with $r \geq 1$.

PROOF. In this proof we will, for the same typographical reasons as in Section 4.5, suppress the stabilising representations U and V implicit in the presentation of elements of $\pi_p^G(X_{i-r} \to X_i)$ and $\pi_q^G(Y_{j-r} \to Y_j)$ by homotopy classes of pairs (f', f) and (g', g) of G-maps. The reader can reconstruct how the diagrams could be embellished with these suspensions and shifts.

For each map $A \to B$ we have natural maps $B \to B \cup CA \to B/A$ to the homotopy cofibre and cofibre. The right hand map is an equivalence when $A \to B$ is an *h*-cofibration. Applied to the left hand maps in diagram (4.5), this gives us a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} S^{p+q-1} & \longrightarrow S^{p+q-1} \cup C(S^{p-1} \wedge S^{q-1}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & S^{p-1} \wedge S^q \vee S^p \wedge S^{q-1} \\ (f \wedge g)' & & & \downarrow (f \wedge g)' \cup C(f' \wedge g') & & \downarrow f' \wedge g'' \vee f'' \wedge g' \\ W & \longrightarrow & W \cup C(X_{i-r} \wedge Y_{j-r}) & \xrightarrow{(\simeq)} & & X_{i-r} \wedge Y_j / Y_{j-r} \vee X_i / X_{i-r} \wedge Y_{j-r} \\ \phi_W & & & \downarrow \Phi \\ Z_{i+j-r} & \longrightarrow & Z_{i+j-r} \cup CZ_{i+j-2r}. \end{array}$$

Here

 $f'': S^p \longrightarrow X_i/X_{i-r}$ and $g'': S^q \longrightarrow Y_j/Y_{j-r}$

are the quotient maps induced by (f', f) and (g', g), respectively, and we write

$$\Phi = \phi_W \cup C\phi_{i-r,j-r}$$

for brevity. If X_{\star} and Y_{\star} are filtrations, as we assume, then

$$X_{i-r} \wedge Y_{j-r} \longrightarrow W$$

is an h-cofibration, so the collapse map

$$\Theta \colon W \cup C(X_{i-r} \land Y_{j-r}) \longrightarrow X_{i-r} \land Y_j / Y_{j-r} \lor X_i / X_{i-r} \land Y_{j-r}$$

is an equivalence.

The left hand side of Equation (4.9) applied to $[f', f] \otimes [g', g]$ is

(4.10)
$$\partial \phi_r([f', f] \otimes [g', g]) = \partial [\phi_W(f \wedge g)', \phi_{i,j}(f \wedge g)]$$
$$= [*, \phi_W(f \wedge g)'\pi].$$

Under the isomorphism $\pi_{p+q-1}^G(Z_{i+j-2r} \to Z_{i+j-r}) \cong \pi_{p+q-1}^G(Z_{i+j-r} \cup CZ_{i+j-2r})$ this corresponds to the homotopy class of the composite map

$$S^{p+q-1} \longrightarrow Z_{i+j-r} \longrightarrow Z_{i+j-r} \cup CZ_{i+j-2r}$$

in the diagram above. Equivalently, by the commutativity of the diagram, we can describe it as the homotopy class of the composite map

$$S^{p+q-1} \longrightarrow S^{p+q-1} \cup C(S^{p-1} \wedge S^{q-1})$$
$$\xrightarrow{(f \wedge g)' \cup C(f' \wedge g')} W \cup C(X_{i-r} \wedge Y_{j-r})$$
$$\xrightarrow{\Phi} Z_{i+j-r} \cup C(Z_{i+j-2r}).$$

Alternatively, we can describe it as the image $\Phi_*([a])$ of the homotopy class [a] of the composite map

$$a: S^{p+q-1} \longrightarrow S^{p+q-1} \cup C(S^{p-1} \wedge S^{q-1})$$
$$\xrightarrow{(f \wedge g)' \cup C(f' \wedge g')} W \cup C(X_{i-r} \wedge Y_{j-r})$$

under the homomorphism

$$\Phi_* \colon \pi^G_{p+q-1}(W \cup C(X_{i-r} \land Y_{j-r})) \longrightarrow \pi^G_{p+q-1}(Z_{i+j-r} \cup CZ_{i+j-2r}).$$

We shall confirm that Equation (4.9) holds by writing it in the form

 $\Phi_*([a]) = \Phi_*([b]) + (-1)^p \Phi_*([c])$

for some specific classes [b] and [c], to be defined later, and showing that

$$[a] = [b] + (-1)^p[c]$$

in $\pi_{p+q-1}^G(W \cup C(X_{i-r} \wedge Y_{j-r}))$. The latter identity will be confirmed by showing that

$$\Theta_*([a]) = \Theta_*([b]) + (-1)^p \Theta_*([c]),$$

where Θ_* is the isomorphism

$$\Theta_* \colon \pi_{p+q-1}^G(W \cup C(X_{i-r} \land Y_{j-r})) \xrightarrow{(\cong)} \pi_{p+q-1}^G(X_{i-r} \land Y_j/Y_{j-r} \lor X_i/X_{i-r} \land Y_{j-r})$$

induced by the map Θ on homotopy. With this aim in mind, we note that $\Theta_*([a])$ is the homotopy class of the composite

$$S^{p+q-1} \longrightarrow S^{p+q-1} \cup C(S^{p-1} \wedge S^{q-1})$$
$$\xrightarrow{\simeq} (S^{p-1} \wedge S^q) \vee (S^p \wedge S^{q-1})$$
$$\xrightarrow{(f' \wedge g'') \vee (f'' \wedge g')} X_{i-r} \wedge Y_j / Y_{j-r} \vee X_i / X_{i-r} \wedge Y_{j-r},$$

again by commutativity of the above diagram. Checking orientations in the boundary of $D^p \wedge D^q$, the composition of all but the last map in the displayed map has degree +1 when projected to $S^{p-1} \wedge S^q$, and degree $(-1)^p$ when projected to $S^p \wedge S^{q-1}$. Hence $\Theta_*([a])$ is the sum of the homotopy class of the composite

(4.11)
$$S^{p-1} \wedge S^q \xrightarrow{f' \wedge g''} X_{i-r} \wedge Y_j / Y_{j-r}$$
$$\xrightarrow{\text{in}_1} X_{i-r} \wedge Y_j / Y_{j-r} \vee X_i / X_{i-r} \wedge Y_{j-r}$$

and $(-1)^p$ times the homotopy class of the composite

(4.12)
$$S^{p} \wedge S^{q-1} \xrightarrow{f'' \wedge g'} X_{i}/X_{i-r} \wedge Y_{j-r}$$
$$\xrightarrow{\text{in}_{2}} X_{i-r} \wedge Y_{j}/Y_{j-r} \vee X_{i}/X_{i-r} \wedge Y_{j-r}.$$

The first term of the right hand side of Equation (4.9) applied to $[f',f]\otimes [g',g]$ is

(4.13)
$$\phi_r(\partial \otimes 1)([f', f] \otimes [g', g]) = \phi_r([*, f'\pi] \otimes [g', g]).$$

Unravelling the definition of ϕ_r , see the discussion in Section 4.5 for more details, we form the commutative diagram



We also introduce the pushouts

$$S^{p+q-2} = S^{p-2} \wedge D^q \cup_{S^{p-2} \wedge S^{q-1}} D^{p-1} \wedge S^{q-1}$$

and

$$U = X_{i-2r} \land Y_j \cup_{X_{i-2r} \land Y_{j-r}} X_{i-r} \land Y_{j-r}$$

mapping to $D^{p-1} \wedge D^q \cong D^{p+q-1}$ and $X_{i-r} \wedge Y_j$, respectively. This leads to the commutative diagram



The class in $\pi_{p+q-1}^G(Z_{i+j-2r} \to Z_{i+j-r})$ described in Equation (4.13) is represented visually as the big rectangle in the diagram, that is, by the pair of maps

$$\phi_{i-r,j-r}(* \cup f'\pi \wedge g') \colon S^{p+q-2} \to Z_{i+j-2r}$$

$$\phi_{i-r,j}(f'\pi \wedge g) \colon D^{p+q-1} \to Z_{i+j-r}.$$

We can extend the diagram to the right, as follows,

where the maps marked (\simeq) are equivalences by our assumption that $X_{i-r} \to X_i$ and $Y_{j-r} \to Y_j$ are strong *h*-cofibrations. Under the isomorphism $\pi_{p+q-1}^G(Z_{i+j-2r} \to Z_{i+j-r}) \cong \pi_{p+q-1}^G(Z_{i+j-r} \cup CZ_{i+j-2r})$ the class described in Equation (4.13) is given by the composite

$$S^{p+q-1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} D^{p+q-1} \cup CS^{p+q-2}$$
$$\longrightarrow X_{i-r} \wedge (Y_j \cup CY_{j-r})$$
$$\longrightarrow W \cup C(X_{i-r} \wedge Y_{j-r})$$
$$\xrightarrow{\Phi} Z_{i+i-r} \cup CZ_{i+j-2r},$$

where the first map is a homotopy inverse to the collapse map. This is the image $\Phi_*([b])$ of the homotopy class [b] of the composite map

$$b: S^{p+q-1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} D^{p+q-1} \cup CS^{p+q-2} \longrightarrow W \cup C(X_{i-r} \wedge Y_{j-r}).$$

Since the composite

$$S^{p+q-1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} D^{p+q-1} \cup CS^{p+q-2} \xrightarrow{\simeq} S^{p+q-1} \cong S^{p-1} \wedge S^{q-1} \to S^{p+q-1} = S^{p-1} \wedge S^{q-1} \to S^{p+q-1} \to S^{p+$$

is homotopic to the identity, $\Theta_*([b])$ is the homotopy class of the map (4.11). That is, it is the image of $[f' \wedge g'']$ under the inclusion $(in_1)_*$.

The second term of the right hand side of (4.9) applied to $[f', f] \otimes [g', g]$ is

(4.14)
$$\phi_r(1 \otimes \partial)([f', f] \otimes [g', g]) = (-1)^p \phi_r([f', f] \otimes [*, g'\pi]).$$

By a similar analysis as for the first term of the sum, the class $\phi_r([f', f] \otimes [*, g'\pi])$ in $\pi_{p+q-1}^G(Z_{i+j-2r} \to Z_{i+j-r})$ is represented by a pair of maps

$$\phi_{i-r,j-r}(f' \wedge g'\pi \cup *) \colon S^{p+q-2} \longrightarrow Z_{i+j-2r};$$

$$\phi_{i,j-r}(f \wedge g'\pi) \colon D^{p+q-1} \longrightarrow Z_{i+j-r},$$

where S^{p+q-2} is the boundary of $D^{p+q-1} \cong D^p \wedge D^{q-1}$. The corresponding class in $\pi_{p+q-1}^G(Z_{i+j-r} \cup CZ_{i+j-2r})$ is the image $\Phi_*([c])$ under Φ_* of the homotopy class [c]

of the composite map

$$c\colon S^{p+q-1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} D^{p+q-1} \cup CS^{p+q-2} \longrightarrow W \cup C(X_{i-r} \wedge Y_{j-r})$$

The class $\Theta_*([c])$ is then the homotopy class of the map (4.12). That is, it is the image of $[f'' \wedge g']$ under $(in_2)_*$.

Summarising, we have now defined classes [a], [b], and [c] in $\pi_{p+q-1}^G(W \cup C(X_{i-r} \wedge Y_{j-r}))$ satisfying

$$\Theta_*([a]) = \Theta_*([b]) + (-1)^p \Theta_*([c]).$$

Since Θ_* is an isomorphism, we deduce that

$$[a] = [b] + (-1)^{p}[c]$$
 and $\Phi_{*}([a]) = \Phi_{*}([b]) + (-1)^{p}\Phi_{*}([c]).$

Since $\Phi_*([a])$, $\Phi_*([b])$ and $(-1)^p \Phi_*([c])$ are the three parts in Equation (4.9) evaluated at $[f', f] \otimes [g', g]$, and [f', f] and [g', g] were arbitrarily chosen, it follows that Equation (4.9) holds whenever X_* and Y_* are filtrations.

We now extend the result above to all pairings of sequences.

PROPOSITION 4.25. If $\phi: (X_{\star}, Y_{\star}) \to Z_{\star}$ is a pairing of sequences of orthogonal G-spectra, then

$$\partial \phi_r = \phi_r(\partial \otimes 1) + \phi_r(1 \otimes \partial)$$

as homomorphisms

$$H(X_{\star})(i-r,i) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(j-r,j) \longrightarrow H(Z_{\star})(i+j-2r,i+j-r)$$

for all integers i, j, r with $r \ge 1$.

PROOF. Let $T(\phi): (T_{\star}(X), T_{\star}(Y)) \to T_{\star}(Z)$ be the pairing of filtrations defined as in the proof of Lemma 4.21. The equivalence $\epsilon: T_{\star}(X) \to X_{\star}$ and its analogues for Y_{\star} and Z_{\star} are compatible with the pairings. Hence we have a commutative diagram with vertical isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{c} H(T_{\star}(X))(i-r,i) \xrightarrow{\partial} H(T_{\star}(X))(i-2r,i-r) \\ \epsilon \\ \downarrow \cong \\ H(X_{\star})(i-r,i) \xrightarrow{\partial} H(X_{\star})(i-2r,i-r), \end{array}$$

together with its analogues for Y_{\star} and Z_{\star} , and

for all $r \ge 1$. By Proposition 4.24 applied to the pairing of filtrations $T(\phi)$ we know that

$$\partial T(\phi)_r = T(\phi)_r (\partial \otimes 1) + T(\phi)_r (1 \otimes \partial)$$

as homomorphisms

$$H(T_{\star}(X))(i-r,i) \otimes H(T_{\star}(Y))(j-r,j) \longrightarrow H(T_{\star}(Z))(i+j-2r,i+j-r).$$

In view of the vertical isomorphisms ϵ , this implies that $\partial \phi_r = \phi_r(\partial \otimes 1) + \phi_r(1 \otimes \partial)$ as homomorphisms $H(X_*)(i-r,i) \otimes H(Y_*)(j-r,j) \to H(Z_*)(i+j-2r,i+j-r)$. \Box This finishes the goal we set out for ourselves at the start of Section 4.5, namely to prove that a pairing of sequences gives rise to a pairing of the resulting Cartan–Eilenberg systems. Let us phrase this conclusion in a theorem, so that we can refer back to it when needed.

THEOREM 4.26. A pairing $\phi: (X_{\star}, Y_{\star}) \to Z_{\star}$ of sequences gives rise to a pairing $\phi: (H(X_{\star}), H(Y_{\star})) \to H(Z_{\star})$ of the associated Cartan-Eilenberg systems, in the sense of Definition 4.11.

PROOF. The proof of (SPP I) and (SPP III) is the content of Section 4.5 and the proof of (SPP II) is the content of the present section. \Box

This directly gives us the following consequence for the associated spectral sequences.

THEOREM 4.27. A pairing $\phi: (X_{\star}, Y_{\star}) \to Z_{\star}$ of sequences of orthogonal Gspectra gives rise to a pairing $\phi: (E^*(X_{\star}), E^*(Y_{\star})) \to E^*(Z_{\star})$, in the sense of Definition 4.9. Explicitly, we have access to a collection of homomorphisms

$$\phi^r \colon E^r(X_\star) \otimes E^r(Y_\star) \longrightarrow E^r(Z_\star)$$

for all $r \ge 1$, such that:

(1) The Leibniz rule

$$d^r \phi^r = \phi^r (d^r \otimes 1) + \phi^r (1 \otimes d^r)$$

holds as an equality of homomorphisms $E_i^r(X_\star) \otimes E_j^r(Y_\star) \longrightarrow E_{i+j-r}^r(Z_\star)$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \ge 1$.

(2) The diagram

commutes for all $r \geq 1$.

Moreover, the induced pairing ϕ_* on filtered abutments is compatible with the pairing ϕ^{∞} of E^{∞} -pages in the sense of Proposition 4.12. Explicitly, the diagram

commutes, for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here the abutments are given as

$$A_{\infty}(X_{\star}) \cong \pi_{\star}^{G} \operatorname{Tel}(X_{\star})$$
$$A_{\infty}(Y_{\star}) \cong \pi_{\star}^{G} \operatorname{Tel}(Y_{\star})$$
$$A_{\infty}(Z_{\star}) \cong \pi_{\star}^{G} \operatorname{Tel}(Z_{\star}),$$

and are filtered by the images

$$F_i A_{\infty}(X_{\star}) = \operatorname{im}(\pi^G_{\star}(X_i) \longrightarrow A_{\infty}(X_{\star}))$$

$$F_j A_{\infty}(Y_{\star}) = \operatorname{im}(\pi^G_{\star}(Y_j) \longrightarrow A_{\infty}(Y_{\star}))$$

$$F_k A_{\infty}(Z_{\star}) = \operatorname{im}(\pi^G_{\star}(Z_k) \longrightarrow A_{\infty}(Z_{\star})),$$

respectively.

PROOF. This follows from combining Theorem 4.26 with Theorem 4.10 and Proposition 4.12. $\hfill \Box$

COROLLARY 4.28. If (X_{\star}, ϕ) is a multiplicative sequence of orthogonal G-spectra, then the associated spectral sequence $(E(X_{\star}), d)$ is multiplicative with multiplicative abutment.

4.7. The convolution product

Given two sequences X_{\star} and Y_{\star} of orthogonal *G*-spectra there is an initial pairing $\iota: (X_{\star}, Y_{\star}) \to Z_{\star}$, where the sequence Z_{\star} is given at each level by

$$Z_k = \operatorname{colim}_{i+j \le k} X_i \wedge Y_j,$$

with the canonical G-maps $Z_{k-1} \to Z_k$ between them. We call this sequence Z_* the (Day) convolution product of X_* and Y_* , and write

$$Z_{\star} = (X \wedge Y)_{\star}.$$

The universal pairing $\iota: (X_{\star}, Y_{\star}) \to (X \wedge Y)_{\star}$ has components

$$\iota_{i,j} \colon X_i \wedge Y_j \longrightarrow (X \wedge Y)_{i+j},$$

each given by a structure map to the colimit. Per the discussion of Section 4.5, the universal pairing $\iota: (X_{\star}, Y_{\star}) \to (X \wedge Y)_{\star}$ induces homomorphisms

$$\iota_r \colon \pi_p^G(X_{i-r} \to X_i) \otimes \pi_q^G(Y_{j-r} \to Y_j) \longrightarrow \pi_{p+q}^G((X \land Y)_{i+j-r} \to (X \land Y)_{i+j})$$

for $r \geq 1$, and Theorem 4.27 shows that the pairing ι extends to a pairing

$$\iota \colon E^*(X_\star) \otimes E^*(Y_\star) \to E^*((X \wedge Y)_\star)$$

of spectral sequences, in such a way that the induced pairing on filtered abutments

$$\bar{\iota}_* \colon \frac{F_i \pi^G_* \operatorname{Tel}(X_\star)}{F_{i-1} \pi^G_* \operatorname{Tel}(X_\star)} \otimes \frac{F_j \pi^G_* \operatorname{Tel}(Y_\star)}{F_{j-1} \pi^G_* \operatorname{Tel}(Y_\star)} \longrightarrow \frac{F_{i+j} \pi^G_* \operatorname{Tel}((X \wedge Y)_\star)}{F_{i+j-1} \pi^G_* \operatorname{Tel}((X \wedge Y)_\star)}$$

is compatible with the induced pairing on E^{∞} -pages.

REMARK 4.29. The colimit defining Z_k can equally well be calculated over the cofinal subcategory of pairs $(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $k - 1 \leq i + j \leq k$, i.e., as the colimit of the zigzag diagram:



If (X_*, ϕ) and (Y_*, ψ) are multiplicative sequences of orthogonal *G*-spectra, then the convolution product $((X \wedge Y)_*, \phi \wedge \psi)$ is a multiplicative sequence as well. Here, the component

$$(\phi \land \psi)_{i,j} \colon (X \land Y)_i \land (X \land Y)_j \longrightarrow (X \land Y)_{i+j}$$

is defined as the colimit over $i_1 + i_2 \leq i$ and $j_1 + j_2 \leq j$ of the composite maps

$$\begin{aligned} X_{i_1} \wedge Y_{i_2} \wedge X_{j_1} \wedge Y_{j_2} & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \tau \wedge 1} X_{i_1} \wedge X_{j_1} \wedge Y_{i_2} \wedge Y_{j_2} \xrightarrow{\phi_{i_1, j_1} \wedge \psi_{i_2, j_2}} X_{i_1+j_1} \wedge Y_{i_2+j_2} \\ & \xrightarrow{\iota_{i_1+j_1, i_2+j_2}} (X \wedge Y)_{i_1+j_1+i_2+j_2} \longrightarrow (X \wedge Y)_{i+j}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4.30. If (X_{\star}, ϕ) and (Y_{\star}, ψ) are multiplicative sequences, then the homomorphism $\iota^1 \colon E^1(X_{\star}) \otimes E^1(Y_{\star}) \to E^1((X \wedge Y)_{\star})$ is multiplicative, in the sense that the diagram

commutes.

PROOF. Let us write $\theta = \phi \land \psi$ for brevity. The diagrams

$$\begin{array}{c|c} X_{i_1} \wedge Y_{i_2} \wedge X_{j_1} \wedge Y_{j_2} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{i_1,i_2} \wedge \iota_{j_1,j_2}} (X \wedge Y)_{i_1+i_2} \wedge (X \wedge Y)_{j_1+j_2} \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ X_{i_1} \wedge X_{j_1} \wedge Y_{i_2} \wedge Y_{j_2} & & \\$$

commute, and are compatible, for all i_1 , i_2 , j_1 and j_2 . This implies that the composite homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} H(X_{\star})(i_{1}-r,i_{1}) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(i_{2}-r,i_{2}) \otimes H(X_{\star})(j_{1}-r,j_{1}) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(j_{2}-r,j_{2}) \\ \xrightarrow{\iota_{r} \otimes \iota_{r}} & H((X \wedge Y)_{\star})(i_{1}+i_{2}-r,i_{1}+i_{2}) \otimes H((X \wedge Y)_{\star})(j_{1}+j_{2}-r,j_{1}+j_{2}) \\ \xrightarrow{\theta_{r}} & H((X \wedge Y)_{\star})(i_{1}+i_{2}+j_{1}+j_{2}-r,i_{1}+i_{2}+j_{1}+j_{2}) \end{aligned}$$

is equal to the composite homomorphism

$$\begin{split} H(X_{\star})(i_{1}-r,i_{1}) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(i_{2}-r,i_{2}) \otimes H(X_{\star})(j_{1}-r,j_{1}) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(j_{2}-r,j_{2}) \\ \xrightarrow{\cong} H(X_{\star})(i_{1}-r,i_{1}) \otimes H(X_{\star})(j_{1}-r,j_{1}) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(i_{2}-r,i_{2}) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(j_{2}-r,j_{2}) \\ \xrightarrow{\phi_{r} \otimes \psi_{r}} H(X_{\star})(i_{1}+j_{1}-r,i_{1}+j_{1}) \otimes H(Y_{\star})(i_{2}+j_{2}-r,i_{2}+j_{2}) \\ \xrightarrow{\iota_{r}} H((X \wedge Y)_{\star})(i_{1}+j_{1}+i_{2}+j_{2}-r,i_{1}+j_{1}+i_{2}+j_{2}) \end{split}$$

for each $r \ge 1$, where the homomorphisms ι_r , ϕ_r , ψ_r and θ_r are defined as in Section 4.5. For r = 1, this gives the claim of the lemma.

Note that for general sequences X_{\star} and Y_{\star} we typically have no homotopical control of their convolution product. However, if both X_{\star} and Y_{\star} are filtrations, then we can view each $X_i \wedge Y_j$ as a subspectrum of

$$\operatorname{colim}_{i} X_{i} \wedge \operatorname{colim}_{j} Y_{j} = \bigcup_{i} X_{i} \wedge \bigcup_{j} Y_{j}$$

and their colimit for $i + j \leq k$ can be formed as the union

$$(X \wedge Y)_k = \bigcup_{i+j=k} X_i \wedge Y_j.$$

PROPOSITION 4.31. If the sequences X_* and Y_* are filtrations, then their convolution product $(X \wedge Y)_*$ is a filtration.

PROOF. We must show that each map

$$(X \wedge Y)_{k-1} \longrightarrow (X \wedge Y)_k$$

is a strong h-cofibration. This is the colimit of a sequence of maps, each of which is the cobase change of a pushout-product map

$$X_{i-1} \wedge Y_j \cup X_i \wedge Y_{j-1} \longrightarrow X_i \wedge Y_j$$

with i + j = k, where the pushout is formed over $X_{i-1} \wedge Y_{j-1}$. By assumption $X_{i-1} \to X_i$ and $Y_{j-1} \to Y_j$ are strong *h*-cofibrations, so the conclusion follows immediately from Theorem 4.17.

In the special case when two arbitrary sequences X_{\star} and Y_{\star} are first replaced with equivalent filtrations $T_{\star}(X)$ and $T_{\star}(Y)$, we can give the following alternative, more explicit, argument for why the resulting convolution product is always a filtration.

LEMMA 4.32. For any two sequences X_{\star} and Y_{\star} of orthogonal G-spectra, the convolution product $(T(X) \wedge T(Y))_{\star}$ is a filtration.

PROOF. In degree k,

$$(T(X) \wedge T(Y))_k = \bigcup_{i+j=k} T_i(X) \wedge T_j(Y).$$

This is the subspectrum of $\operatorname{Tel}(X_{\star}) \wedge \operatorname{Tel}(Y_{\star})$ with telescope coordinates x and y satisfying $\lceil x \rceil + \lceil y \rceil \leq k$. Here $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the least integer i with $x \leq i$. The inclusion $(T(X) \wedge T(Y))_{k-1} \to (T(X) \wedge T(Y))_k$ is then the composite of a sequence of cobase changes of maps of the form

$$i_0: A \longrightarrow B \cup_A A \wedge I_+,$$

with A the double mapping cylinder of the diagram

$$X_{i-1} \land Y_j \longleftarrow X_{i-1} \land Y_{j-1} \longrightarrow X_i \land Y_{j-1}$$

and $B = X_i \wedge Y_j$, for i + j = k. Since each such map i_0 is a strong *h*-cofibration, so is the structure map in $(T(X) \wedge T(Y))_*$, as claimed.

As a consequence of Proposition 4.31, we can write the first page of the spectral sequence associated to the convolution product of two filtrations X_{\star} and Y_{\star} as

$$E_k^1((X \wedge Y)_*) = \pi_*^G((X \wedge Y)_{k-1} \to (X \wedge Y)_k)$$
$$\cong \pi_*^G((X \wedge Y)_k/(X \wedge Y)_{k-1})$$
$$\cong \bigoplus_{i+j=k} \pi_*^G(X_i/X_{i-1} \wedge Y_j/Y_{j-1})$$

since

$$\frac{(X \wedge Y)_k}{(X \wedge Y)_{k-1}} \cong \bigvee_{i+j=k} X_i / X_{i-1} \wedge Y_j / Y_{j-1}.$$

Furthermore, the diagram

commutes. To proceed we usually need more explicit control of the d^1 -differential for $(X \wedge Y)_{\star}$, e.g., by use of (4.15) in situations where ι^1 is surjective.

Suppose now that (X_{\star}, ϕ) and (Y_{\star}, ψ) are multiplicative sequences, and also assume that the former is a filtration. This will be the situation when we filter the *G*-Tate construction in Chapter 6. By Corollary 4.22, the telescopic replacement $(T_{\star}(Y), T(\psi))$ is a multiplicative filtration, and by Proposition 4.31 the convolution product $((X \wedge T(Y))_{\star}, \phi \wedge T(\psi))$ is then also a multiplicative filtration. Lemma 4.30 shows that $\iota^1 \colon E^1(X_{\star}) \otimes E^1(Y_{\star}) \to E^1((X \wedge Y)_{\star})$ is multiplicative, in the sense that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 E^{1}(X_{\star}) \otimes E^{1}(T_{\star}(Y)) & \xrightarrow{\iota^{1} \otimes \iota^{1}} & E^{1}((X \wedge T(Y))_{\star}) \otimes E^{1}((X \wedge T(Y))_{\star}) \\
 E^{1}(X_{\star}) \otimes E^{1}(T_{\star}(Y)) & & & \\
 E^{1}(X_{\star}) \otimes E^{1}(X_{\star}) & & & \\
 E^{1}(T_{\star}(Y)) \otimes E^{1}(T_{\star}(Y)) & & & & \\
 \varphi^{1} \otimes T(\psi)^{1} & & & & \\
 E^{1}(X_{\star}) \otimes E^{1}(T_{\star}(Y)) & & & & & \\
 E^{1}(X_{\star}) \otimes E^{1}(T_{\star}(Y)) & & & & & \\
 E^{1}(X_{\star}) \otimes E^{1}(T_{\star}(Y)) & & & & & \\
 E^{1}(X_{\star}) \otimes E^{1}(T_{\star}(Y)) & & & & & \\
 E^{1}(X_{\star}) \otimes E^{1}(T_{\star}(Y)) & & & \\
 E^{1}(X_{\star}) \otimes E^{1}(X_{\star}) & & \\
 E$$

commutes for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. In situations where ι^1 is surjective, this gives us algebraic control of the product on $E^1((X \wedge T(Y))_{\star})$ in terms of the products on $E^1(X_{\star})$ and $E^1(T_{\star}(Y)) \cong E^1(Y_{\star})$.

REMARK 4.33. The results of this chapter readily generalise to the case of sequences of R-modules in orthogonal G-spectra, for any fixed commutative orthogonal ring spectrum R. Letting X_{\star} denote a sequence

 $\cdots \longrightarrow X_{i-1} \longrightarrow X_i \longrightarrow X_{i+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$

of *R*-module *G*-spectra and *R*-module *G*-maps, the telescope $\text{Tel}(X_{\star})$ is an *R*-module *G*-spectrum, and the following all live in the category of *R*_{*}-modules: the

Cartan–Eilenberg system (H, ∂) , the exact couple (A, E^1) , the filtered abutment $A_{\infty} \cong \pi^G_* \operatorname{Tel}(X_*)$, and the spectral sequence (E^r, d^r) . The telescope filtration and equivalence

$$\epsilon \colon T_{\star}(X) \longrightarrow X_{\star}$$

also live in the category of R-modules.

Given sequences X_{\star} , Y_{\star} and Z_{\star} of *R*-modules in orthogonal *G*-spectra, an *R*-bilinear pairing

$$\phi\colon (X_\star, Y_\star) \longrightarrow Z_\star$$

consists of compatible R-linear G-maps

$$\phi \colon X_i \wedge_R Y_j \longrightarrow Z_{i+j},$$

where the usual smash product has been replaced with the smash product over R. Such pairings induce R_* -module homomorphisms

$$\phi_r \colon H(X_\star)(i-r,i) \otimes_{R_\star} H(Y_\star)(j-r,j) \longrightarrow H(Z_\star)(i+j-r,i+j),$$

where the usual tensor product has been replaced with the tensor product over R_* . The Leibniz rule holds for ϕ_r , so that ϕ induces an R_* -linear pairing of R_* -module spectral sequences

$$\phi^r \colon E^r(X_\star) \otimes_{R_\star} E^r(Y_\star) \longrightarrow E^r(Z_\star).$$

The corresponding R_* -linear pairings $\overline{\phi}_*$ and ϕ^{∞} of the filtration subquotients and E^{∞} -pages are compatible under the R_* -module monomorphism

$$\beta \colon \frac{F_i A_\infty}{F_{i-1} A_\infty} \longrightarrow E_i^\infty.$$

The universal *R*-bilinear pairing $\iota: (X_{\star}, Y_{\star}) \to Z_{\star}$ is given by the *R*-module convolution product $Z_{\star} = (X \wedge_R Y)_{\star}$, with

$$(X \wedge_R Y)_k = \operatorname{colim}_{i+j \le k} X_i \wedge_R Y_j.$$

If X_{\star} and Y_{\star} are *R*-module filtrations, then $(X \wedge_R Y)_{\star}$ is an *R*-module filtration. For general *R*-module sequences X_{\star} and Y_{\star} the diagram (4.15) commutes after replacing \otimes and \wedge by $\otimes_{R_{\star}}$ and \wedge_{R} , respectively. Finally, if (X_{\star}, ϕ) and (Y_{\star}, ψ) are multiplicative *R*-module sequences then $\iota^{1} \colon E^{1}(X_{\star}) \otimes_{R_{\star}} E^{1}(Y_{\star}) \to E^{1}((X \wedge_{R} Y)_{\star})$ will be multiplicative. This depends on the existence of *R*-module maps

$$(23) = 1 \land \tau \land 1 \colon X_{i_1} \land_R Y_{i_2} \land_R X_{j_1} \land_R Y_{j_2} \longrightarrow X_{i_1} \land_R X_{j_1} \land_R Y_{i_2} \land_R Y_{j_2}$$

that are strictly compatible for varying i_1 , j_1 , i_2 and j_2 . This is a point where we use the assumption that R is strictly commutative, not just homotopy commutative, as an orthogonal ring spectrum.

Licensed to Univ of Rochester. Prepared on Fri Jan 17 06:12:09 EST 2025for download from IP 128.151.13.59.

CHAPTER 5

The G-Homotopy Fixed Point Spectral Sequence

Given an R-module X of orthogonal G-spectra we can define the G-homotopy fixed points as the genuine fixed points

$$X^{hG} = F(EG_+, X)^G = F_R(R \wedge EG_+, X)^G.$$

In this chapter we construct a spectral sequence

$$E^r_{*,*}(X) \Longrightarrow \pi_*(X^{hG})$$

with abutment being the homotopy groups of the G-homotopy fixed points of X, for any compact Lie group G. This spectral sequence will be induced by the filtration, covered in Section 5.1, on the free and contractible G-space EG coming from the simplicial bar construction. In Section 5.2, we show that this spectral sequence is multiplicative with multiplicative abutment. See Theorem 5.6. Under the assumption that $R[G]_*$ is finitely generated and projective over R_* we can algebraically identify the E^2 -page of the G-homotopy fixed point spectral sequence as

$$E^2_{*,*}(X) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{B[G]}^{-*}(R_*, \pi_*(X)),$$

with the multiplicative structure being identified with the cup product on the righthand side. See Theorem 5.14. Lastly, in Section 5.4 we discuss the relationship between the simplicial skeletal filtration on $E\mathbb{T}$ and the often-used filtration coming from odd-dimensional spheres.

5.1. The filtered G-space EG

As always, G is a compact Lie group. We let

$$EG = B(*, G, G)$$

be the free and contractible (right) G-space obtained by taking the geometric realization of the simplicial space

$$[q] \mapsto B_q(*, G, G) = G^q \times G,$$

with the usual face and degeneracy maps [May75, §7]. There is a simplicial contraction of $B_{\bullet}(*, G, G)$, so EG is indeed contractible [May72, Prop. 9.8]. We let F_iEG be the image of the structure map $\Delta^i \times B_i(*, G, G) \to EG$ to the geometric realization, yielding the following exhaustive filtration [May72, Def. 11.1]:

$$\emptyset = F_{-1}EG \subset F_0EG \subset \cdots \subset F_{i-1}EG \subset F_iEG \subset \cdots \subset EG.$$

Here, the group G acts freely from the right in each simplicial degree, hence also on each term in this filtration. The structure map induces a G-equivariant homeomorphism

$$\Sigma^{i}(G^{\wedge i} \wedge G_{+}) \cong \Delta^{i} / \partial \Delta^{i} \wedge G^{\wedge i} \wedge G_{+} \cong F_{i}EG/F_{i-1}EG$$

for each $i \ge 0$. Each smash power $G^{\wedge i} = G \wedge \cdots \wedge G$ (with *i* copies of *G*) is formed with respect to the base point $e \in G$ given by the unit element.

REMARK 5.1. When G is finite, $F_i EG$ gives the *i*-skeleton of a free G-CW structure on EG. When $G = \mathbb{T} = U(1)$ is the circle group, $F_i EG$ gives the 2*i*- and 2i + 1-skeleta of a G-CW structure (with no odd-dimensional G-cells). Similarly, when $G = \mathbb{U} = Sp(1)$ is the 3-sphere, $F_i EG$ gives the 4*i*-, 4*i* + 1-, 4*i* + 2- and 4i + 3-skeleta of a G-CW structure. For other Lie groups the relationship is more complicated. Hence our filtration will agree with that used by Greenlees and May in [**GM95**, §9] when G is finite, be a doubly accelerated version when $G = \mathbb{T}$, and be a quadruply accelerated version when $G = \mathbb{U}$. The two filtrations might be quite different for other compact Lie groups G, though.

We give the Cartesian product $EG \times EG$ the product filtration:

$$F_k(EG \times EG) = \bigcup_{i+j=k} F_i EG \times F_j EG.$$

Note that the diagonal G-map $\Delta: EG \to EG \times EG$, sending x to $\Delta(x) = (x, x)$, is not filtration-preserving. However, by [Seg68, Lem. 5.4] or [May72, Lem. 11.15] it is naturally homotopic to a filtration-preserving map $D: EG \to EG \times EG$, which we call a *diagonal approximation* for EG. By inspection, both D and the natural homotopy $\Delta \simeq D$ are G-equivariant. Subject to this condition, the precise choice of diagonal approximation will not be important, only its existence.

LEMMA 5.2. Any diagonal approximation D induces a commutative diagram of based G-spaces and G-maps



for all i + j = k. The G-maps $D_{i,j}$ are compatible for varying i and j, in the sense that the squares

commute.

PROOF. This follows from the inclusions

$$D(F_{k-1}EG) \subset F_{k-1}(EG \times EG) \subset (F_{i-1}EG \times EG) \cup (EG \times F_{j-1}EG)$$

and the splitting

$$\frac{F_k(EG \times EG)}{F_{k-1}(EG \times EG)} \cong \bigvee_{i+j=k} \frac{F_iEG}{F_{i-1}EG} \wedge \frac{F_jEG}{F_{j-1}EG}.$$

5.2. *G*-homotopy fixed points

Let X be an orthogonal G-spectrum. In this section we will construct a spectral sequence computing the homotopy groups of the G-homotopy fixed points of X, that is, the G-fixed points of a fibrant replacement of the function spectrum $F(EG_+, X)$:

$$X^{hG} = F(EG_+, X)^G$$

To this end, note that the sequence of based G-spaces

$$EG_{+} = \frac{EG}{F_{-1}EG} \to \frac{EG}{F_{0}EG} \to \dots \to \frac{EG}{F_{i-1}EG} \to \frac{EG}{F_{i}EG} \to \dots \to *$$

induces a sequence

$$M_{\star}(X) = F(EG/EG_{-\star-1}, X)$$

of orthogonal G-spectra. Explicitly, $M_{\star}(X)$ is the sequence

$$\dots \to F\left(\frac{EG}{F_i EG}, X\right) \to F\left(\frac{EG}{F_{i-1} EG}, X\right) \to \dots$$
$$\dots \to F\left(\frac{EG}{F_0 EG}, X\right) \to F(EG_+, X) = F(EG_+, X) = \dots$$

DEFINITION 5.3. The spectral sequence $(E^r(X), d^r) = (E^r(M_*(X)), d^r)$ associated to the sequence $M_*(X)$ above is called the *G*-homotopy fixed point spectral sequence of X.

Each map of function spectra $F(EG/F_iEG, X) \to F(EG/F_{i-1}EG, X)$ is a monomorphism of orthogonal *G*-spectra, but it is unlikely in general that these maps are *h*-cofibrations, so $M_{\star}(X)$ need not be a filtration. Since the sequence $M_{\star}(X)$ is eventually constant, there is a natural *G*-equivalence

$$M_{\infty}(X) = \operatorname{Tel}(M_{\star}(X)) \simeq_G F(EG_+, X).$$

There is also a G-equivalence

$$\operatorname{holim}_{s} M_{s}(X) = \operatorname{holim}_{s} F\left(\frac{EG}{F_{-s-1}EG}, X\right) \cong F\left(\operatorname{hocolim}_{i} \frac{EG}{F_{i-1}EG}, X\right) \simeq_{G} *,$$

since $\operatorname{hocolim}_i F_{i-1}EG \simeq_G EG$. We conclude that the *G*-homotopy fixed point spectral sequence is always conditionally convergent to the abutment

$$A_{\infty}(M_{\star}(X)) \cong \pi^{G}_{\star} \operatorname{Tel}(M_{\star}(X)) \cong \pi^{G}_{\star} F(EG_{+}, X) = \pi_{\star}(X^{hG}).$$

Let us now explicitly compute the E^1 -page of this spectral sequence.

LEMMA 5.4. The E^1 -page of the G-homotopy fixed point spectral sequence of X is given by

$$E^{1}_{-i,*}(M_{\star}(X)) \cong \pi^{G}_{-i+*}F\left(\frac{F_{i}EG}{F_{i-1}EG}, X\right) \cong \pi^{G}_{*}F(G_{+}, F(G^{\wedge i}, X))$$

and the differential

$$d^1_{-i,*} \colon E^1_{-i,*}(X) \to E^1_{-i-1,*}(X)$$

is contravariantly induced by the composite G-map

$$\frac{F_{i+1}EG}{F_iEG} \longrightarrow \frac{EG}{F_iEG} \simeq \frac{EG}{F_{i-1}EG} \cup C\left(\frac{F_iEG}{F_{i-1}EG}\right) \longrightarrow \Sigma \frac{F_iEG}{F_{i-1}EG}$$

PROOF. The cofibre sequence

$$\frac{F_i EG}{F_{i-1} EG} \longrightarrow \frac{EG}{F_{i-1} EG} \longrightarrow \frac{EG}{F_i EG}$$

of based $G\operatorname{-spaces}$ is a homotopy cofibre sequence, hence induces a homotopy fibre sequence

$$M_{-i-1}(X) \longrightarrow M_{-i}(X) \longrightarrow F\left(\frac{F_i E G}{F_{i-1} E G}, X\right)$$

of orthogonal G-spectra. It follows that

$$E^{1}_{-i,*}(X) \cong \pi^{G}_{-i+*}F\left(\frac{F_{i}EG}{F_{i-1}EG}, X\right)$$
$$\cong \pi^{G}_{-i+*}F(\Sigma^{i}(G^{\wedge i} \wedge G_{+}), X)$$
$$\cong \pi^{G}_{*}F(G_{+}, F(G^{\wedge i}, X))$$

for $i \geq 0$. The d¹-differential is the composite of the connecting homomorphism

$$\pi^{G}_{-i+*}(M_{-i-1}(X) \to M_{-i}(X)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi^{G}_{-i-1+*}(M_{-i-1}(X)) \cong \pi^{G}_{-i-1+*}F\left(\frac{EG}{F_iEG}, X\right)$$

induced by

$$\frac{EG}{F_iEG} \simeq \frac{EG}{F_{i-1}EG} \cup C\left(\frac{F_iEG}{F_{i-1}EG}\right) \longrightarrow \Sigma \frac{F_iEG}{F_{i-1}EG},$$

and the homomorphism

$$\pi^{G}_{-i-1+*}(M_{-i-1}(X)) \longrightarrow \pi^{G}_{-i-1+*}(M_{-i-2}(X) \to M_{-i-1}(X))$$

induced by

$$\frac{F_{i+1}EG}{F_iEG} \longrightarrow \frac{EG}{F_iEG}$$

г		

REMARK 5.5. When G is finite, the spectral sequence $E^r(M_*(X))$ agrees with the G-homotopy fixed point spectral sequence obtained from the G-equivariant Whitehead (or Postnikov) tower for X. Greenlees and May prove this in [GM95, Theorem B.8]. When $G = \mathbb{T}$ or \mathbb{U} it is an accelerated version of the latter spectral sequence. In Theorem 5.14 we will give an algebraic description of $E^2(M_*(X))$ when X is an R-module and $R[G]_*$ is finitely generated and projective over R_* .

The homotopy fixed point construction is a lax symmetric monoidal functor. To see this, let $\mu: X \wedge Y \to Z$ be a pairing of orthogonal *G*-spectra, and recall the diagonal map $\Delta: EG \to EG \times EG$. The associated pairing $X^{hG} \wedge Y^{hG} \to Z^{hG}$ is given by the composite

$$F(EG_+, X)^G \wedge F(EG_+, Y)^G \xrightarrow{\alpha} F(EG_+ \wedge EG_+, X \wedge Y)^G$$
$$\xrightarrow{(\Delta_+)^*} F(EG_+, X \wedge Y)^G$$
$$\xrightarrow{\mu_*} F(EG_+, Z)^G.$$

From this point of view it is hence relevant to understand how the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence interacts with multiplicative structures. First note that the maps $D_{i,j}$ from Lemma 5.2 induce *G*-maps

$$F\left(\frac{EG}{F_{i-1}EG}, X\right) \wedge F\left(\frac{EG}{F_{j-1}EG}, Y\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha} F\left(\frac{EG}{F_{i-1}EG} \wedge \frac{EG}{F_{j-1}EG}, X \wedge Y\right)$$
$$\xrightarrow{D_{i,j}^*} F\left(\frac{EG}{F_{k-1}EG}, X \wedge Y\right)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\mu_*} F\left(\frac{EG}{F_{k-1}EG}, Z\right)$$

for k = i+j. These are compatible for varying *i* and *j*, in the sense of Definition 4.20, and so define the components $\bar{\mu}_{-i,-j}$ of a pairing

$$\bar{\mu} \colon (M_{\star}(X), M_{\star}(Y)) \to M_{\star}(Z)$$

of sequences of orthogonal G-spectra.

THEOREM 5.6. Let $\mu: X \wedge Y \to Z$ be a pairing of orthogonal G-spectra. There is then a pairing

$$\bar{\mu}^r \colon E^r(M_\star(X)) \otimes E^r(M_\star(Y)) \longrightarrow E^r(M_\star(Z))$$

of the associated G-homotopy fixed point spectral sequences, and the induced pairing $\bar{\mu}_*$ on filtered abutments is compatible with the induced pairing

$$\bar{\mu}^{\infty} \colon E^{\infty}(M_{\star}(X)) \otimes E^{\infty}(M_{\star}(Y)) \to E^{\infty}(M_{\star}(Z))$$

of E^{∞} -pages.

Moreover, the pairing $\bar{\mu}^1$ of E^1 -pages is contravariantly induced by

$$D'_{i,j} \colon \frac{F_k EG}{F_{k-1} EG} \longrightarrow \frac{F_i EG}{F_{i-1} EG} \wedge \frac{F_j EG}{F_{j-1} EG}$$

under the isomorphism of Lemma 5.4, and the pairing

$$\bar{\mu}_* \colon \pi_*(X^{hG}) \otimes \pi_*(Y^{hG}) \longrightarrow \pi_*(Z^{hG})$$

equals the pairing induced by $X^{hG} \wedge Y^{hG} \rightarrow Z^{hG}$.

PROOF. In the paragraph before this theorem we noted that a map $\mu: X \wedge Y \to Z$ of orthogonal *G*-spectra gives rise to a pairing $\bar{\mu}: (M_{\star}(X), M_{\star}(Y)) \to M_{\star}(Z)$ of sequences. By Theorem 4.27 it follows that we have an induced pairing between the associated spectral sequences, and that the induced pairing $\bar{\mu}_{\star}$ on filtered abutments is compatible with the pairing $\bar{\mu}^{\infty}$ of E^{∞} -pages.

Tracing through the definitions shows that the pairing $\bar{\mu}_{-i,-j}^1$ of E^1 -pages is compatible with the pairing induced by $D'_{i,j}$ under the isomorphism

$$E^{1}_{-i,*}(M_{\star}(X)) = \pi^{G}_{-i+*}(M_{-i-1}(X) \to M_{-i}(X))$$
$$\cong \pi^{G}_{-i+*}F\left(\frac{F_{i}EG}{F_{i-1}EG}, X\right)$$

and its analogues for Y and Z.

The abutment $A_{\infty}(M_{\star}(X)) \cong \pi^{G}_{*}F(EG_{+},X)$ is filtered by the images

$$F_s \pi^G_* F(EG_+, X) = \operatorname{im}(\pi^G_* F(EG/EG_{-s-1}, X) \longrightarrow \pi^G_* F(EG_+, X)).$$

Note that this exhaustive filtration is constant for $s \ge 0$. The pairing $\bar{\mu}_*$ is induced by the composite map

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mu}_{0,0} \colon F(EG_+, X) \wedge F(EG_+, Y) &\xrightarrow{\alpha} F(EG_+ \wedge EG_+, X \wedge Y) \\ &\xrightarrow{D_{0,0}^*} F(EG_+, X \wedge Y) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mu_*} F(EG_+, Z). \end{split}$$

In view of the based G-homotopy $\Delta_+ \simeq D_+ = D_{0,0}$, it is also induced by the composite map

$$\begin{split} F(EG_+, X) \wedge F(EG_+, Y) &\xrightarrow{\Delta} F(EG_+ \wedge EG_+, X \wedge Y) \\ &\xrightarrow{\Delta_+^*} F(EG_+, X \wedge Y) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mu_*} F(EG_+, Z), \end{split}$$

where $\Delta_+ : EG_+ \to (EG \times EG)_+ \cong EG_+ \wedge EG_+$.

COROLLARY 5.7. If $(X, \mu: X \wedge X \to X)$ is a multiplicative orthogonal Gspectrum, then the G-homotopy fixed point spectral sequence $(E^r(M_*(X)), d^r)$ is a conditionally convergent and multiplicative spectral sequence, with multiplicative abutment $\pi_*(X^{hG})$.

5.3. Algebraic description of the E^1 - and E^2 -pages

Under suitable flatness hypotheses there is an algebraic description of the first two pages of the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence. Recall from Chapter 3 that R is our 'ground' commutative orthogonal ring spectrum. We write

$$R_*(X) = \pi_*(R \wedge X)$$

for the associated (reduced) homology theory. We will assume that $R[G]_*$ is flat over R_* , so that $R[G]_*$ is a cocommutative Hopf algebra over R_* , per Lemma 3.2. Let us write

 $E = R \wedge EG_+$ and $E_i = R \wedge (F_i EG)_+$.

Each map $E_{i-1} \to E_i$ is a q-cofibration, hence a strong h-cofibration, so that E_\star is a filtration

 $\cdots \longrightarrow E_{i-1} \longrightarrow E_i \longrightarrow E_{i+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$

of *R*-modules in orthogonal *G*-spectra. Here $E_i = *$ for i < 0, and

$$E_{\infty} = \operatorname{Tel}(E_{\star}) \simeq_G E$$

The *R*- and *G*-equivariant collapse map $1 \wedge c \colon E = R \wedge EG_+ \to R \wedge S^0 = R$ is a non-equivariant *R*-equivalence, inducing an $R[G]_*$ -module isomorphism $\pi_*(E) \cong R_*$.

DEFINITION 5.8. Let $(P_{*,*}, \partial) = NB_*(R_*, R[G]_*, R[G]_*)$ denote the normalised bar resolution, as defined in Construction 2.26.

Explicitly, the normalised bar resolution of the $R[G]_*$ -module R_* is a nonnegative chain complex given in homological degree $n \ge 0$ as

$$P_{n,*} = NB_n(R_*, R[G]_*, R[G]_*) = \overline{R[G]_*}^{\otimes n} \otimes_{R_*} R[G]_*,$$

where

$$\overline{R[G]}_* = \operatorname{coker}(\eta \colon R_* \to R[G]_*) \cong \ker(\epsilon \colon R[G]_* \to R_*)$$

denotes the augmentation (co-)ideal, and $\overline{R[G]}_*^{\otimes n}$ is its *n*-th tensor power over R_* . The boundary $\partial_n \colon P_{n,*} \to P_{n-1,*}$ is induced by the alternating sum of face operators

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{i} d_{i}$$

for $n \ge 1$, with

$$d_i = \begin{cases} \epsilon \otimes 1^{\otimes n} & \text{for } i = 0, \\ 1^{\otimes i - 1} \otimes \phi \otimes 1^{\otimes n - i} & \text{for } 0 < i \le n. \end{cases}$$

Note that the simplicial contraction [May72, Prop. 9.8] of $B_{\bullet}(R_*, R[G]_*, R[G]_*)$ shows that the augmentation $\epsilon: P_{0,*} = R[G]_* \to R_*$ admits an R_* -linear chain homotopy inverse, so that the augmented chain complex

$$\dots \longrightarrow P_{q,*} \xrightarrow{\partial_q} P_{q-1,*} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P_{1,*} \xrightarrow{\partial_1} P_{0,*} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} R_* \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Hence $(P_{*,*}, \partial)$ is a flat $R[G]_*$ -module resolution of R_* .

LEMMA 5.9. If $R[G]_*$ is flat over R_* , then the (E^1, d^1) -page of the non-equivariant homotopy spectral sequence

$$E_{i,*}^1 = \pi_{i+*}(E_{i-1} \to E_i)$$

associated to E_{\star} is isomorphic to $(P_{\star,\star},\partial)$. The edge homomorphism $P_{0,\star} \rightarrow \pi_{\star}(E) \cong R_{\star}$ is equal to the augmentation $\epsilon \colon R[G]_{\star} \rightarrow R_{\star}$, and makes $(P_{\star,\star},\partial)$ a flat $R[G]_{\star}$ -module resolution of R_{\star} . In particular, the spectral sequence collapses at the E^2 -page, where is it given by

$$E^2 = E^\infty \cong R_*$$

concentrated in filtration degree i = 0.

PROOF. The *R*-module filtration E_{\star} has an associated $R[G]_*$ -module spectral sequence (for non-equivariant homotopy groups) with E^1 -page

$$E_{i,*}^1 = \pi_{i+*}(E_{i-1} \to E_i) \cong R_{i+*}\left(\frac{F_i EG}{F_{i-1} EG}\right)$$

and d^1 -differential equal to the composite

$$R_{i+*}\left(\frac{F_iEG}{F_{i-1}EG}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial} R_{i-1+*}(F_{i-1}EG_+) \longrightarrow R_{i-1+*}\left(\frac{F_{i-1}EG}{F_{i-2}EG}\right).$$

By the proof of [Seg68, Prop. 5.1] or [May72, Thm. 11.14], (E^1, d^1) is the normalized chain complex associated to the simplicial $R[G]_*$ -module

$$[q] \mapsto R_*(B_q(*, G, G)_+) = R_*((G^q \times G)_+)$$

The products

$$R[G]_* \otimes_{R_*} R[G]_* \otimes_{R_*} \cdots \otimes_{R_*} R[G]_*$$
$$\xrightarrow{\cdot} \pi_* (R \wedge G_+ \wedge_R R \wedge G_+ \wedge_R \cdots \wedge_R R \wedge G_+)$$
$$\cong \pi_* (R \wedge G_+ \wedge G_+ \wedge \cdots \wedge G_+)$$

induce a homomorphism of simplicial $R[G]_*$ -modules

$$B_{\bullet}(R_*, R[G]_*, R[G]_*) \longrightarrow R_*(B_{\bullet}(*, G, G))_+).$$

Since $R[G]_*$ is assumed to be flat over R_* the products are isomorphisms, so that (E^1, d^1) is indeed isomorphic to the normalized chain complex associated to the simplicial $R[G]_*$ -module $B_{\bullet}(R_*, R[G]_*, R[G]_*)$.

REMARK 5.10. If $R[G]_*$ is projective over R_* , then $\overline{R[G]}_*$ is also R_* -projective, and each $P_{q,*}$ is $R[G]_*$ -projective by Lemma 2.2. It follows that the chain complex $(P_{*,*}, \partial)$ is a projective $R[G]_*$ -module resolution of R_* . Moreover, if $R[G]_*$ is finitely generated over R_* , then so is $\overline{R[G]}_*$, and each $P_{q,*}$ is finitely generated as an $R[G]_*$ -module. We conclude that $(P_{*,*}, \partial)$ is a projective resolution of finite type, in this case.

To deal with the multiplicative structure of the spectral sequence we introduce the convolution product $(E \wedge_R E)_*$. Explicitly, this is given by

$$(E \wedge_R E)_k = R \wedge F_k(EG \times EG)_+,$$

with filtration subquotients

$$\frac{(E \wedge_R E)_k}{(E \wedge_R E)_{k-1}} \cong \bigvee_{i+j=k} \frac{E_i}{E_{i-1}} \wedge_R \frac{E_j}{E_{j-1}}.$$

Let $in_{i,j}$ denote the inclusion of the (i, j)-th summand in this splitting.

LEMMA 5.11. The (E^1, d^1) -page of the homotopy spectral sequence associated to $(E \wedge_R E)_{\star}$ is isomorphic to the tensor product

$$(P_{*,*} \otimes_{R_*} P_{*,*}, \partial \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \partial),$$

with the same signs occurring in the boundary as specified in Section 2.2. In particular, this spectral sequence collapses at the E^2 -page, where it is given by

$$E^2 = E^\infty \cong R_* \otimes_{R_*} R_* \cong R_*$$

concentrated in filtration degree 0.

PROOF. Theorem 4.27 applied to the initial pairing $\iota: (E_{\star}, E_{\star}) \to (E \wedge_R E)_{\star}$ gives us a pairing

$$\iota^r \colon E^r(E_\star) \otimes_{R_\star} E^r(E_\star) \longrightarrow E^r((E \wedge_R E)_\star)$$

of $R[G]_*$ -module spectral sequences. Since each copy of E_* is a filtration, the pairing

$$\iota^1_{i,j} \colon P_{i,*} \otimes_{R_*} P_{j,*} = E^1_{i,*}(E_\star) \otimes_{R_*} E^1_{j,*}(E_\star) \longrightarrow E^1_{k,*}((E \wedge_R E)_\star),$$

for r = 1 and i + j = k, is induced by the product

$$P_{i,*} \otimes_{R_*} P_{j,*} \xrightarrow{\cdot} \pi_* \left(\frac{E_i}{E_{i-1}} \wedge_R \frac{E_j}{E_{j-1}} \right)$$

and the inclusion

$$\operatorname{in}_{i,j} \colon \frac{E_i}{E_{i-1}} \wedge_R \frac{E_j}{E_{j-1}} \longrightarrow \frac{(E \wedge_R E)_k}{(E \wedge_R E)_{k-1}}$$

Since $R[G]_*$ is flat over R_* , so that each $P_{i,*}$ is flat over R_* , the product is an isomorphism. Adding these together for i + j = k we obtain the degree k part of an isomorphism of $R[G]_*$ -module chain complexes

$$\iota^1 \colon P_{*,*} \otimes_{R_*} P_{*,*} \xrightarrow{\cong} E^1_{*,*}((E \wedge_R E)_{\star}).$$

In particular, Theorem 4.27 ensures that the tensor product boundary operator $\partial \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \partial$ on the left hand side corresponds to the d^1 -differential on the right hand side. The calculation of the E^2 -page then follows as in the proof of Proposition 2.31.

LEMMA 5.12. The diagonal approximation $D: EG \to EG \times EG$ induces a map of filtrations $1 \wedge D_+: E_\star \to (E \wedge_R E)_\star$ and a chain map

$$(1 \wedge D_+)^1 \colon E^1(E_\star) \longrightarrow E^1((E \wedge_R E)_\star),$$

which corresponds, under the isomorphisms of Lemma 5.9 and Lemma 5.11, to an $R[G]_*$ -module chain map

$$\Psi\colon P_{*,*}\longrightarrow P_{*,*}\otimes_{R_*}P_{*,*}$$

In particular, the component

$$\Psi_{i,j} = \operatorname{pr}_{i,j} \circ \Psi_k \colon P_{k,*} \to P_{i,*} \otimes_{R_*} P_{j,*}$$

of Ψ_k , for k = i + j, is induced by the G-map $D'_{i,j}$ of Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 5.6.

The chain map Ψ is characterised, uniquely up to chain homotopy equivalence, by the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{*,*} & \stackrel{\Psi}{\longrightarrow} & P_{*,*} \otimes_{R_*} P_{*,*} \\ & & \downarrow \\ \epsilon \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \epsilon \otimes \epsilon \\ R_* & \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} & R_* \otimes_{R_*} R_* \end{array}$$

of $R[G]_*$ -module complexes.

PROOF. The map of E^1 -pages induced by the diagonal approximation is induced by $1 \wedge D'_k$, and the (i, j)-th component in the direct sum splitting of its target can be recovered by projecting to that summand, which is therefore induced by $1 \wedge D'_{i,j}$.

By naturality of the edge homomorphism, we have a commutative square of $R[G]_*$ -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{0,*} & \xrightarrow{\Psi_0} & P_{0,*} \otimes_{R_*} & P_{0,*} \\ & & & \downarrow^{\epsilon \otimes \epsilon} \\ R_* & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & R_* \otimes_{R_*} & R_*. \end{array}$$

Hence the $R[G]_*$ -module chain map $\Psi: P_{*,*} \to P_{*,*} \otimes_{R_*} P_{*,*}$ is a lift of the isomorphism $R_* \cong R_* \otimes_{R_*} R_*$. Since $\epsilon: P_{*,*} \to R_*$ is an $R[G]_*$ -projective complex over R_* , and $\epsilon \otimes \epsilon: P_{*,*} \otimes_{R_*} P_{*,*} \to R_* \otimes_{R_*} R_*$ is a resolution, it follows from [**ML95**, Thm. III.6.1] that such a chain map Ψ exists and is unique up to chain homotopy.

We now suppose that X is an R-module in orthogonal G-spectra. There are then compatible adjunction equivalences

$$F_R(E/E_{i-1}, X) \cong F(EG/F_{i-1}EG, X) = M_{-i}(X)$$

for all *i*. The left hand side exhibits $M_{\star}(X)$ as a sequence of *R*-modules in orthogonal *G*-spectra, so that the *G*-homotopy fixed point spectral sequence $E^{r}(M_{\star}(X))$ is a spectral sequence of R_{\star} -modules. Theorem 5.6 readily generalizes: If *Y* and *Z* are also *R*-modules in orthogonal *G*-spectra, and $\mu: X \wedge_{R} Y \to Z$ is a map in this category, then we obtain a pairing of R_{\star} -module spectral sequences

$$\bar{\mu}^r \colon E^r(M_{\star}(X)) \otimes_{R_{\star}} E^r(M_{\star}(Y)) \longrightarrow E^r(M_{\star}(Z))$$

such that the resulting pairing of E^{∞} -pages is compatible with the R_* -linear pairing

$$\bar{\mu}_* \colon \pi^G_* F(EG_+, X) \otimes_{R_*} \pi^G_* F(EG_+, Y) \longrightarrow \pi^G_* F(EG_+, Z)$$

of abutments. We can now give algebraic descriptions of the (E^1, d^1) -pages and the pairing $\bar{\mu}^1$, for $R[G]_*$ projective over R_* .

PROPOSITION 5.13. Assume that $R[G]_*$ is projective as an R_* -module. There is then a natural isomorphism

$$E^{1}_{-i,*}(M_{\star}(X)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_{*}}(P_{i,*},\pi_{*}(X))$$

of R_* -modules. Under this isomorphism, the d^1 -differential

$$d^1_{-i,*} \colon E^1_{-i,*}(M_{\star}(X)) \longrightarrow E^1_{-i-1,*}(M_{\star}(X))$$

corresponds to the boundary in the chain complex, with signs as specified in Section 2.2. The pairing

$$\bar{\mu}^1 \colon E^1_{-i,*}(M_{\star}(X)) \otimes_{R_*} E^1_{-j,*}(M_{\star}(Y)) \longrightarrow E^1_{-k,*}(M_{\star}(Z))$$

with i + j = k is contravariantly induced by the component

$$\Psi_{i,j}\colon P_{k,*}\longrightarrow P_{i,*}\otimes_{R_*}P_{j,*}$$

of the chain map Ψ .

$$E^{1}_{-i,*}(M_{\star}(X)) \cong \pi^{G}_{-i+*}F(F_{i}EG/F_{i-1}EG,X) \cong \pi^{G}_{-i+*}F_{R}(E_{i}/E_{i-1},X).$$

Note that the spectrum appearing in the last term can be written $F_R(E_i/E_{i-1}, X) \cong F(G_+, X')$ with

$$X' = F_R(R \wedge G^{\wedge i}, X) \cong F(G^{\wedge i}, X).$$

Under our assumption that $R[G]_*$ is projective, it follows from Proposition 3.6 that the natural R_* -module homomorphism

$$\omega \colon \pi^G_{-i+*} F_R(E_i/E_{i-1}, X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, \pi_{-i+*} F_R(E_i/E_{i-1}, X))$$

is an isomorphism. Moreover, since $P_{i,*} = \pi_{i+*}(E_i/E_{i-1})$ is projective over $R[G]_*$ and hence also over R_* , it follows that the natural $R[G]_*$ -module homomorphism

$$\pi_{-i+*}F_R(E_i/E_{i-1}, X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_*}(\pi_{i+*}(E_i/E_{i-1}), \pi_*(X)) = \operatorname{Hom}_{R_*}(P_{i,*}, \pi_*(X))$$

is an isomorphism. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, -)$ yields an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, \pi_{-i+*}F_R(E_i/E_{i-1}, X)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, \operatorname{Hom}_{R_*}(P_{i,*}, \pi_*(X))) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(P_{i,*}, \pi_*(X)).$$

Composing this chain of R_* -module isomorphisms gives the asserted natural isomorphism.

We now identify the $d^1\mbox{-differential}.$ By Lemma 5.4 again, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} E^{1}_{-i,*}(M_{\star}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi^{G}_{-i+*}F_{R}(E_{i}/E_{i-1},X) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \downarrow \\ d^{1}_{-i,*} & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \\ E^{1}_{-i-1,*}(M_{\star}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi^{G}_{-i-1+*}F_{R}(E_{i+1}/E_{i},X) \end{array}$$

of R_* -modules. By the naturality of ω in Lemma 3.5 the diagram

commutes. Note that these two diagrams fit together along one edge. We also have a commutative diagram of $R[G]_*$ -modules

since $\partial_{i+1}: P_{i+1,*} \to P_{i,*}$ can be calculated by either composite from the left to the right in the diagram



Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, -)$ we obtain a commutative diagram of R_* -modules, which fits together with the previous one. Hence the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^{1}_{-i,*}(M_{\star}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_{*}}(P_{i,*}, \pi_{*}(X)) \\ & & \downarrow^{1}_{d^{1}_{-i,*}} & \downarrow^{1}_{\operatorname{Hom}(\partial_{i+1},1)} \\ E^{1}_{-i-1,*}(M_{\star}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_{*}}(P_{i+1,*}, \pi_{*}(X)) \end{array}$$

commutes, as asserted.

We now identify the multiplicative structure on the $E^1\mbox{-}{\rm page}.$ By Theorem 5.6, the diagram

commutes, where the lower arrow is induced by

$$1 \wedge D'_{i,j} \colon E_k / E_{k-1} \to E_i / E_{i-1} \wedge_R E_j / E_{j-1}$$

Since the natural homomorphism ω is monoidal, per Lemma 3.7, the composite

$$\pi^{G}_{-i+*}F_{R}(E_{i}/E_{i-1},X) \otimes_{R_{*}} \pi^{G}_{-j+*}F_{R}(E_{j}/E_{j-1},Y) \longrightarrow \pi^{G}_{-k+*}F_{R}(E_{k}/E_{k-1},Z)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\omega} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_{*}}(R_{*},\pi_{-k+*}F_{R}(E_{k}/E_{k-1},Z))$$

is equal to the composite

$$\begin{array}{c} \pi^{G}_{-i+*}F_{R}(E_{i}/E_{i-1},X)\otimes_{R_{*}}\pi^{G}_{-j+*}F_{R}(E_{j}/E_{j-1},Y) \xrightarrow{\omega \otimes \omega} \\ \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_{*}}(R_{*},\pi_{-i+*}F_{R}(E_{i}/E_{i-1},X))\otimes_{R_{*}}\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_{*}}(R_{*},\pi_{-j+*}F_{R}(E_{j}/E_{j-1},Y)) \\ \xrightarrow{\alpha} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_{*}}(R_{*},\pi_{-i+*}F_{R}(E_{i}/E_{i-1},X)\otimes_{R_{*}}\pi_{-j+*}F_{R}(E_{j}/E_{j-1},Y)) \\ \xrightarrow{\mu_{*}} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_{*}}(R_{*},\pi_{-k+*}F_{R}(E_{k}/E_{k-1},Z)). \end{array}$$

Note that the final arrow is also induced by $1 \wedge D'_{i,j} : E_k/E_{k-1} \to E_i/E_{i-1} \wedge_R E_j/E_{j-1}$. Next, we use the commutative diagram

of $R[G]_*$ -modules, where the lower homomorphism is induced by $1 \wedge D'_{i,j}$. In view of the isomorphism $P_{i,*} \otimes_{R_*} P_{j,*} \cong \pi_{i+j}(E_i/E_{i-1} \wedge_R E_j/E_{j-1})$ from the proof of Lemma 5.11, this is the same homomorphism as that induced by $\Psi_{i,j}$, as defined in Lemma 5.12. Applying the monoidal functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, -)$, we obtain a commutative square of R_* -modules. Combining these results we have a commutative square

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_{*}}(I_{i,*}, n_{*}(A)) \otimes_{R_{*}} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_{*}}(I_{j,*}, n_{*}(I)) \xrightarrow{} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_{*}}(I_{k,*}, n_{*}(Z))$

where the lower homomorphism is induced by $\Psi_{i,j},$ meaning that it is equal to the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(P_{i,*},\pi_*(X))\otimes_{R_*}\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(P_{j,*},\pi_*(Y)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\alpha} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(P_{i,*}\otimes_{R_*}P_{j,*},\pi_*(X)\otimes_{R_*}\pi_*(Y)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\Psi_{i,j}^*} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(P_{k,*},\pi_*(X)\otimes_{R_*}\pi_*(Y)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mu_*} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(P_{k,*},\pi_*(Z)). \end{aligned}$$

This is the same as the (i, j)-component of the chain map

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(P_{*,*}, \pi_*(X)) \otimes_{R_*} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(P_{*,*}, \pi_*(Y))$$

$$\xrightarrow{\alpha} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(P_{*,*} \otimes_{R_*} P_{*,*}, \pi_*(X) \otimes_{R_*} \pi_*(Y))$$

$$\xrightarrow{\Psi^*} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(P_{*,*}, \pi_*(X) \otimes_{R_*} \pi_*(Y))$$

$$\xrightarrow{\mu_*} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(P_{*,*}, \pi_*(Z))$$

induced by Ψ .

As a direct consequence, we get a description of the E^2 -page of the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence.

THEOREM 5.14. Let G be a compact Lie group and let R be a commutative orthogonal ring spectrum. Moreover, let $\mu: X \wedge_R Y \to Z$ be a pairing of R-modules in orthogonal G-spectra. Assume that $R[G]_*$ is projective as an R_* -module. Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$E^{2}_{-i,*}(M_{\star}(X)) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{i}_{R[G]_{*}}(R_{*},\pi_{*}(X))$$

of R_* -modules, for each integer i. The pairing

$$\bar{\mu}^2 \colon E^2_{-i,*}(M_{\star}(X)) \otimes_{R_*} E^2_{-j,*}(M_{\star}(Y)) \longrightarrow E^2_{-i-j,*}(M_{\star}(Z))$$

is given by the cup product

$$\smile : \operatorname{Ext}_{R[G]_*}^i(R_*, \pi_*(X)) \otimes_{R_*} \operatorname{Ext}_{R[G]_*}^j(R_*, \pi_*(Y)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{R[G]_*}^{i+j}(R_*, \pi_*(Z))$$

associated to the $R[G]_*$ -module pairing $\mu_* : \pi_*(X) \otimes_{R_*} \pi_*(Y) \to \pi_*(Z)$, in Ext over the Hopf algebra $R[G]_*$.

PROOF. By Proposition 5.13 the first page of the spectral sequence, together with its d^1 -differential, is identified with the chain complex $\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(P_{*,*}, \pi_*(X))$ where $(P_{*,*}, \partial)$ is a projective resolution of R_* . It follows that the E^2 -page is given by the homology of this chain complex, which by definition is the graded R_* -module

$$E^2_{*,*}(X) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^*_{R[G]_*}(R_*, \pi_*(X)).$$

Let us now identify the multiplication on the E^2 -page with the cup product. Let $f: P_{i,*} \to \pi_*(X)$ and $g: P_{j,*} \to \pi_*(Y)$ be (graded) $R[G]_*$ -module homomorphisms with $f\partial_{i+1} = 0$ and $g\partial_{j+1} = 0$. They correspond to *i*- and *j*-cycles in $\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(P_{*,*}, \pi_*(X))$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(P_{*,*}, \pi_*(Y))$, respectively, with homology classes $[f] \in E^2_{-i,*}(X)$ and $[g] \in E^2_{-j,*}(Y)$. The pairing of E^2 -pages sends $[f] \otimes [g]$ to the homology class in $E^2_{-k,*}(Z)$ of the *k*-cycle given by the composite (graded) $R[G]_*$ -module homomorphism

$$P_{k,*} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{i,j}} P_{i,*} \otimes_{R_*} P_{j,*} \xrightarrow{f \otimes g} \pi_*(X) \otimes_{R_*} \pi_*(Y) \xrightarrow{\mu_*} \pi_*(Z).$$

The verification that $\mu_*(f \otimes g) \Psi_{i,j}$ is a k-cycle uses the fact that $\Psi_{i,j}$ is a component of an $R[G]_*$ -module chain map $\Psi: P_{*,*} \to P_{*,*} \otimes_{R_*} P_{*,*}$, so that

$$\Psi_{i,j}\partial_{k+1} = (\partial_{i+1} \otimes 1)\Psi_{i+1,j} + (1 \otimes \partial_{j+1})\Psi_{i,j+1}$$

This is the definition of the cup product

$$\smile \colon \operatorname{Ext}_{R[G]_*}^*(R_*, \pi_*(X)) \otimes_{R_*} \operatorname{Ext}_{R[G]_*}^*(R_*, \pi_*(Y)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{R[G]_*}^*(R_*, \pi_*(Z))$$

 \square

associated to the pairing μ_* . See Section 2.5.

REMARK 5.15. A well-known consequence of the comparison theorem [ML95, Thm. III.6.1] is that

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{R[G]_{*}}^{i}(R_{*}, \pi_{*}(X)) = H^{i}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_{*}}(P_{*,*}, \pi_{*}(X)))$$

can be calculated with any projective $R[G]_*$ -module resolution $P_{*,*}$ of R_* , not necessarily the one introduced in Definition 5.8. Likewise, by Proposition 2.31, the cup product can be calculated with any $R[G]_*$ -module chain map

$$\Psi\colon P_{*,*}\longrightarrow P_{*,*}\otimes_{R_*}P_{*,*}$$

lifting $R_* \cong R_* \otimes_{R_*} R_*$, not necessarily the one induced by a given diagonal approximation D.

EXAMPLE 5.16. When G is finite,

$$R[G]_* = R_*[G] \cong \mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_*$$

any projective $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module resolution Q_* of \mathbb{Z} induces up to a projective $R_*[G]$ module resolution $P_{*,*} = Q_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_*$ of R_* , and any $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module diagonal approximation $\Psi : Q_* \to Q_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_*$ induces up to an $R_*[G]$ -module diagonal approximation $\Psi \otimes 1 \colon P_{*,*} = Q_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_* \to Q_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_* \cong P_{*,*} \otimes_{R_*} P_{*,*}$. Hence there is a natural isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{R_*[G]}^i(R_*, \pi_*(X)) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^i(\mathbb{Z}, \pi_*(X)) = H^i(G, \pi_*(X))$$

identifying the E^2 -page of the *G*-homotopy fixed point spectral sequence with the group cohomology of the *G*-module $\pi_*(X)$, and this identification is compatible with the cup product structure on both sides.

EXAMPLE 5.17. When $G = \mathbb{T}$ is the circle group, we showed in Proposition 3.3 that

$$R[\mathbb{T}]_* = R_*[s]/(s^2 = \eta s) \text{ and } \overline{R[\mathbb{T}]}_* = R_*\{s\}$$

As we discussed in Definition 5.8, the normalized bar resolution gives a (minimal) resolution $P_{*,*} = NB_*(R_*, R[\mathbb{T}]_*, R[\mathbb{T}]_*)$ of R_* , with

$$P_{i,*} = \overline{R[\mathbb{T}]}_*^{\otimes i} \otimes_{R_*} R[\mathbb{T}]_* \cong R[\mathbb{T}]_* \{ \bar{p}_i \}.$$

Here $\bar{p}_i = s \otimes \cdots \otimes s \otimes 1 = [s| \dots |s] 1$ has homological degree *i*, internal degree $|\bar{p}_i| = i$ and total degree $||p_i|| = 2i$, for $i \ge 0$. The differential is given by

$$\partial_i(\bar{p}_i) = \bar{p}_{i-1}((i-1)\eta + (-1)^i s)$$

for $i \geq 1$. This means that $P_{*,*}$ is not strictly equal to the resolution P_* specified at the beginning of Section 2.6, with $P_i = R[\mathbb{T}]_*\{p_i\}$ and $\partial_i(p_i) = p_{i-1}(s+(i-1)\eta)$, due to the sign $(-1)^i$ before the contribution from the last face operator. However, the two resolutions are isomorphic, by way of the chain map sending \bar{p}_i to $(-1)^{i(i+1)/2}p_i$ for each $i \geq 0$. Even without this isomorphism, we are free to use P_* to calculate $\operatorname{Ext}_{R[\mathbb{T}]_*}^*(R_*, \pi_*(X))$ as the homology of $\operatorname{Hom}_{R[\mathbb{T}]_*}(P_*, \pi_*(X))$, and that calculation was essentially done in Section 2.6. For each $b \geq 0$ the rule

$$x \longmapsto f_b \cdot x := \begin{pmatrix} p_b \mapsto x \\ p_b s \mapsto xs \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a bijection $\Sigma^{-b}\pi_*(X) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R[\mathbb{T}]_*}(\operatorname{Hom}(P_b, \pi_*(X)))$, and the boundary on such an element is given by

$$\partial^{v}(f_{b} \cdot x) = \begin{cases} -(-1)^{|x|} f_{b+1} \cdot xs & \text{for } b \ge 0 \text{ even,} \\ -(-1)^{|x|} f_{b+1} \cdot x(s+\eta) & \text{for } b \ge 1 \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Hence we can compute the homology as

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{R[\mathbb{T}]_{*}}^{b}(R_{*},\pi_{*}(X)) \cong \begin{cases} f_{0} \cdot \ker(s \colon \pi_{*}(X) \to \pi_{*+1}(X)) & \text{for } b = 0, \\ \\ f_{b} \cdot \frac{\ker(s + \eta \colon \pi_{*}(X) \to \pi_{*+1}(X))}{\operatorname{im}(s \colon \pi_{*-1}(X) \to \pi_{*}(X))} & \text{for } b \ge 1 \text{ odd}, \\ \\ f_{b} \cdot \frac{\ker(s \colon \pi_{*}(X) \to \pi_{*+1}(X))}{\operatorname{im}(s + \eta \colon \pi_{*-1}(X) \to \pi_{*}(X))} & \text{for } b \ge 2 \text{ even}. \end{cases}$$

Please compare with Proposition 2.39, Lemma 2.40, and Proposition 2.45.

For a description of the cup product, we can use any chain map $\Psi : P_* \to P_* \otimes_{R_*} P_*$ lifting the identity on R_* . Such a map is given in Lemma 2.47, so that we can compute the cup product as

$$f_{b_1} \cdot x \smile f_{b_2} \cdot y = f_{b_1 + b_2} \cdot x \otimes y.$$

Please compare with Lemma 2.49. Formally writing the class of $f_b \cdot x$ as $t^b \cdot x$, we can then express $\operatorname{Ext}_{R[\mathbb{T}]_*}(R_*, \pi_*(X))$ as the homology of the differential graded $R[\mathbb{T}]_*$ module

 $\pi_*(X)[t]$

with differential given by d(x) = txs and $d(t) = t^2\eta$, for $x \in \pi_*(X)$. Here t has homological degree -1, internal degree |t| = -1 and total degree |t|| = -2.

5.4. The odd spheres filtration

In the important case $G = \mathbb{T}$, the circle action on odd-dimensional spheres provides a pleasant alternative model for EG. For each $i \ge 0$ let $S(i\mathbb{C}) = S^{2i-1}$ be the unit sphere in $i\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^i$, with the standard, free T-action. We obtain an exhaustive filtration

$$\emptyset \subset S(\mathbb{C}) \subset \cdots \subset S(i\mathbb{C}) \subset S((i+1)\mathbb{C}) \subset \cdots \subset S(\infty\mathbb{C})$$

of free T-spaces. Here $S((i+1)\mathbb{C})$ is obtained from $S(i\mathbb{C})$ by attaching a free T-equivariant 2*i*-cell $D^{2i} \times \mathbb{T}$ along the group action map

$$S^{2i-1} \times \mathbb{T} \cong S(i\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{T} \to S(i\mathbb{C}),$$

so that $S((i + 1)\mathbb{C})$ is the 2*i*-skeleton in a free \mathbb{T} -CW structure on $S(\infty\mathbb{C})$. This filtered model for a free, contractible \mathbb{T} -CW complex was used in [**BR05**, §2] to discuss the \mathbb{T} -homotopy fixed point spectral sequence.

There are well-known T-equivariant homeomorphisms

$$S((i+1)\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{T} * \cdots * \mathbb{T} * \mathbb{T}$$

with (i+1) copies of \mathbb{T} , where * denotes the join of spaces. These homeomorphisms are compatible for varying $i \geq 0$, and $S(\infty \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic as a filtered space to Milnor's infinite join construction from [Mil56], for $G = \mathbb{T}$, which we denote by

$$\mathscr{E}G = G * G * G * \dots$$

The identifications made in the iterated join are included among those made in geometric realization. Hence the structure map $\Delta^i \times G^i \times G \to EG$ factors through a *G*-map

$$q_i: G * \cdots * G * G \longrightarrow F_i EG$$

with (i + 1) copies of G, collapsing degenerate simplices. These are compatible for varying i, yielding a G-map $q: \mathscr{E}G \to EG$. As explained in [Seg68, §3], the Milnor join construction is a special case $\mathscr{E}G \cong EG_{\mathbb{N}}$ of the two-sided bar construction for a topological category $G_{\mathbb{N}}$, and there is a continuous functor $G_{\mathbb{N}} \to G$ inducing the Gmaps q_i and q. It follows that the filtration-preserving diagonal approximation $D_{\mathbb{N}}: EG_{\mathbb{N}} \to EG_{\mathbb{N}} \times EG_{\mathbb{N}}$ constructed in [Seg68, Lem. 5.4] is compatible with the diagonal approximation $D: EG \to EG \times EG$ that we have used in the present memoir. In particular, the \mathbb{T} -map

$$q^* \colon F(E\mathbb{T}_+, X) \longrightarrow F(\mathscr{E}\mathbb{T}_+, X) \cong F(S(\infty\mathbb{C})_+, X)$$

maps our multiplicative sequence $M_{\star}(X)$ to the multiplicative tower used in [**BR05**, §4]. Furthermore, for $G = \mathbb{T}$ the *G*-maps q_i and q are equivalences, so that the two multiplicative towers of orthogonal *G*-spectra are equivalent. Hence they give isomorphic \mathbb{T} -homotopy fixed point spectral sequences, converging to the same multiplicative filtration on the abutment.

A similar discussion applies for the 3-sphere $G = \mathbb{U} = Sp(1)$ acting on the unit spheres in $i\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}^i$, showing that $S(\infty\mathbb{H}) \cong \mathscr{E}\mathbb{U}$ is a perfectly good alternative filtered model for $E\mathbb{U}$.

CHAPTER 6

The G-Tate Spectral Sequence

Given an R-module X in orthogonal G-spectra we can define its G-Tate construction as the genuine fixed points

$$X^{tG} = (\widetilde{EG} \wedge F(EG_+, X))^G,$$

where \widetilde{EG} is the mapping cone of the collapse map $EG_+ \to S^0$. In this chapter we construct an R_* -module spectral sequence

$$\hat{E}^r_{*,*} \Longrightarrow \pi_*(X^{tG})$$

with abutment the G-equivariant homotopy groups of $EG \wedge F(EG_+, X)$, for any compact Lie group G. We do this by letting the filtration E_{\star} induce a filtration \widetilde{E}_{\star} of $R \wedge \widetilde{EG}$ and consider the so-called Hesselholt–Madsen filtration

$$HM_{\star}(X) = (E \wedge_R T(M(X)))_{\star}$$

obtained by forming a convolution product. Under the assumption that $R[G]_*$ is finitely generated and projective over R_* we show that the resulting spectral sequence $\hat{E}^r_{*,*}(X) = E^r_{*,*}(HM_*(X))$ is multiplicative, as a functor of X, with multiplicative abutment. With the same assumptions we also algebraically identify the E^2 -page as

$$\hat{E}^2_{*,*}(X) \cong \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^{-*}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, \pi_*(X)),$$

with the multiplicative structure given by cup product on the right-hand side. See Theorem 6.18. To say something about the convergence of this spectral sequence we compare the Hesselholt–Madsen filtration to another filtration $GM_{\star}(X)$ of $\widetilde{EG} \wedge F(EG_{+}, X)$, dubbed the Greenlees–May filtration. While the multiplicative properties of the Greenlees–May G-Tate spectral sequence are less clear, it is easy to obtain convergence results for the latter spectral sequence. By the comparison we can then also obtain convergence results for the Hesselholt–Madsen G-Tate spectral sequence. See Section 6.6, and in particular Theorem 6.44.

6.1. The filtered G-space EG

As always, let G be any compact Lie group. Let $c: EG_+ \to S^0$ denote the based and G-equivariant collapse map, and define

$$\widetilde{EG} = S^0 \cup C(EG_+)$$

to be its reduced mapping cone, as in [Car84, p. 198] and [GM95, p. 2]. Nonequivariantly, c is an equivalence, so \widetilde{EG} is (non-equivariantly) contractible. For $i \geq 0$ we let

$$F_i EG = S^0 \cup C(F_{i-1}EG_+)$$
be the mapping cone of c restricted to $F_{i-1}EG_+$, where $F_{i-1}EG$ is defined as in Section 5.1. For i < 0, we set $F_i \widetilde{EG} = *$. This defines an exhaustive filtration

(6.1)
$$* = F_{-1}\widetilde{EG} \subset S^0 = F_0\widetilde{EG} \subset \cdots \subset F_{i-1}\widetilde{EG} \subset F_i\widetilde{EG} \subset \cdots \subset \widetilde{EG}$$

of based G-spaces. Each map $F_{i-1}EG \to F_iEG$ is a strong h-cofibration, so this is indeed a filtration, as opposed to simply a sequence. Moreover, there are homeomorphisms

$$\frac{F_i \widetilde{EG}}{F_{i-1} \widetilde{EG}} \cong \Sigma \frac{F_{i-1} EG}{F_{i-2} EG}$$

for $i \geq 1$. Per Theorem 4.17, each pushout-product map

$$F_{i-1}\widetilde{EG} \wedge F_j\widetilde{EG} \cup F_i\widetilde{EG} \wedge F_{j-1}\widetilde{EG} \longrightarrow F_i\widetilde{EG} \wedge F_j\widetilde{EG}$$

is a strong h-cofibration, with cofibre

$$\frac{F_i \widetilde{EG}}{F_{i-1} \widetilde{EG}} \wedge \frac{F_j \widetilde{EG}}{F_{j-1} \widetilde{EG}}$$

REMARK 6.1. When G is finite, $F_i \widetilde{EG}$ gives the *i*-skeleton of a based and nonfree G-CW structure on \widetilde{EG} . When $G = \mathbb{T} = U(1)$, $F_0 \widetilde{EG} = S^0$ is the 0-skeleton, while $F_i \widetilde{EG}$ for $i \ge 1$ is the 2i - 1-and 2i-skeleton of a G-CW structure on \widetilde{EG} . Similarly, when $G = \mathbb{U} = Sp(1)$, $F_i \widetilde{EG}$ gives the 4i - 3-, 4i - 2-, 4i - 1- and 4i-skeleta of a G-CW structure.

REMARK 6.2. For $G = \mathbb{T}$, the *G*-equivalences $q_{i-1}: S(i\mathbb{C}) \to F_{i-1}EG$ from Section 5.4 induce *G*-equivalences $\tilde{q}_i: S^{i\mathbb{C}} \to F_i \widetilde{EG}$, where we identify the onepoint compactification $S^{i\mathbb{C}}$ with the mapping cone $S^0 \cup C(S(i\mathbb{C})_+)$. Hence we have a *G*-equivalence from the exhaustive filtration

$$* \to S^0 \to \dots \to S^{(i-1)\mathbb{C}} \to S^{i\mathbb{C}} \to \dots \to S^{\infty\mathbb{C}}$$

to (6.1), showing that we may use $S^{\infty \mathbb{C}}$ as a filtered replacement for \widetilde{EG} , if desired.

We give $EG \wedge EG$ the (convolved) smash product filtration, with

$$F_k(\widetilde{EG} \wedge \widetilde{EG}) = \bigcup_{i+j=k} F_i\widetilde{EG} \wedge F_j\widetilde{EG}$$

The identifications $S^0 \wedge \widetilde{EG} \cong \widetilde{EG} \cong \widetilde{EG} \wedge S^0$ agree on $S^0 \wedge S^0 \cong S^0$, hence combine to a fold map

$$\nabla \colon \widetilde{EG} \cup_{S^0} \widetilde{EG} \cong \widetilde{EG} \wedge S^0 \cup S^0 \wedge \widetilde{EG} \longrightarrow \widetilde{EG}$$

We seek a *G*-map $N: \widetilde{EG} \wedge \widetilde{EG} \to \widetilde{EG}$ extending ∇ , so that the diagram



commutes. For these pairings to induce pairing of spectral sequences, we must arrange that N is filtration-preserving. We do not know how to give a direct definition of such an extension $N: \widetilde{EG} \wedge \widetilde{EG} \rightarrow \widetilde{EG}$, in analogy with the explicit diagonal approximation $D: EG \rightarrow EG \times EG$. Instead we will use obstruction

theory to show that such a filtration-preserving extension N of ∇ exists after base change to our ground ring spectrum R, assuming that $R[G]_*$ is projective over R_* . See Proposition 6.9.

DEFINITION 6.3. Let

 $\widetilde{E} = R \wedge \widetilde{EG}$ and $\widetilde{E}_i = R \wedge F_i \widetilde{EG}$.

Each map $\widetilde{E}_{i-1} \to \widetilde{E}_i$ is a strong *h*-cofibration, so that \widetilde{E}_{\star} is a filtration

$$\ldots \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_{i-1} \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_i \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_{i+1} \longrightarrow \ldots$$

of *R*-modules in orthogonal *G*-spectra. Here $\widetilde{E}_i = *$ for i < 0, $\widetilde{E}_0 = R$, and $\widetilde{E}_{\infty} = \text{Tel}(\widetilde{E}_*) \simeq_G \widetilde{E}$.

Since \widetilde{EG} is non-equivariantly contractible, $\pi_*(\widetilde{E}) = 0$.

Applying non-equivariant homotopy we obtain the following unrolled exact couple

with ∂ of total degree -1. Recall the $R[G]_*$ -module resolution $(P_{*,*}, \partial)$ of R_* , introduced in Definition 5.8.

DEFINITION 6.4. Let $(\widetilde{P}_{*,*}, \widetilde{\partial})$ be the mapping cone of the augmentation

$$\epsilon \colon P_{*,*} \to R_{*,*}$$

in the sense of Definition 2.13.

Explicitly, we have

$$\widetilde{P}_{i,*} \cong \begin{cases} R_* & \text{for } i = 0\\ P_{i-1,*} & \text{for } i \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

with boundary $\tilde{\partial} \colon \widetilde{P}_{i,*} \to \widetilde{P}_{i-1,*}$ given as

$$\tilde{\partial}(x) = \begin{cases} \epsilon(x) & \text{for } i = 1\\ -\partial(x) & \text{for } i \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

We note that $\widetilde{P}_{*,*}$ is an exact complex of flat R_* -modules, by our standing assumption that $R[G]_*$ is flat. If, furthermore, $R[G]_*$ is finitely generated projective over R_* , then so is each $\widetilde{P}_{i,*}$.

LEMMA 6.5. If $R[G]_*$ is flat over R_* , then the (E^1, d^1) -page of the non-equivariant homotopy spectral sequence

$$\widetilde{E}^1_{i,*} = \pi_{i+*} (\widetilde{E}_{i-1} \to \widetilde{E}_i)$$

associated to \widetilde{E}_{\star} is isomorphic to $(\widetilde{P}_{\star,\star}, \widetilde{\partial})$. In particular, the spectral sequence collapses at the E^2 -page, where it is given by

$$\widetilde{E}^2 = \widetilde{E}^\infty = 0.$$

PROOF. Note that \tilde{E} is the mapping cone of the collapse map $1 \wedge c \colon E \to R$ and can be viewed as the pushout



Let I_{\star} be the filtration

$$* \longrightarrow \{0,1\} \longrightarrow I \xrightarrow{=} I \xrightarrow{=} I \xrightarrow{=} \cdots$$

of the unit interval I = [0, 1], where $\partial I = \{0, 1\}$ sits in filtration degree 0. Let $L_{\star}(R)$ be the non-negative filtration consisting of copies of R and identity maps between them. We then have a pushout of filtrations

(6.3)
$$E_{\star} \longrightarrow (I \wedge E)_{\star}$$
$$\downarrow_{1 \wedge c} \qquad \downarrow$$
$$L_{\star}(R) \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_{\star}$$

with colimit being the pushout square above. That this is indeed a pushout of filtrations can be checked in each filtration degree separately, noting that

$$(I \wedge E)_k = \partial I \wedge E_k \cup I \wedge E_{k-1} \cong E_k \cup CE_{k-1}$$

It follows as in Lemma 5.9 that we have a commutative square of associated chain complexes

Here R_* is the chain complex consisting of R_* concentrated in homological degree 0, and I_* is the reduced cellular chain complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}\{i_1\} \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbb{Z}\{i_0\} \longrightarrow 0$$

of I, with $\partial_1(i_1) = i_0$. Both i_0 and i_1 have internal degree 0, and lie in homological degree as indicated by their subscript. The chain complex ∂I_* is the subcomplex given by $\mathbb{Z}\{i_0\}$ concentrated in homological degree 0. Since the map

$$P_{*,*} \cong \partial I_* \otimes P_{*,*} \longrightarrow I_* \otimes P_{*,*}$$

is injective, a Mayer–Vietoris argument for the filtration subquotients of (6.3) shows that (6.4) is in fact a pushout of chain complexes. This proves that $\tilde{E}_{*,*}^1$ is indeed the algebraic mapping cone of $\epsilon: P_{*,*} \to R_*$, by the definition of the latter chain complex.

LEMMA 6.6. The (E^1, d^1) -page of the non-equivariant homotopy spectral sequence associated to $(\tilde{E} \wedge_R \tilde{E})_{\star}$ is isomorphic to $(\tilde{P}_{\star,\star} \otimes_{R_{\star}} \tilde{P}_{\star,\star}, \tilde{\partial} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{\partial})$.

PROOF. This is very similar to Lemma 5.11.

LEMMA 6.7. The homomorphism $\pi_*(\widetilde{E}_{i-1}) \to \pi_*(\widetilde{E}_i)$ is zero, for each *i*.

$$\Box$$

PROOF. This follows from the exactness of $(\tilde{E}_{*,*}^1, d^1) \cong (\tilde{P}_{*,*}, \tilde{\partial})$, by an induction on i in the unrolled exact couple (6.2). The claim is clear for $i \leq 0$. Assume by induction that $\alpha \colon \pi_*(\tilde{E}_{i-1}) \to \pi_*(\tilde{E}_i)$ is zero, for some $i \geq 0$. Then $\beta \colon \pi_{i+*}(\tilde{E}_i) \to \tilde{P}_{i,*}$ is injective. Consider any class $x \in \pi_{i+*}(\tilde{E}_i)$. Since $\tilde{\partial}_i(\beta(x)) = \beta \partial \beta(x) = 0$, exactness at $\tilde{P}_{i,*}$ implies that $\beta(x) = \tilde{\partial}_{i+1}(y) = \beta \partial(y)$ for some $y \in \tilde{P}_{i+1,*}$. By injectiveness of β it follows that $x = \partial(y)$. Since x was arbitrary, $\partial \colon \tilde{P}_{i+1,*} \to \pi_{i+*}(\tilde{E}_i)$ is surjective, so $\alpha \colon \pi_*(\tilde{E}_i) \to \pi_*(\tilde{E}_{i+1})$ is zero.

LEMMA 6.8. There always exists an R-module map of orthogonal G-spectra

$$N: \widetilde{E} \wedge_R \widetilde{E} \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}$$

extending $\nabla \colon \widetilde{E} \cup_R \widetilde{E} \to \widetilde{E}$, and any two choices are homotopic.

PROOF. This follows by obstruction theory, since

$$\widetilde{EG} \cup \widetilde{EG} \cong \widetilde{EG} \wedge S^0 \cup S^0 \wedge \widetilde{EG} \subset \widetilde{EG} \wedge \widetilde{EG}$$

can be given the structure of a free relative G-CW complex, and $\pi_*(\widetilde{E}) = 0$.

The above lemma, together with the map $\Delta_+: EG_+ \to EG_+ \wedge EG_+$, makes sure that the Tate construction is multiplicative, in the sense that a *G*-equivariant *R*-module pairing $X \wedge_R Y \to Z$ induces an *R*-module pairing $X^{tG} \wedge_R Y^{tG} \to Z^{tG}$. See Section 6.2. To arrange that the Tate spectral sequence preserves this structure we need to make sure that we can find a filtration-preserving approximation of *N*, in the same way as we could find the filtration-preserving approximation of *D*. The following proposition addresses difficulties raised in Problem 11.8 and Problem 14.8 of [**GM95**].

PROPOSITION 6.9. Suppose that $R[G]_*$ is projective over R_* . Then there exists a filtration-preserving map

$$N\colon (\widetilde{E}\wedge_R \widetilde{E})_\star \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_\star$$

of R-modules in orthogonal G-spectra, extending the fold map

$$\nabla \colon \widetilde{E}_{\star} \cup_{R} \widetilde{E}_{\star} \cong (\widetilde{E}_{\star} \wedge_{R} R) \cup (R \wedge_{R} \widetilde{E}_{\star}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_{\star}.$$

PROOF. We inductively assume that ∇ has been extended to a filtrationpreserving map N_{k-1} : $(\widetilde{E} \wedge_R \widetilde{E})_{k-1} \to \widetilde{E}_{k-1}$, and show that N_{k-1} can be further extended to a filtration-preserving map N_k : $(\widetilde{E} \wedge_R \widetilde{E})_k \to \widetilde{E}_k$. It suffices to extend N_{k-1} over $\widetilde{E}_i \wedge_R \widetilde{E}_j$ for $i, j \ge 1$ with i+j = k. In particular, there is only something to prove for $k \ge 2$. Let us consider the diagram

where the left hand column is a (Hurewicz) cofibre sequence. By the homotopy extension property, in order to find a dashed map $N_{i,j}$ making the diagram commute, it suffices to find an extension up to homotopy of $\alpha \circ N_{k-1}$. Let

$$W = E_{i-1}/E_{i-2} \wedge_R E_{j-1}/E_{j-2} \cong R \wedge G^{\wedge i-1} \wedge G_+ \wedge G^{\wedge j-1} \wedge G_+$$

so that $\Sigma^2 W \cong \widetilde{E}_i / \widetilde{E}_{i-1} \wedge_R \widetilde{E}_j / \widetilde{E}_{j-1}$. There is then a (stably defined) homotopy cofibre sequence

$$\Sigma W \stackrel{\partial}{\longrightarrow} \widetilde{E}_{i-1} \wedge_R \widetilde{E}_j \cup \widetilde{E}_i \wedge_R \widetilde{E}_{j-1} \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_i \wedge_R \widetilde{E}_j \longrightarrow \Sigma^2 W$$

and it suffices to prove that $\alpha \circ N_{k-1} \circ \partial \colon \Sigma W \to \widetilde{E}_k$ is null-homotopic. We confirm this by showing that α induces the trivial homomorphism

$$\alpha_* \colon [\Sigma W, \widetilde{E}_{k-1}]_R^G \longrightarrow [\Sigma W, \widetilde{E}_k]_R^G$$

where $[-, -]_R^G$ denotes homotopy classes of *G*-maps of *R*-modules in orthogonal *G*-spectra. Note that *G* acts diagonally on the two copies of G_+ in *W*, so that there is an untwisting isomorphism $W \cong V \wedge G_+$ where

$$V = R \wedge G^{\wedge i-1} \wedge G^{\wedge j-1} \wedge G_+$$

has trivial G-action. By adjunction we can therefore rewrite the homomorphism above as

$$\alpha_* \colon [\Sigma V, \widetilde{E}_{k-1}]_R \longrightarrow [\Sigma V, \widetilde{E}_k]_R$$

where $[-, -]_R$ denotes homotopy classes of maps of (non-equivariant) *R*-modules. By our assumption that $R[G]_*$ is R_* -projective, it follows that

$$\pi_*(V) \cong \overline{R[G]}_*^{\otimes i-1} \otimes_{R_*} \overline{R[G]}_*^{\otimes j-1} \otimes_{R_*} R[G]_*$$

is R_* -projective. Hence we can rewrite α_* as the homomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R_*}(\Sigma\pi_*(V), \pi_*(\widetilde{E}_{k-1})) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R_*}(\Sigma\pi_*(V), \pi_*(\widetilde{E}_k))$$

given by composition with $\alpha \colon \pi_*(\widetilde{E}_{k-1}) \to \pi_*(\widetilde{E}_k)$. By Lemma 6.7 that homomorphism is zero, which completes the proof.

DEFINITION 6.10. Suppose that $R[G]_*$ is projective over R_* . Let

$$\Phi\colon \widetilde{P}_{*,*}\otimes_{R_*}\widetilde{P}_{*,*}\longrightarrow \widetilde{P}_{*,*}$$

be the $R[G]_*$ -module chain map that corresponds, under the isomorphisms of Lemma 6.5 and Lemma 6.6, to the pairing N^1 of (E^1, d^1) -pages induced by the filtration-preserving map $N: (\widetilde{E} \wedge_R \widetilde{E})_* \to \widetilde{E}_*$ of Proposition 6.9.

LEMMA 6.11. Suppose that $R[G]_*$ is projective over R_* . Then the map

$$\Phi\colon P_{*,*}\otimes_{R_*}P_{*,*}\to P_{*,*}$$

is uniquely characterized, up to $R[G]_*$ -module chain homotopy, by being an $R[G]_*$ module chain map that extends the fold map ∇ .

PROOF. By construction, Φ extends the fold map, and it follows that this map is unique up chain homotopy equivalence by Proposition 2.33.

106

6.2. The G-Tate construction

Let X be an R-module in orthogonal G-spectra.¹ In this section, we discuss the Tate construction and its multiplicative properties.

DEFINITION 6.12. The *G*-Tate construction X^{tG} is the *G*-fixed point spectrum of (a fibrant replacement of) $\widetilde{EG} \wedge F(EG_+, X)$:

$$X^{tG} = \left(\widetilde{EG} \wedge F(EG_+, X)\right)^G$$

Note that the homotopy groups

$$\pi_*(X^{tG}) \cong \pi^G_*(\widetilde{EG} \wedge F(EG_+, X))$$

naturally form an R_* -module, and that we can write

$$\widetilde{EG} \wedge F(EG_+, X) \cong \widetilde{E} \wedge_R F_R(E, X).$$

The inclusion $S^0 \to \widetilde{EG}$ induces a *G*-map

$$F(EG_+, X) \cong S^0 \wedge F(EG_+, X) \longrightarrow \widetilde{EG} \wedge F(EG_+, X)$$

and a map of their corresponding G-fixed points $X^{hG} \longrightarrow X^{tG}$. We can write these as maps of R-modules, using the inclusion $R \to \tilde{E}$, to obtain a G-map

$$F_R(E,X) \cong R \wedge_R F_R(E,X) \longrightarrow \widetilde{E} \wedge_R F_R(E,X)$$

and a canonical map

$$\gamma \colon X^{hG} = F_R(E, X)^G \longrightarrow \left(\widetilde{E} \wedge_R F_R(E, X)\right)^G = X^{tG},$$

inducing a homomorphism $\pi_*(X^{hG}) \to \pi_*(X^{tG})$ of R_* -modules.

The Tate construction interacts well with the multiplicative structure on homotopy fixed points we described in the paragraph following Remark 5.5. Note first that given a pairing $\mu: X \wedge_R Y \to Z$ of *R*-modules in orthogonal *G*-spectra, the *R*-module pairing $X^{hG} \wedge_R Y^{hG} \to Z^{hG}$ extends to *R*-module pairings $X^{tG} \wedge_R Y^{hG} \to Z^{tG}$ and $X^{hG} \wedge_R Y^{tG} \to Z^{tG}$. The first is given by a composite

$$\begin{split} \left(\widetilde{E} \wedge_R F_R(E,X)\right)^G \wedge_R F_R(E,Y)^G &\stackrel{\wedge}{\to} \left(\widetilde{E} \wedge_R F_R(E,X) \wedge_R F_R(E,Y)\right)^G \\ & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \alpha} \left(\widetilde{E} \wedge_R F_R(E \wedge_R E,X \wedge_R Y)\right)^G \\ & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge (1 \wedge \Delta_+)^*} \left(\widetilde{E} \wedge_R F_R(E,X \wedge_R Y)\right)^G \\ & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \mu_*} \left(\widetilde{E} \wedge_R F_R(E,Z)\right)^G. \end{split}$$

The second one is similar, and left to the reader. The two pairings induce R_* -module pairings $\pi_*(X^{tG}) \otimes_{R_*} \pi_*(Y^{hG}) \to \pi_*(Z^{tG})$ and $\pi_*(X^{hG}) \otimes_{R_*} \pi_*(Y^{tG}) \to \pi_*(Z^{tG})$.

¹If X is an orthogonal G-spectrum without R-action, the discussion in this section applies to $R \wedge X$ in place of X.

These pairings are all compatible via the canonical map, meaning that the R-module diagram



and the induced R_* -module diagram both commute. Per Lemma 6.8 we can choose a unique (up to homotopy) extension $N: \widetilde{E} \wedge_R \widetilde{E} \to \widetilde{E}$ of the fold map $\nabla: \widetilde{E} \cup_R \widetilde{E} \to \widetilde{E}$, in the category of R-modules in orthogonal G-spectra. We can then promote the two R-module pairings to an R-module pairing $X^{tG} \wedge_R Y^{tG} \to Z^{tG}$, given by the composite

$$\begin{split} \left(\widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, X)\right)^{G} &\wedge_{R} \left(\widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, Y)\right)^{G} \\ &\stackrel{\wedge}{\to} \left(\widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, X) \wedge_{R} \widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, Y)\right)^{G} \\ &\stackrel{1\wedge\tau\wedge1}{\longrightarrow} \left(\widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} \widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, X) \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, Y)\right)^{G} \\ &\stackrel{1\wedge1\wedge\alpha}{\longrightarrow} \left(\widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} \widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E \wedge_{R} E, X \wedge_{R} Y)\right)^{G} \\ &\stackrel{N\wedge(1\wedge\Delta_{+})^{*}}{\longrightarrow} \left(\widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, X \wedge_{R} Y)\right)^{G} \\ &\stackrel{1\wedge\mu_{*}}{\longrightarrow} \left(\widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, Z)\right)^{G}. \end{split}$$

These pairings are also compatible via the canonical map, meaning that the R-module diagram



and the induced R_* -module diagram both commute. Taken together, these diagrams show that

$$\gamma \colon X^{hG} \to X^{tG} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_* \colon \pi_*(X^{hG}) \to \pi_*(X^{tG})$$

are multiplicative. We would now like to access $\pi_*(X^{tG})$ and the pairings above through filtrations and their associated spectral sequences.

6.3. The Hesselholt–Madsen filtration

We can now generalize the filtration of X^{tG} from [**HM03**, §4.3] to the case of compact Lie groups G.

DEFINITION 6.13. Let

$$HM_{\star}(X) = (\widetilde{E} \wedge_R T(M(X)))_{\star}$$

be the filtration

$$\cdots \to HM_{k-1}(X) \to HM_k(X) \to HM_{k+1}(X) \to \dots$$

of *R*-modules in orthogonal *G*-spectra given by the Day convolution product of the filtrations \widetilde{E}_{\star} and $T_{\star}(M(X))$.

Recall: we introduced the filtration \tilde{E}_{\star} in Definition 6.3, the sequence $M_{\star}(X)$ in Section 5.2, and its telescopic approximation $T_{\star}(M(X))$ in Section 4.3. The convolution product of \tilde{E}_{\star} and $T_{\star}(M(X))$ was defined in Section 4.7, and is a filtration by Proposition 4.31. We can realize

$$HM_k(X) = \bigcup_{i+j=k} \widetilde{E}_i \wedge_R T_j(M(X))$$

as a subspectrum of $\widetilde{E} \wedge_R \operatorname{Tel}(M_{\star}(X))$. The structure maps $HM_{k-1}(X) \to HM_k(X)$ are then inclusions of subspectra. These are (strong) *h*-cofibrations, so the canonical map

$$\operatorname{Tel}(HM_{\star}(X)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{k} HM_{k}(X) = \widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} \operatorname{Tel}(M_{\star}(X))$$

is an equivalence. Since $M_j(X) = F_R(E, X)$ for all $j \ge 0$ there is a deformation retraction

$$\operatorname{Tel}(M_{\star}(X)) \xrightarrow{\simeq_G} F_R(E,X)$$

and a further equivalence

$$\widetilde{E} \wedge_R \operatorname{Tel}(M_{\star}(X)) \xrightarrow{\simeq_G} \widetilde{E} \wedge_R F_R(E, X) \cong \widetilde{EG} \wedge F(EG_+, X).$$

DEFINITION 6.14. Let X be an R-module in orthogonal G-spectra. We define the G-Tate spectral sequence for X to be the R_* -module spectral sequence $(\hat{E}^r(X), d^r)$ associated to the filtration $HM_*(X)$ with

$$E^r(X) = E^r(HM_{\star}(X))$$

for each $r \geq 1$.

The abutment of the G-Tate spectral sequence for X is the colimit

$$A_{\infty}(HM_{\star}(X)) \cong \pi^{G}_{*} \operatorname{Tel}(HM_{\star}(X)) \cong \pi^{G}_{*}(E \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, X)) \cong \pi_{*}(X^{tG}),$$

filtered by the image submodules

$$F_k\pi_*(X^{tG}) = \operatorname{im}\left(\pi^G_*(HM_k(X)) \to \pi^G_*\operatorname{Tel}(HM_\star(X)) \cong \pi_*(X^{tG})\right).$$

REMARK 6.15. In general, we do not claim that the *G*-Tate spectral sequence converges to the stated abutment, neither in the conditional nor in the weak sense. As we recalled in Section 4.2, conditional convergence to the colimit holds if holim_k $HM_k(X) \simeq_G *$. The latter condition would follow from an interchange of homotopy colimits and homotopy limits. More precisely, for each $a \ge 0$ and integer k, consider the subspectrum

$$S_{a,k} = \bigcup_{\substack{i+j=k\\i\leq a}} \tilde{E}_i \wedge_R T_j(M(X))$$

of $\widetilde{E} \wedge_R \operatorname{Tel}(M_{\star}(X))$. Then hocolim_a $S_{a,k} \simeq_G HM_k(X)$, and the sufficient condition holim_k $HM_k(X) \simeq_G *$ for conditional convergence is equivalent to

(6.5)
$$\operatorname{holim}_k \operatorname{hocolim}_a S_{a,k} \simeq_G *.$$

On the other hand, $\operatorname{holim}_j E_i \wedge_R T_j(M(X)) \simeq_G *$ for each i, since $F_i E \overline{G}$ is a finite G-CW space. It follows by induction that $\operatorname{holim}_k S_{a,k} \simeq_G *$ for each finite a, which implies that

(6.6)
$$\operatorname{hocolim}_{a} \operatorname{holim}_{k} S_{a,k} \simeq_{G} *.$$

Without further hypotheses we do not see how to deduce (6.5) from (6.6). (However, for $G = \mathbb{T}$ see [**BM17**, Lemma 3.16].)

6.4. Algebraic description of \hat{E}^1 and \hat{E}^2

Under the assumption that $R[G]_*$ is finitely generated projective over R_* , we can algebraically describe the E^{1-} and E^2 -pages of the G-Tate spectral sequence, in the same way as we did for the G-homotopy fixed point spectral sequence in Section 5.3.

PROPOSITION 6.16. Suppose that $R[G]_*$ is R_* -projective. There is then a natural isomorphism of R_* -module chain complexes

$$E^{1}_{*,*}(HM_{\star}(X)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_{*}}(R_{*}, P_{*,*} \otimes_{R_{*}} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{*}}(P_{*,*}, \pi_{*}(X))).$$

In the notation of Definition 2.14 and Definition 6.14, we have

~ '

 $\hat{E}^{1}_{*,*}(X) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_{*}}(R_{*}, \operatorname{hm}_{*}(\pi_{*}(X))),$

where the d^1 -differential on the left hand side corresponds to Hom $(1, \partial_{hm})$ on the right hand side.

PROOF. We first check that the natural restriction homomorphism

$$(6.7) \qquad \omega \colon E^1_{*,*}(HM_{\star}(X)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, E^1_{*,*}((E \wedge_R T(M(X)))_{\star}))$$

from Lemma 3.5 is an isomorphism of R_* -module chain complexes, where $HM_*(X)$ at the left hand side is treated as an R-module filtration in orthogonal G-spectra, while $(\tilde{E} \wedge_R T(M(X)))_*$ at the right hand side refers to the underlying R-module filtration in non-equivariant orthogonal spectra, with the residual R[G]-module action. We first note that we have

$$E_{k,*}^{1}(HM_{\star}(X)) = \pi_{k+*}^{G}(HM_{k-1}(X) \to HM_{k}(X))$$
$$\cong \pi_{k+*}^{G}(HM_{k}(X)/HM_{k-1}(X))$$

while

$$E^{1}_{k,*}((\tilde{E} \wedge_{R} T(M(X)))_{*}) = \pi_{k+*}(HM_{k-1}(X) \to HM_{k}(X))$$

$$\cong \pi_{k+*}(HM_{k}(X)/HM_{k-1}(X)).$$

Secondly, we note that

$$HM_{k}(X)/HM_{k-1}(X) \cong \bigvee_{i+j=k} \widetilde{E}_{i}/\widetilde{E}_{i-1} \wedge_{R} T_{j}(M(X))/T_{j-1}(M(X))$$
$$\cong \bigvee_{i+j=k} \widetilde{E}_{i}/\widetilde{E}_{i-1} \wedge_{R} (M_{j}(X) \cup CM_{j-1}(X))$$
$$\simeq_{G} \bigvee_{i+j=k} \widetilde{E}_{i}/\widetilde{E}_{i-1} \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E_{-j}/E_{-j-1},X),$$

which is moreover G-equivalent to $F(G_+, X')$ for

$$X' = \bigvee_{i+j=k} \widetilde{E}_i / \widetilde{E}_{i-1} \wedge \Sigma^j F(G^{\wedge -j}, X).$$

This uses that R[G] is dualisable (see Definition 6.28). Proposition 3.6 therefore implies that the natural restriction homomorphism (6.7) is an isomorphism in every homological degree. We check that it is also an isomorphism of chain complexes. The d^1 -differential in the spectral sequence appearing at the left hand side corresponds to the composition

$$\pi^G_{k+*}(HM_k(X)/HM_{k-1}(X)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi^G_{k-1+*}(HM_{k-1}(X)) \longrightarrow \pi^G_{k-1+*}(HM_{k-1}(X)/HM_{k-2}(X)),$$

which by the naturality of ω corresponds to $\text{Hom}(1, d_{k,*}^1)$, where $d_{k,*}^1$ is the d^1 -differential in the spectral sequence appearing at the right hand side. This is given by the composite

$$\pi_{k+*}(HM_k(X)/HM_{k-1}(X)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{k-1+*}(HM_{k-1}(X)) \longrightarrow \pi_{k-1+*}(HM_{k-1}(X)/HM_{k-2}(X)).$$

Hence (6.7) is indeed an isomorphism of chain complexes.

We now want to identify $E^1_{*,*}((\tilde{E} \wedge_R T(M(X)))_*)$ with the complex $\operatorname{hm}_*(\pi_*(X))$. For this aim, we can use the canonical pairing

$$\iota \colon (\widetilde{E}_{\star}, T_{\star}(M(X))) \longrightarrow (\widetilde{E} \wedge_R T(M(X)))_{\star}$$

of R-module filtrations to obtain an $R[G]_*$ -module chain map of the associated E^1 pages

$$\iota^1 \colon E^1(\widetilde{E}_{\star}) \otimes_{R_{\star}} E^1(T_{\star}(M(X))) \longrightarrow E^1((\widetilde{E} \wedge_R T(M(X)))_{\star})$$

as in Theorem 4.27, but in the non-equivariant setting. This map is the direct sum of the maps

$$\pi_{i+*}(\widetilde{E}_i/\widetilde{E}_{i-1}) \otimes_{R_*} \pi_{j+*}(T_j(M(X))/T_{j-1}(M(X)))$$
$$\xrightarrow{\cdot} \pi_{k+*}(\widetilde{E}_i/\widetilde{E}_{i-1} \wedge_R T_j(M(X))/T_{j-1}(M(X)))$$

for i + j = k. Note that each one of these maps is an isomorphism, because $\widetilde{P}_{i,*} = \pi_{i+*}(\widetilde{E}_i/\widetilde{E}_{i-1})$ is projective, hence flat, over R_* . We conclude that ι^1 is an isomorphism of $R[G]_*$ -chain complexes, and thus induces an isomorphism

(6.8) Hom
$$(1,\iota^1)$$
: Hom $_{R[G]_*}(R_*, E^1(\widetilde{E}_\star) \otimes_{R_*} E^1(T_\star(M(X))))$
 $\xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, E^1((\widetilde{E} \wedge_R T(M(X)))_\star))$

of R_* -module complexes.

- - -

The equivalence $\epsilon \colon T_{\star}(M(X)) \to M_{\star}(X)$ induces an isomorphism

$$\epsilon \colon E^1(T_{\star}(M(X))) \xrightarrow{\cong} E^1(M_{\star}(X))$$

of $R[G]_*$ -module chain complexes, which in turn induces an isomorphism

(6.9) Hom
$$(1, 1 \otimes \epsilon)$$
: Hom $_{R[G]_*}(R_*, E^1(\widetilde{E}_*) \otimes_{R_*} E^1(T_*(M(X))))$
 $\xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, E^1(\widetilde{E}_*) \otimes_{R_*} E^1(M_*(X))),$

of R_* -module chain complexes. Finally, we have

$$E_{j,*}^1(M_{\star}(X)) \cong \pi_{j+*}(F_R(E_{-j}/E_{-j-1},X)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R_*}(P_{-j,*},\pi_*(X))$$

as $R[G]_*$ -modules, because $\pi_{-j+*}(E_{-j}/E_{-j-1}) \cong P_{-j,*}$ is R_* -projective. The d^1 differentials correspond to $\tilde{\partial}$ and Hom $(\partial, 1)$ by the argument in the proof of Proposition 5.13. Hence we have an isomorphism

(6.10)
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_{*}}(R_{*}, E^{1}_{*,*}(\widetilde{E}_{\star}) \otimes_{R_{*}} E^{1}_{*,*}(M_{\star}(X)))$$

 $\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_{*}}(R_{*}, \widetilde{P}_{*,*} \otimes_{R_{*}} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{*}}(P_{*,*}, \pi_{*}(X)))$

of R_* -module complexes.

When strung together, the numbered isomorphisms (6.7) through (6.10) establish the asserted identification of the *G*-Tate spectral sequence (E^1, d^1) -page for the orthogonal *G*-spectrum *R*-module *X* with the Tate complex for the $R[G]_*$ module $\pi_*(X)$.

THEOREM 6.17. Let X be an R-module in orthogonal G-spectra, and suppose that $R[G]_*$ is R_* -projective. Then there is a natural R_* -module isomorphism

$$\hat{E}_{i,*}^2(X) = E_{i,*}^2(HM_{\star}(X)) \cong \widehat{\text{Ext}}_{R[G]_*}^{-i}(R_*, \pi_*(X)).$$

for each integer i.

PROOF. This is immediate by passage to homology from Proposition 6.16. Here we are using the definition of Hopf algebra Tate cohomology given in Definition 2.15. \Box

We now go on to discuss the multiplicative structure of the Tate spectral sequence. Let $\mu: X \wedge_R Y \to Z$ be a pairing of *R*-modules in orthogonal *G*-spectra. As discussed in the paragraph before Theorem 5.6, the diagonal approximation *D* and μ combine to define a pairing $\bar{\mu}: (M_{\star}(X), M_{\star}(Y)) \to M_{\star}(Z)$ of sequences of *R*modules in orthogonal *G*-spectra. By Lemma 4.21 we have an induced pairing

$$T(\bar{\mu}): (T_{\star}(M(X)), T_{\star}(M(Y))) \longrightarrow T_{\star}(M(Z))$$

of filtrations. By Proposition 6.9 there is also a pairing of filtrations

$$N\colon (\widetilde{E}_{\star}, \widetilde{E}_{\star}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_{\star}$$

which extends the fold map. Hence (\tilde{E}_{\star}, N) is a multiplicative *R*-module filtration in orthogonal *G*-spectra. We can now form the induced pairing of convolution filtrations

$$\theta = N \wedge T(\bar{\mu}) \colon (HM_{\star}(X), HM_{\star}(Y)) \longrightarrow HM_{\star}(Z).$$

This has components

$$\theta_{i,j} \colon HM_i(X) \wedge_R HM_j(Y) \longrightarrow HM_{i+j}(Z)$$

given by the union over $i_1 + i_2 = i$ and $j_1 + j_2 = j$ of the composite maps

$$\widetilde{E}_{i_1} \wedge_R T_{i_2}(M(X)) \wedge_R \widetilde{E}_{j_1} \wedge_R T_{j_2}(M(Y))$$

$$\xrightarrow{1 \wedge \tau \wedge 1} \widetilde{E}_{i_1} \wedge_R \widetilde{E}_{j_1} \wedge_R T_{i_2}(M(X)) \wedge_R T_{j_2}(M(Y))$$

$$\xrightarrow{N_{i_1,j_1} \wedge T(\bar{\mu})_{i_2,j_2}} \widetilde{E}_{i_1+j_1} \wedge_R T_{i_2+j_2}(M(Z))$$

$$\xrightarrow{\iota_{i_1+j_1,i_2+j_2}} HM_{i+j}(Z).$$

Viewing $HM_i(X)$ as a subspectrum of $E \wedge_R \operatorname{Tel}(M_*(X))$ (and similarly for Y and Z in place of X) the maps $\theta_{i,j}$ are compatible with the composite map

$$\widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, X) \wedge_{R} \widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, Y) \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \tau \wedge 1} \widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} \widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, X) \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, Y)
\xrightarrow{1 \wedge \alpha} \widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} \widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E \wedge_{R} E, X \wedge_{R} Y)
\xrightarrow{N \wedge 1} \widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E \wedge_{R} E, X \wedge_{R} Y)
\xrightarrow{(1 \wedge D_{+})^{*}} \widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, X \wedge_{R} Y)
\xrightarrow{1 \wedge \mu_{*}} \widetilde{E} \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, Z).$$

This is G-homotopic to the corresponding map with Δ in place of D, which defines the product

$$\theta_* \colon \pi_*(X^{tG}) \otimes_{R_*} \pi_*(Y^{tG}) \to \pi_*(Z^{tG})$$

that we introduced in Section 6.2. Hence this product is filtration-preserving, taking $F_i \pi_*(X^{tG}) \otimes_{R_*} F_j \pi_*(Y^{tG})$ to $F_{i+j} \pi_*(Z^{tG})$ for all *i* and *j*. We write $\bar{\theta}_*$ for the induced pairing of filtration subquotients.

THEOREM 6.18. Let $\mu: X \wedge_R Y \to Z$ be a pairing of *R*-modules in orthogonal *G*-spectra, and assume that $R[G]_*$ is projective over R_* . The pairing

$$\theta = N \wedge T(\bar{\mu}) \colon (HM_{\star}(X), HM_{\star}(Y)) \to HM_{\star}(Z)$$

of filtrations induces a pairing of G-Tate spectral sequences

$$\theta \colon \hat{E}^*(X) \otimes_{R_*} \hat{E}^*(Y) \longrightarrow \hat{E}^*(Z)$$

in the sense of Definition 4.9. Moreover, the induced pairing θ^{∞} of E^{∞} -pages is compatible with the pairing $\overline{\theta}_*$ of filtration subquotients, in the sense of Proposition 4.12.

PROOF. This is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.27.

COROLLARY 6.19. If $(X, \mu: X \wedge_R X \to X)$ is a multiplicative *R*-module in orthogonal *G*-spectra, then $(HM_*(X), N \wedge T(\bar{\mu}))$ is a multiplicative filtration, and the *G*-Tate spectral sequence

$$(E^r(X), d^r) = (E^r(HM_{\star}(X)), d^r)$$

is a multiplicative spectral sequence with multiplicative abutment $\pi_*(X^{tG})$.

PROOF. This follows from Corollary 4.28.

PROPOSITION 6.20. Let $\mu: X \wedge_R Y \to Z$ be a pairing of *R*-modules in orthogonal *G*-spectra and assume that $R[G]_*$ is R_* -projective. Under the isomorphism of Proposition 6.16, the pairing

$$\theta^1 \colon \hat{E}^1(X) \otimes_{R_*} \hat{E}^1(Y) \longrightarrow \hat{E}^1(Z)$$

corresponds to the pairing covariantly induced by $\Phi: \widetilde{P}_{*,*} \otimes_{R_*} \widetilde{P}_{*,*} \longrightarrow \widetilde{P}_{*,*}$ and contravariantly induced by $\Psi: P_{*,*} \longrightarrow P_{*,*} \otimes_{R_*} P_{*,*}$, as in Section 2.5.

PROOF. For typographical reasons we will use the abbreviation

$$M^{R[G]_*} = \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, M)$$

in what follows, for various $R[G]_*$ -modules M. In the same way as in the proof of Proposition 6.16, the notation $E^1(HM_*(X))$ will refer to the E^1 -page of the

associated spectral sequence on equivariant homotopy groups, while $E^1((\widetilde{E} \wedge_R T(M(X)))_*)$ will refer to the E^1 -page of the associated non-equivariant spectral sequence.

We first note some results regarding multiplicative compatibility. Firstly, the natural homomorphism ω is monoidal by Lemma 3.7, so the diagram

commutes. Secondly, by a slight generalization of Lemma 4.30, the pairing

$$\iota^1 \colon E^1(\widetilde{E}_{\star}) \otimes_{R_{\star}} E^1(T_{\star}(M(X))) \longrightarrow E^1((\widetilde{E} \wedge_R T(M(X)))_{\star})$$

and its variants for Y and Z in place of X are multiplicatively compatible in the sense that the diagram

commutes. Note that, by Definition 6.10, the map

$$N^1 \colon E^1(\widetilde{E}_\star) \otimes_{R_*} E^1(\widetilde{E}_\star) \longrightarrow E^1(\widetilde{E}_\star)$$

corresponds to $\Phi: \widetilde{P}_{*,*} \otimes_{R_*} \widetilde{P}_{*,*} \to \widetilde{P}_{*,*}$ under the isomorphism $E^1_{*,*}(\widetilde{E}_{\star}) \cong \widetilde{P}_{*,*}$. As discussed in the proofs of Proposition 4.25, Theorem 5.6 and (the non-equivariant version of) Proposition 5.13,

$$T(\bar{\mu})^1 \colon E^1(T_{\star}(M(X))) \otimes_{R_{\star}} E^1(T_{\star}(M(Y))) \longrightarrow E^1(T_{\star}(M(Z)))$$

corresponds to the composite homomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R_*}(P_{*,*}, \pi_*(X)) \otimes_{R_*} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_*}(P_{*,*}, \pi_*(Y)) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_*}(P_{*,*} \otimes_{R_*} P_{*,*}, \pi_*(X) \otimes_{R_*} \pi_*(Y)) \xrightarrow{\Psi^*} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_*}(P_{*,*}, \pi_*(X) \otimes_{R_*} \pi_*(Y)) \xrightarrow{\mu_*} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_*}(P_{*,*}, \pi_*(Z))$$

under the isomorphisms

$$E^{1}(T_{\star}(M(X))) \cong E^{1}(M_{\star}(X)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{\star}}(P_{*,*}, \pi_{*}(X))$$

and their variants with Y and Z in place of X.

Combining all of these results, we have shown that θ^1 corresponds to the composite

$$\operatorname{hm}(\pi_{*}(X))^{R[G]_{*}} \otimes_{R_{*}} \operatorname{hm}(\pi_{*}(Y))^{R[G]_{*}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} (\operatorname{hm}_{*}(\pi_{*}(X)) \otimes_{R_{*}} \operatorname{hm}(\pi_{*}(Y)))^{R[G]_{*}}$$

$$\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \tau \otimes 1} (\widetilde{P}_{*,*} \otimes_{R_{*}} \widetilde{P}_{*,*} \otimes_{R_{*}} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{*}}(P_{*,*},\pi_{*}(X)) \otimes_{R_{*}} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{*}}(P_{*,*},\pi_{*}(Y)))^{R[G]_{*}}$$

$$\xrightarrow{1 \otimes 1 \otimes \alpha} (\widetilde{P}_{*,*} \otimes_{R_{*}} \widetilde{P}_{*,*} \otimes_{R_{*}} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{*}}(P_{*,*} \otimes_{R_{*}} P_{*,*},\pi_{*}(X) \otimes_{R_{*}} \pi_{*}(Y)))^{R[G]_{*}}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\Phi \otimes 1} (\widetilde{P}_{*,*} \otimes_{R_{*}} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{*}}(P_{*,*} \otimes_{R_{*}} P_{*,*},\pi_{*}(X) \otimes_{R_{*}} \pi_{*}(Y)))^{R[G]_{*}}$$

$$\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \Psi^{*}} \operatorname{hm}_{*}(\pi_{*}(X) \otimes_{R_{*}} \pi_{*}(Y))^{R[G]_{*}}$$

$$\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mu_{*}} \operatorname{hm}_{*}(\pi_{*}(Z))^{R[G]_{*}},$$

where we have abbreviated

$$hm_*(\pi_*(X)) = P_{*,*} \otimes_{R_*} Hom_{R_*}(P_{*,*}, \pi_*(X)).$$

Note that this is the pairing that induces the cup product, as in Section 2.5. \Box

THEOREM 6.21. Let $\mu: X \wedge_R Y \to Z$ be a pairing of *R*-modules in orthogonal *G*-spectra, and assume that $R[G]_*$ is R_* -projective. Then the pairing

$$\theta^2 \colon E^2_{i,*}(HM_{\star}(X)) \otimes_{R_*} E^2_{j,*}(HM_{\star}(Y)) \longrightarrow E^2_{i+j,*}(HM_{\star}(Z))$$

of G-Tate spectral sequence E^2 -pages corresponds, under the isomorphism of Theorem 6.17, to the cup product

$$: \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{R[G]_*}^{-i,*}(R_*,\pi_*(X)) \otimes_{R_*} \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{R[G]_*}^{-j,*}(R_*,\pi_*(Y)) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{R[G]_*}^{-i-j,*}(R_*,\pi_*(Z))$$

associated to $\mu_*:\pi_*(X) \otimes_{R_*} \pi_*(Y) \to \pi_*(Z).$

PROOF. This is immediate by passage to homology from Proposition 6.20. See Section 2.5 for the definition of the cup product in Hopf algebra Tate cohomology. \Box

COROLLARY 6.22. If (X, μ) is a multiplicative *R*-module in orthogonal *G*-spectra, then the product in $\hat{E}^2(X) = E^2(HM_*(X))$ corresponds to the cup product in $\widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^*_{R[G]_*}(R_*, \pi_*(X))$ that is associated to the product μ_* in $\pi_*(X)$.

Note independence of the particular choices of maps D and N, since the resulting chain maps Ψ and Φ are unique up to homotopy, per Proposition 2.31 and Proposition 2.33.

6.5. The Greenlees–May filtration

Greenlees [**Gre87**, §1] spliced the filtration $F_{\star}\widetilde{EG}$ with its Spanier–Whitehead dual to obtain a sequence of G-spectra

$$\cdots \longrightarrow D(F_2\widetilde{EG}) \longrightarrow D(F_1\widetilde{EG}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{S} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{\infty}F_1\widetilde{EG} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{\infty}F_2\widetilde{EG} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

with mapping telescope equivalent to \widetilde{EG} . The induced sequence

$$\cdots \to D(F_1 E\overline{G}) \land F(EG_+, X) \to \Sigma^{\infty} F(EG_+, X) \to F_1 E\overline{G} \land F(EG_+, X) \to \cdots$$

was used in [**GM95**, (9.5), Thm. 10.3] to define a spectral sequence with abutment being the homotopy groups of the *G*-Tate construction on *X*. In this section, we will define a spliced filtration $GM_{\star}(X)$ with a map to the Hesselholt–Madsen filtration $HM_{\star}(X)$, and show that the induced map of *G*-homotopy spectral sequences

$$\check{E}^r(X) = E^r(GM_{\star}(X)) \longrightarrow E^r(HM_{\star}(X)) = \check{E}^r(X)$$

is an isomorphism for $r \geq 2$. Thereafter we show that $GM_{\star}(X)$ is equivalent to the spliced sequence of Greenlees and May, at least for finite groups G. For other compact Lie groups the sequences will differ in the same way that our filtration $F_{\star}\widetilde{EG}$ differs from the *G*-CW skeletal filtration. See Remark 6.1.

DEFINITION 6.23. Recall the filtration E_{\star} from Definition 6.3 and let $GM_{\star}(X)$ be the filtration of orthogonal G-spectra defined as

$$GM_k(X) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{E}_k \wedge_R T_0(M(X)) & \text{for } k \ge 0, \\ \widetilde{E}_0 \wedge_R T_k(M(X)) & \text{for } k \le 0. \end{cases}$$

The structure maps $GM_{k-1}(X) \to GM_k(X)$ for $k \ge 1$ are induced by the maps $\widetilde{E}_{k-1} \to \widetilde{E}_k$ in the filtration \widetilde{E}_{\star} , while the maps for $k \le 0$ are those of $T_{\star}(M(X))$. We refer to the filtration $GM_{\star}(X)$ as the *Greenlees-May filtration*.

NOTATION 6.24. Let

$$\check{E}^r(X) = E^r(GM_\star(X))$$

denote the G-homotopy spectral sequence associated to the filtration $GM_{\star}(X)$.

We now discuss the map of filtrations between the Greenlees–May filtration and the Hesselholt–Madsen filtration.

LEMMA 6.25. The inclusions $\widetilde{E}_k \wedge_R T_0(M(X)) \to (\widetilde{E} \wedge_R T(M(X)))_k$ for $k \ge 0$ and $\widetilde{E}_0 \wedge_R T_k(M(X)) \to (\widetilde{E} \wedge_R T(M(X)))_k$ for $k \le 0$ define a map of filtrations

$$\alpha \colon GM_{\star}(X) \longrightarrow HM_{\star}(X)$$

of R-modules in orthogonal G-spectra. The induced maps of mapping telescopes and colimits

are all equivalences.

PROOF. Recall from Section 6.3 that

$$HM_k(X) = \bigcup_{i+j=k} \widetilde{E}_i \wedge_R T_j(M(X))$$

as a subspectrum of $\widetilde{E} \wedge_R \operatorname{Tel}(M(X))$. The existence of the filtered map α is then clear. The vertical maps from mapping telescopes to colimits are equivalences, since $GM_{\star}(X)$ and $HM_{\star}(X)$ are both filtrations. The lower horizontal map is also an equivalence, since the sequence $M_{\star}(X)$ is constant for $\star \geq 0$. \square

As a consequence of the above lemma, there is a map

$$\alpha \colon \dot{E}^*(X) \to \ddot{E}^*(X)$$

of R_* -module spectral sequences.

REMARK 6.26. Recall from Proposition 6.9 that, under the assumption that the Hopf algebra $R[G]_*$ is R_* -projective, we have a filtration-preserving pairing $N: (\widetilde{E}_{\star}, \widetilde{E}_{\star}) \to \widetilde{E}_{\star}$. However, when (X, μ) is multiplicative, the induced pairing

$$N \wedge T(\bar{\mu}) \colon (HM_{\star}(X), HM_{\star}(X)) \longrightarrow HM_{\star}(X)$$

does usually not restrict to a multiplication on $GM_{\star}(X)$. For instance, $GM_{a}(X) \wedge_{R}$ $GM_{-b}(X)$ with a > 0 and b > 0 maps to $HM_a(X) \wedge_R HM_{-b}(X)$ and $\tilde{E}_a \wedge_R$ $T_{-b}(M(X))$ in $HM_{a-b}(X)$, which is hardly ever in $GM_{a-b}(X)$. Hence $GM_{\star}(X)$ is not a multiplicative filtration, and $\check{E}^r(X)$ is not evidently a multiplicative spectral sequence. Nonetheless, we will show that $E^{r}(X)$ is isomorphic to the G-Tate spectral sequence $\hat{E}^r(X)$ for r > 2, which we showed to be multiplicative in Theorem 6.18. This will then show that $(\check{E}^r(X), d^r)$ is also multiplicative, at least for $r \geq 2.$

Thinking only about the additive properties of the spectral sequence $\check{E}^r(X)$, we can safely replace the filtration $GM_{\star}(X)$ with a simpler, but equivalent, sequence.

LEMMA 6.27. There is an equivalence from $GM_{\star}(X)$ to the sequence $GM'_{\star}(X)$ with

$$GM'_{k}(X) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{E}_{k} \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, X) & \text{for } k \ge 0, \\ F_{R}(E/E_{-k-1}, X) & \text{for } k \le 0. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. The equivalences $\epsilon: T_k(M(X)) \to M_k(X)$ induce the following commutative diagram.

$$\dots \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_0 \wedge_R T_{-1}(M(X)) \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_0 \wedge_R T_0(M(X)) \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_1 \wedge_R T_0(M(X)) \longrightarrow \dots$$

$$\epsilon \downarrow \simeq \qquad \epsilon \downarrow \simeq \qquad \epsilon \downarrow \simeq \qquad \epsilon \downarrow \simeq \qquad$$

$$\dots \longrightarrow M_{-1}(X) \longrightarrow F_R(E, X) \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_1 \wedge_R F_R(E, X) \longrightarrow \dots$$

$$\text{re } M_k(X) = F_R(E/E_{-k-1}, X) \text{ for } k < 0. \qquad \Box$$

Here $M_k(X) = F_R(E/E_{-k-1}, X)$ for $k \le 0$.

We refer to [LMSM86, §III.1] for the basic Spanier–Whitehead duality theory in a closed symmetric monoidal category. In the case of (the homotopy category of) R-modules in orthogonal G-spectra, we refer to the objects called 'finite' by Lewis and May as 'dualisable'.

DEFINITION 6.28. For an R-module X in orthogonal G-spectra, let

$$D(X) = F_R(X, R)$$

be its functional dual. For dualisable X we refer to D(X) as the Spanier–Whitehead dual of X. There are natural maps

$$\rho: X \to D(D(X))$$
 and $\nu: DX \wedge_R Y \to F_R(X,Y)$,

which are equivalences when X is dualisable.

LEMMA 6.29. Each term in the filtration \widetilde{E}_{\star} is dualisable.

PROOF. We can give G a finite CW structure, with e as a 0-cell. It follows that the bar construction is a finite G-CW space in each simplicial degree $B_q(*, G, G) =$ $G^q \times G$, so that $G^{\wedge q} \wedge G_+$ is a finite G-CW space and $R \wedge G^{\wedge q} \wedge G_+$ is a dualisable Rmodule in orthogonal G-spectra. By induction, this implies that E_{i-1} is dualisable, and therefore the mapping cone \tilde{E}_i is also dualisable, for each $i \geq 0$.

LEMMA 6.30. The E^1 -page of the spectral sequence associated to $GM'_{\star}(X)$ is the R_* -module chain complex with

$$\check{E}^{1}_{*,*}(X) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_{*}}(R_{*}, \operatorname{gm}_{*}(\pi_{*}(X))),$$

where we use the notation of Definition 2.16.

PROOF. For $\star \leq 0$ the sequence $GM'_{\star}(X)$ agrees with the sequence $M_{\star}(X)$ from Section 5.2, so $(\check{E}^{1}_{*}(X), d^{1})$ for $* \leq 0$ agrees with $\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_{*}}(P_{-*,*}, \pi_{*}(X))$ by Proposition 5.13.

For $\star \geq 0$ the sequence $GM'_{\star}(X)$ agrees with the filtration $\widetilde{E}_{\star} \wedge_R F_R(E, X)$. Its subquotients for $i \geq 1$ are of the form $(\widetilde{E}_i/\widetilde{E}_{i-1}) \wedge_R F_R(E, X)$ with

$$\widetilde{E}_i/\widetilde{E}_{i-1} \cong \Sigma(E_{i-1}/E_{i-2}) \cong R \wedge \Sigma^i(G^{\wedge i-1} \wedge G_+).$$

Let d be the dimension of G. Since G_+ is stably dualisable, with Spanier–Whitehead dual $D(G_+) \simeq_G \Sigma^{-d}G_+$, each subquotient above is equivalent to $F(G_+, X')$ for some R-module X' in orthogonal G-spectra. It follows from Proposition 3.6 that

$$\check{E}^1_*(X) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, E^1_*(\widetilde{E}_* \wedge_R F_R(E, X)))$$

for $* \geq 1$. Here

$$E_i^1(\widetilde{E}_{\star} \wedge_R F_R(E, X)) = \pi_{i+*}(\widetilde{E}_{i-1} \wedge_R F_R(E, X) \to \widetilde{E}_i \wedge_R F_R(E, X))$$
$$\cong \pi_{i+*}(\widetilde{E}_i/\widetilde{E}_{i-1} \wedge_R F_R(E, X))$$
$$\cong \pi_{i+*}(\widetilde{E}_i/\widetilde{E}_{i-1}) \otimes_{R_*} \pi_*F_R(E, X)$$
$$\cong \widetilde{P}_{i,*} \otimes_{R_*} \pi_*(X)$$

for $i \geq 1$, since $\widetilde{P}_{i,*} = \pi_{i+*}(\widetilde{E}_i/\widetilde{E}_{i-1})$ is projective, hence flat, over R_* , and $c \colon E \to R$ induces an isomorphism $\pi_*(X) \cong \pi_*F_R(E,X)$ of $R[G]_*$ -modules. This shows that $(\check{E}^1_*(X), d^1)$ for $* \geq 1$ agrees with $\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, \widetilde{P}_{*,*} \otimes_{R_*} \pi_*(X))$.

It remains to verify that $d^1: \check{E}_1^1(X) \to \check{E}_0^1(X)$ is as asserted. By definition, it is given by the left-to-right composite in the following diagram.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^G_{1+*}((\widetilde{E}_1/\widetilde{E}_0) \wedge_R X) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \pi^G_*(X) \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & &$$

By naturality of ω , as in Lemma 3.5, this is obtained from the left-to-right composite

$$\pi_{1+*}((\widetilde{E}_1/\widetilde{E}_0) \wedge_R X) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_*(X)$$

$$c^* \downarrow \cong$$

$$\pi_*(F_R(E,X)) \longrightarrow \pi_*(F_R(E_0,X))$$

by applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{R[G]_*}(R_*, -)$. Under the isomorphisms above, this is the composition

$$\widetilde{P}_{1,*} \otimes_{R_*} \pi_*(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \widetilde{P}_{0,*} \otimes_{R_*} \pi_*(X)$$
$$\cong \pi_*(X) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R_*}(R_*, \pi_*(X)) \xrightarrow{\epsilon^*} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_*}(P_0, \pi_*(X)).$$

As we made explicit in Proposition 2.17, this equals the boundary $gm_1(\pi_*(X)) \rightarrow gm_0(\pi_*(X))$.

PROPOSITION 6.31. The filtration-preserving map $\alpha: GM_{\star}(X) \to HM_{\star}(X)$ induces an isomorphism of spectral sequences

$$\alpha^r \colon \check{E}^r(X) \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \hat{E}^r(X)$$

for $r \geq 2$.

PROOF. Comparing Proposition 6.16 and Lemma 6.30 shows that

$$\alpha^1 \colon \check{E}^1(X) \to \hat{E}^1(X)$$

is the chain map $\text{Hom}(1, \alpha)$ shown to be a quasi-isomorphism in Proposition 2.18. Hence $\alpha^2 = H(\alpha^1, d^1)$ is an isomorphism, which implies that α^r is an isomorphism for each $r \ge 2$.

Following $[Gre87, \S1]$, we can splice the filtration

$$R \cong \widetilde{E}_0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_1 \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_2 \longrightarrow \dots$$

with the Spanier–Whitehead dual sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow D(\widetilde{E}_2) \longrightarrow D(\widetilde{E}_1) \longrightarrow D(\widetilde{E}_0) \cong R$$

to obtain a bi-infinite sequence

$$(6.11) \qquad \dots \longrightarrow D(\widetilde{E}_2) \longrightarrow D(\widetilde{E}_1) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_1 \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_2 \longrightarrow \dots$$

of dualisable *R*-modules in orthogonal *G*-spectra. This is the sequence [**GM95**, (9.5)] used by Greenlees and May to define their Tate spectral sequence, at least for finite *G*. For $G = \mathbb{T} = U(1)$ or $\mathbb{U} = Sp(1)$ they instead repeat each term in this sequence two or four times, respectively. For other compact Lie groups, the connection is less direct.

PROPOSITION 6.32. There is a zigzag of equivalences from $GM'_{\star}(X)$ to the sequence $GM''_{\star}(X)$ with

$$GM_k''(X) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{E}_k \wedge_R F_R(E, X) & \text{for } k \ge 0, \\ D(\widetilde{E}_{-k}) \wedge_R F_R(E, X) & \text{for } k \le 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence the spectral sequence $\check{E}^r(X)$ is isomorphic to the Greenlees–May Tate spectral sequence [**GM95**, Thm. 10.3] for π^G_* applied to the sequence $GM''_*(X)$.

PROOF. The zigzag of equivalences connecting $GM'_{\star}(X)$ to $GM''_{\star}(X)$ consists of identity maps for $\star \geq 0$. For $\star \leq 0$ it takes the following form:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_{R}(E/E_{1}, X) \longrightarrow F_{R}(E/E_{0}, X) \longrightarrow F_{R}(E, X)$$

$$\downarrow^{\simeq_{G}} \qquad \downarrow^{\simeq_{G}} \qquad \downarrow^{=}$$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_{R}(E \cup CE_{1}, X) \longrightarrow F_{R}(E \cup CE_{0}, X) \longrightarrow F_{R}(E, X)$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}^{*} \uparrow^{\simeq_{G}} \qquad \tilde{\Delta}^{*} \uparrow^{\simeq_{G}} \qquad \tilde{\Delta}^{*} \uparrow^{\cong}$$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_{R}(\widetilde{E}_{2} \wedge_{R} E, X) \longrightarrow F_{R}(\widetilde{E}_{1} \wedge_{R} E, X) \longrightarrow F_{R}(\widetilde{E}_{0} \wedge_{R} E, X)$$

$$\nu \uparrow^{\simeq_{G}} \qquad \nu \uparrow^{\simeq_{G}} \qquad \nu \uparrow^{\cong}$$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow D(\widetilde{E}_{2}) \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, X) \longrightarrow D(\widetilde{E}_{1}) \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, X) \longrightarrow D(\widetilde{E}_{0}) \wedge_{R} F_{R}(E, X)$$

The two top rows are equivalent because each quotient map $E \cup CE_{i-1} \to E/E_{i-1}$ is an equivalence, since $E_{i-1} \to E$ is a (strong) *h*-cofibration. The equivalence between the middle two rows is induced by the map $\widetilde{\Delta}$ of mapping cones associated to the diagonal equivalence $\Delta: E_{i-1} \to E_{i-1} \wedge_R E$:

$$E_{i-1} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E \cup CE_{i-1}$$

$$\Delta \downarrow \simeq_G \qquad \qquad \downarrow = \qquad \widetilde{\Delta} \downarrow \simeq_G$$

$$E_{i-1} \wedge_R E \xrightarrow{c \wedge 1} R \wedge_R E \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_i \wedge_R E.$$

The lower two rows are equivalent because each \widetilde{E}_i is dualisable by Lemma 6.29. \Box

Remark 6.33. Our comparison of the Hesselholt–Madsen Tate spectral sequence

$$\hat{E}^r(X) = E^r(HM_\star(X))$$

and the Greenlees–May Tate spectral sequence

$$\check{E}^r(X) = E^r(GM_{\star}(X)) \cong E^r(GM'_{\star}(X)) \cong E^r(GM''_{\star}(X))$$

is a little different from that of [**HM03**, Rmk. 4.3.6], since we obtain the Greenlees sequence $GM''_{\star}(X)$ by splicing the two perpendicular edges $(i = 0, j \leq 0)$ and $(i \geq 0, j = 0)$ of the bifiltration

$$HM_{i,j}(X) = \widetilde{E}_i \wedge_R T_j(M(X)) \simeq_G \widetilde{E}_i \wedge_R F_R(E/E_{-j-1}, X),$$

while Hesselholt and Madsen first invert a quasi-isomorphism, so as to position both halves of the Greenlees sequence on the line j = 0. REMARK 6.34. In the case of the circle group $G = \mathbb{T}$ we can work over $R = \mathbb{S}$ and use the odd spheres $S((k+1)\mathbb{C})$ to filter $E\mathbb{T} = S(\infty\mathbb{C})$, so that $\widetilde{E}_k = S^{k\mathbb{C}}$ equals (the suspension spectrum of) a representation sphere. Then $D(\widetilde{E}_{-k}) = D(S^{-k\mathbb{C}}) =$ $S^{k\mathbb{C}}$ is a virtual representation sphere for each k < 0. For brevity, let us also write \widetilde{E}_k for the latter \mathbb{T} -spectra, so that $\{\widetilde{E}_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is the bi-infinite sequence (6.11), with cofibre sequences $\widetilde{E}_{k-1} \to \widetilde{E}_k \to \Sigma^{2k-1}\mathbb{T}_+$. The Greenlees–May spectral sequence associated to the sequence

$$\widetilde{E}_{\star} \wedge F(E\mathbb{T}_+, X)$$

of \mathbb{T} -spectra has E^1 -page

$$\check{E}^{1}_{k,*}(X) = \pi^{\mathbb{T}}_{k+*}(\Sigma^{2k-1}\mathbb{T}_{+} \wedge F(E\mathbb{T}_{+}, X)) \cong \pi_{*-k}(X)$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, which we can formally write as $t^{-k} \cdot \pi_*(X)$ with t in bidegree (-1, -1). As remarked earlier, we may reindex the filtration and spectral sequence so as to put t in bidegree (-2, 0), in which case $E_{2k,*}^2(X) \cong t^{-k} \cdot \pi_*(X)$ and $E_{2k-1,*}^2(X) = 0$. Maunder [**Mau63**, Thm. 3.3], as well as Greenlees and May [**GM95**, Thm. B.8], prove that the latter spectral sequence is isomorphic to one associated to the tower of \mathbb{T} -spectra

$$\ldots \longrightarrow \widetilde{E\mathbb{T}} \wedge F(E\mathbb{T}_+, X^{\ell+1}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{E\mathbb{T}} \wedge F(E\mathbb{T}_+, X^{\ell}) \longrightarrow \ldots$$

Here $\{X^{\ell}\}_{\ell}$ denotes a T-equivariant Whitehead tower for X, with homotopy fibre sequences $X^{\ell+1} \to X^{\ell} \to \Sigma^{\ell} H \pi_{\ell}(X)$. The latter spectral sequence is indexed so that

$$E^2_{*,\ell}(X) = \pi^{\mathbb{T}}_{*+\ell}(\widetilde{E\mathbb{T}} \wedge F(E\mathbb{T}_+, \Sigma^{\ell}H\pi_{\ell}(X))) \cong \pi_*(H\pi_{\ell}(X)^{t\mathbb{T}})$$

for each integer ℓ . In particular

$$\pi_{2k}(H\pi_{\ell}(X)^{t\mathbb{T}}) \cong t^{-k} \cdot \pi_{\ell}(X) \text{ and } \pi_{2k-1}(H\pi_{\ell}(X)^{t\mathbb{T}}) = 0,$$

so that, formally, $\pi_*(H\pi_\ell(X)^{t\mathbb{T}}) \cong \pi_\ell(X)[t, t^{-1}]$. Furthermore, Greenlees and May argue that the latter spectral sequence is multiplicative, with respect to some topologically defined pairings of the form

$$\pi_*(H\pi_i(X)^{t\mathbb{T}}) \otimes \pi_*(H\pi_j(Y)^{t\mathbb{T}}) \longrightarrow \pi_*(H\pi_{i+j}(Z)^{t\mathbb{T}}).$$

However, as is implicit in [GM95, Prob. 14.8], they do not establish that these topological pairings agree with the evident algebraic pairings

$$\pi_i(X)[t,t^{-1}] \otimes \pi_j(Y)[t,t^{-1}] \longrightarrow \pi_{i+j}(Z)[t,t^{-1}].$$

Hence they do not assert that the isomorphism $E^2_{*,*}(X) \cong \pi_*(X)[t,t^{-1}]$ takes the topological product to the algebraic product. In particular, the higher differentials in this spectral sequence are known to obey a Leibniz rule, but conceivably not with respect to the most evident algebraic product.

Nonetheless, we can confirm directly that the first differential in each of these spectral sequences is a derivation with respect to the algebraic product. To express this, we return to the indexing used elsewhere in the memoir, i.e., to the Greenlees–May spectral sequence $\check{E}^r_{*,*}(X)$. Up to the technical issue we have pointed out about compatibility of product structures, the following result is due to Hesselholt [Hes96, Lem. 1.4.2].

PROPOSITION 6.35. Let X be any orthogonal \mathbb{T} -spectrum, so that $\pi_*(X)$ is a right $\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_*$ -module. There is a natural isomorphism

$$\check{E}^{1}_{*,*}(X) \cong \pi_{*}(X)[t,t^{-1}]$$

with t in bidegree (-1, -1), such that $d^1: \check{E}^1_{k,*}(X) \to \check{E}^1_{k-1,*}(X)$ corresponds to the differential $d: t^{-k} \cdot \pi_*(X) \to t^{-k+1} \cdot \pi_*(X)$ given by

$$d(t^{-k} \cdot x) = \begin{cases} t^{-k+1} \cdot xs & \text{for } k \text{ even,} \\ t^{-k+1} \cdot x(s+\eta) & \text{for } k \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. By naturality of the Greenlees–May spectral sequence with respect to \mathbb{T} -maps $x \colon \Sigma^{\ell} \mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}] \to X$, corresponding to homotopy classes $x \in \pi_{\ell}(X)$, it suffices to prove the result in the case $X = \mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]$ and $x = 1 \in \pi_0(\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}])$.

Consider the case $X = H\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{T}]$. We have $\pi_*(H\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{T}]) = \mathbb{Z}\{1, \sigma\}$ and $H\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{T}]^{t\mathbb{T}} \simeq *$ since $H\mathbb{Z}$, as a \mathbb{T} -spectrum, is induced up from $H\mathbb{Z}$. For bidegree reasons the \mathbb{T} -Tate spectral sequence must collapse to zero at the E^2 -page, which forces

$$d(t^{-k} \cdot 1) = \pm t^{-k+1} \cdot \sigma_{\cdot}$$

Here, we can iteratively fix the sign of t^{-k} implicit in the identification $\check{E}^1_{k,*}(X) \cong t^{-k} \cdot \pi_*(X)$ so that each of these signs is a plus. By naturality with respect to the Hurewicz homomorphism $\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}] \to H\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{T}]$ it follows that

$$d(t^{-k} \cdot 1) \equiv t^{-k+1} \cdot s \mod t^{-k+1} \cdot \eta$$

in the \mathbb{T} -Tate spectral sequence for $\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]$, since $\pi_1(\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]) = \mathbb{Z}\{s\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{\eta\}$ with the Hurewicz homomorphism mapping s to σ .

Now consider the case $X = \mathbb{S}$ with trivial T-action. The part $k \geq 1$ of the Greenlees–May spectral sequence maps to the Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence for $\Sigma^2 \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}_+$. Since the 2k-cell in $\Sigma^2 \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}$ is stably attached to the 2k–2-cell by $k\eta$, it follows that

$$d(t^{-k} \cdot 1) = t^{-k+1} \cdot k\eta$$

for $k \geq 2$. Similarly, the part $k \leq 0$ receives a map from the Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence for $D(\mathbb{C}P_+^{\infty})$, where the -2k-cell is attached to the -2k – 2-cell by $k\eta$, see [Mos68, Prop. 5.1], so that

$$d(t^{-k} \cdot 1) = t^{-k+1} \cdot k\eta$$

for $k \leq 0,$ as well. Finally, for k=1 the differential is induced by the composite $\mathbb{T}\text{-map}$

$$\Sigma^{-1}\widetilde{E}_1/\widetilde{E}_0 \wedge F(E\mathbb{T}_+,\mathbb{S}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_0 \wedge F(E\mathbb{T}_+,\mathbb{S}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_0/\widetilde{E}_{-1} \wedge F(E\mathbb{T}_+,\mathbb{S}),$$

which we can rewrite in terms of the counit $\epsilon \colon \mathbb{T}_+ \to S^0$ and its Spanier–Whitehead dual $D(\epsilon) \colon \mathbb{S} \to D(\mathbb{T}_+)$ as

$$F(E\mathbb{T}_+,\mathbb{T}_+) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_*} F(E\mathbb{T}_+,\mathbb{S}) \xrightarrow{D(\epsilon)_*} F(E\mathbb{T}_+,D(\mathbb{T}_+)).$$

Passing to T-fixed points, this is a composite

$$\Sigma \mathbb{S} \simeq \mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]^{h\mathbb{T}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{h\mathbb{T}} \longrightarrow D(\mathbb{T}_+)^{h\mathbb{T}} \simeq \mathbb{S},$$

which we claim equals $\eta \in \pi_1(\mathbb{S})$. This can be seen using the Pontryagin–Thom collapse $t: S^{\mathbb{C}} \to S^{\mathbb{C}}/S^0 \cong \Sigma(\mathbb{T}_+)$ associated to the embedding $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$, and the untwisting isomorphism $\zeta: \Sigma^2(\mathbb{T}_+) \cong \Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T}_+)$. Then $\zeta(1 \wedge t): \Sigma S^{\mathbb{C}} \to \Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T}_+)$ defines a stable \mathbb{T} -map $S^1 \to \mathbb{T}_+$. The composite $\epsilon \zeta(1 \wedge t) \colon \Sigma S^{\mathbb{C}} \to S^{\mathbb{C}}$ has (non-equivariant) Hopf invariant ± 1 , since the preimages of two generic points in $S^{\mathbb{C}}$ are circles in $\Sigma S^{\mathbb{C}}$ with that linking number.

This proves $d(t^{-1} \cdot 1) = t^{0} \cdot \eta$ in the T-Tate spectral sequence for S and, by naturality with respect to $\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}] \to \mathbb{S}$, the asserted formulas follow.

6.6. Convergence

In this section we use Proposition 6.31 to deduce convergence results for the Hesselholt–Madsen spectral sequence $\hat{E}^r(X)$ from corresponding results for the Greenlees–May spectral sequence $\check{E}^r(X)$.

LEMMA 6.36. The map of abutments

$$\alpha_{\infty} \colon A_{\infty}(GM_{\star}(X)) \xrightarrow{\cong} A_{\infty}(HM_{\star}(X)) \cong \pi_{*}(X^{tG})$$

is an isomorphism. Hence the homomorphism

$$\alpha_s \colon F_s A_\infty(GM_\star(X)) \longrightarrow F_s A_\infty(HM_\star(X))$$

is injective, for each $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

 $\mathsf{PROOF.}$ The first assertion follows from Lemma 6.25. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} A_s(GM_\star(X)) & \longrightarrow F_sA_\infty(GM_\star(X)) \rightarrowtail & A_\infty(GM_\star(X)) \\ & \downarrow & \alpha_s \downarrow & \alpha_\infty \downarrow \cong \\ A_s(HM_\star(X)) & \longrightarrow F_sA_\infty(HM_\star(X)) \rightarrowtail & A_\infty(HM_\star(X)) \end{array}$$

implies the injectivity assertion.

LEMMA 6.37. The spectral sequence

$$\check{E}^r(X) = E^r(GM_{\star}(X)) \Longrightarrow \pi_*(X^{tG})$$

is conditionally convergent.

PROOF. The spectral sequence associated to $GM_{\star}(X)$ is conditionally convergent to the colimit, in the sense of [**Boa99**, Def. 5.10], whenever holim_s $GM_s(X) \simeq_G$ *. Since the sequences $GM_{\star}(X)$ and $GM'_{\star}(X)$ are equivalent by Lemma 6.27, we may equally well verify that holim_s $GM'_s(X) \simeq_G$ *. But

$$GM'_s(X) = F_R(E/E_{s-1}, X) = M_s(X)$$

for $s \leq 0$, and we saw in Section 5.2 that holim_s $M_s(X) \simeq_G *$. Hence the Greenlees– May *G*-Tate spectral sequence $\check{E}^r(X)$ is always conditionally convergent. \Box

LEMMA 6.38. The maps of E^{∞} - and RE^{∞} -pages

$$E^{\infty}(GM_{\star}(X)) \xrightarrow{\cong} E^{\infty}(HM_{\star}(X))$$
$$RE^{\infty}(GM_{\star}(X)) \xrightarrow{\cong} RE^{\infty}(HM_{\star}(X))$$

are isomorphisms.

PROOF. Recall from [Boa99, (5.1)] that for each spectral sequence (E^r, d^r) there are filtrations

$$0 = B^1 \subset B^2 \subset B^3 \subset \dots \subset Z^3 \subset Z^2 \subset Z^1 = E^1$$

with $E^r \cong Z^r/B^r$ for $r \ge 1$. We set

$$B^{\infty} = \operatorname{colim}_{r} B^{r}, \quad Z^{\infty} = \lim_{r} Z_{r}, \quad E^{\infty} = Z^{\infty}/B^{\infty}, \quad RE^{\infty} = \operatorname{Rlim}_{r} Z_{r}.$$

Letting $\bar{B}^r = B^r/B^2$ and $\bar{Z}^r = Z^r/B^2$ for $r \ge 2$, we obtain a filtration

$$0 = \bar{B}^2 \subset \bar{B}^3 \subset \dots \subset \bar{Z}^3 \subset \bar{Z}^2 \cong E^2$$

with $E^r \cong \overline{Z}^r / \overline{B}^r$ for $r \ge 2$. Let

$$\bar{B}^{\infty} = \operatorname{colim}_r \bar{B}^r$$
 and $\bar{Z}^{\infty} = \lim_r \bar{Z}_r$.

Then $\bar{B}^{\infty} \cong B^{\infty}/B^2$, while $\bar{Z}^{\infty} \cong Z^{\infty}/B^2$ and $\operatorname{Rlim}_r Z^r \cong \operatorname{Rlim}_r \bar{Z}^r$ by the lim-Rlim exact sequence. Hence $E^{\infty} \cong \bar{Z}^{\infty}/\bar{B}^{\infty}$ by the Noether isomorphism, and $RE^{\infty} \cong \operatorname{Rlim}_r \bar{Z}^r$.

A map of spectral sequences inducing an isomorphism of E^2 -pages will by induction induce isomorphisms of \bar{B}^r - and \bar{Z}^r -pages for all $r \geq 2$, and therefore also of \bar{B}^{∞} -, \bar{Z}^{∞} -, E^{∞} - and RE^{∞} -pages.

When X is bounded below, and G is finite or equal to $\mathbb{T} = U(1)$ or $\mathbb{U} = Sp(1)$, the E^1 -pages $\check{E}^1(X)$ and $\hat{E}^1(X)$ are both concentrated in half-planes with entering differentials [**Boa99**, §7]. However, for more general groups G (such as $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{U}$) the E^1 -page $\check{E}^1(X)$ occupies a region that is only bounded by a broken line, and $\hat{E}^1(X)$ may not be bounded in any ordinary sense. We therefore need to discuss convergence for the spectral sequences $\check{E}^r(X)$ and $\hat{E}^r(X)$ in the generality of whole-plane spectral sequences [**Boa99**, §8].

DEFINITION 6.39. Let (A, E^1) be the exact couple associated to a Cartan-Eilenberg system (H, ∂) . Boardman's whole-plane obstruction group W is defined in [**Boa99**, Lem. 8.5] by an expression

$$W = \operatorname{colim}_{r} \operatorname{Rlim}_{r} K_{\infty} \operatorname{im}^{r} A_{s}.$$

We refer to Boardman's paper for an explanation of the notation. By [HR19, Thm. 7.5] there is an isomorphism

$$W \cong \ker(\kappa)$$

where

$$\kappa: \operatorname{colim}_{i} \lim_{i} H(i,j) \longrightarrow \lim_{i} \operatorname{colim}_{i} H(i,j)$$

is the interchange morphism, which is always surjective.

While W is defined in terms of the underlying exact couple (or Cartan–Eilenberg system), Boardman gives the following criterion for the vanishing of W, which is internal to the spectral sequence.

LEMMA 6.40 ([Boa99, Lem. 8.1]). Suppose that for each m, there exist numbers u(m) and v(m) such that for all $u \ge u(m)$ and $v \ge v(m)$, the differential

$$d^{u+v} \colon E^{u+v}_{u,m-u} \longrightarrow E^{u+v}_{-v,m+v-1}$$

vanishes. Then W = 0.

REMARK 6.41. If for some fixed r the E^r -page of the spectral sequence is bounded from the side of entering differentials, in the sense that for each m there is a number u(m) such that $E^r_{u,m-u} = 0$ for all $u \ge u(m)$, then Boardman's vanishing criterion is satisfied with v(m) = r - u(m). Hence W = 0 in these cases.

Alternatively, if the spectral sequence collapses at the E^r -page, so that d^r and all later differentials are zero, then Boardman's vanishing criterion is satisfied with u(m) = r and v(m) = 0. Thus W = 0 also in these cases.

THEOREM 6.42. The spectral sequence

$$\check{E}^r(X) = E^r(GM_\star(X))$$

converges strongly to $A_{\infty}(GM_{\star}(X)) \cong \pi_{*}(X^{tG})$ if and only if $RE^{\infty} = 0$ and W = 0 for this spectral sequence.

PROOF. We saw that $\check{E}^r(X)$ is conditionally convergent in Lemma 6.37. Hence the statements ' $RE^{\infty} = 0$ and W = 0' and 'the spectral sequence is strongly convergent' are equivalent by [**Boa99**, Thm. 8.10].

THEOREM 6.43. If the Greenlees–May spectral sequence

$$\check{E}^r(X) = E^r(GM_\star(X)) \Longrightarrow \pi_*(X^{tG})$$

is strongly convergent, then the Hesselholt-Madsen spectral sequence

$$\hat{E}^r(X) = E^r(HM_{\star}(X)) \Longrightarrow \pi_*(X^{tG})$$

is strongly convergent, as well. Moreover, $F_s A_{\infty}(GM_{\star}(X)) = F_s A_{\infty}(HM_{\star}(X))$ for all integers s.

PROOF. We assume $\check{E}^r(X)$ is strongly convergent. Explicitly, this means that the exhaustive filtration $(F_s A_{\infty}(GM_{\star}(X)))_s$ of $A_{\infty}(GM_{\star}(X)) \cong \pi_*(X^{tG})$ is complete Hausdorff, and the left hand monomorphism β in the commutative square

is an isomorphism. It follows that the right hand monomorphism β is also an isomorphism. Since the filtration $(F_s A_{\infty}(HM_{\star}(X)))_s$ is exhaustive, this means that $\hat{E}^r(X)$ converges weakly to $\pi_*(X^{tG})$. It also follows that the upper homomorphism $\bar{\alpha}_s$ is an isomorphism. By induction, this implies that the map of filtration quotients

$$\frac{F_t A_{\infty}(GM_{\star}(X))}{F_s A_{\infty}(GM_{\star}(X))} \xrightarrow{\cong} \frac{F_t A_{\infty}(HM_{\star}(X))}{F_s A_{\infty}(HM_{\star}(X))}$$

is an isomorphism for all integers $s \leq t$.

Passing to colimits over t, and using the fact that

$$\alpha_{\infty} \colon A_{\infty}(GM_{\star}(X)) \xrightarrow{\cong} A_{\infty}(HM_{\star}(X))$$

is an isomorphism by Lemma 6.36, we deduce that

 $\alpha_s \colon F_s A_\infty(GM_\star(X)) \to F_s A_\infty(HM_\star(X))$

is an isomorphism, for each $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. The filtration $(F_s A_{\infty}(HM_{\star}(X)))_s$ is therefore complete and Hausdorff, meaning that $\hat{E}^r(X)$ converges strongly to $\pi_*(X^{tG})$. \Box

Combining these results we obtain the following theorem, which often compensates for the problem that we do not a priori know when $\hat{E}^r(X) = E^r(HM_{\star}(X))$ is conditionally convergent, cf. Remark 6.15.

THEOREM 6.44. If $RE^{\infty} = 0$ and Boardman's vanishing criterion for W from Lemma 6.40 is satisfied for the G-Tate spectral sequence $\hat{E}^r(X) = E^r(HM_{\star}(X))$, then this spectral sequence converges strongly and conditionally to $A_{\infty}(HM_{\star}(X)) \cong \pi_{\star}(X^{tG})$.

Note that we are not just assuming that W = 0 for $\hat{E}^r(X)$, but that this group vanishes for the reason given by Boardman's criterion.

PROOF. Since $\check{E}^r(X) \cong \hat{E}^r(X)$ for $r \ge 2$, the vanishing of RE^{∞} for $\hat{E}^r(X)$ implies the vanishing of RE^{∞} for $\check{E}^r(X)$. Furthermore, the hypothesis of Boardman's criterion for $\hat{E}^r(X)$ implies the same hypothesis for $\check{E}^r(X)$. Hence $\check{E}^r(X)$ converges strongly by Theorem 6.42, which implies that $\hat{E}^r(X)$ converges strongly by Theorem 6.43. By [**Boa99**, Thm. 8.10] strong convergence and the vanishing of RE^{∞} and W imply conditional convergence.

6.7. Summary: The T-Tate spectral sequence

The main example we had in mind when writing this memoir was $G = \mathbb{T}$. Note that when discussing the \mathbb{T} -Tate spectral sequence for a \mathbb{T} -spectrum X one could really refer to at least² two different spectral sequences: one arising from the Greenlees–May filtration and one from the Hesselholt–Madsen filtration. The first has better convergence properties, while the latter has better multiplicative properties. Fortunately there are quite good comparison results between the two, as covered in Section 6.6.

Let us start by summarizing the additive results regarding the Greenlees–May and Hesselholt–Madsen versions of the \mathbb{T} -Tate spectral sequence. We work over $R = \mathbb{S}$, and write \otimes for $\otimes_{\mathbb{S}_*}$. We first note that by virtue of X being a \mathbb{T} -spectrum, there is an action

$$\gamma \colon X \wedge \mathbb{T}_+ \cong X \wedge \mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}] \longrightarrow X$$

which makes X into a right module over the spherical group ring $\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]$. The induced pairing

$$\gamma_* \colon \pi_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_* \longrightarrow \pi_*(X)$$

on homotopy groups then gives $\pi_*(X)$ the structure of a right module over the Hopf algebra

$$\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_* \cong \mathbb{S}_*[s]/(s^2 = \eta s), \quad |s| = 1.$$

Here η is the image of the complex Hopf map in $\pi_1(\mathbb{S}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. Note that this Hopf algebra is finitely generated and projective over \mathbb{S}_* . We denote the image $\gamma_*(x \otimes s)$ by xs.

There is a minimal projective $\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_*$ -module resolution P_* of \mathbb{S}_* , with $P_k = \mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]\{p_k\}$ and $\partial(p_k) = p_{k-1}(s + (k-1)\eta)$. Let \tilde{P}_* be the mapping cone of the

²There is also at least one more Tate spectral sequence, namely the one arising from a Postnikov or Whitehead tower of X; see Remark 5.5 and Remark 6.34.

augmentation $\epsilon \colon P_* \to \mathbb{S}_*$. Let the complete resolution \hat{P}_* be the fibre product $P_* \times_{\mathbb{S}_*} D(\tilde{P}_*)$, which is obtained by splicing P_* with its dual.

THEOREM 6.45 (Greenlees-May-Tate spectral sequence). Given an orthogonal \mathbb{T} -spectrum X, there is a filtration $GM_{\star}(X)$ of orthogonal \mathbb{T} -spectra, and an associated \mathbb{S}_{*} -module spectral sequence

$$\check{E}^r(X) = E^r(GM_\star(X))$$

with abutment

$$A_{\infty}(GM_{\star}(X)) \cong \pi_{*}(X^{t\mathbb{T}})$$

filtered by the images $\operatorname{im}(\pi_*GM_*(X) \to \pi_*(X^{t\mathbb{T}}))$. We refer to this spectral sequence as the Greenlees–May \mathbb{T} -Tate spectral sequence for X. The following hold:

 E^1 -page: The E^1 -page of the Greenlees-May \mathbb{T} -Tate spectral sequence can be written

$$E^1_{*,*}(GM_{\star}(X)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_*}(\hat{P}_*, \pi_*(X))$$

where \hat{P}_* is a complete resolution of \mathbb{S}_* as a trivial $\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_*$ -module. For the minimal such resolution we can write

$$E^1_{*,*}(GM_{\star}(X)) \cong \pi_*(X)[t,t^{-1}]$$

with t in bidegree (-1, -1), and then $d^1(t^c \cdot x) = t^{c+1} \cdot x(s+c\eta)$ for all $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in \pi_*(X)$.

Convergence: The Greenlees-May spectral sequence converges conditionally to the abutment. It converges strongly to the abutment if and only if the derived E^{∞} -page RE^{∞} and Boardman's whole plane obstruction group W are both trivial.

PROOF. The first statement is Lemma 6.30 combined with Proposition 2.24 and Proposition 6.35. The second statement is Lemma 6.37 combined with Theorem 6.42.

THEOREM 6.46 (Hesselholt–Madsen–Tate spectral sequence). Given an orthogonal \mathbb{T} -spectrum X, there is a filtration $HM_{\star}(X)$ of orthogonal \mathbb{T} -spectra, and an associated \mathbb{S}_{*} -module spectral sequence

$$\hat{E}^r(X) = E^r(HM_{\star}(X))$$

with abutment

$$A_{\infty}(HM_{\star}(X)) \cong \pi_{*}(X^{t\mathbb{T}})$$

filtered by the images $\operatorname{im}(\pi_* HM_*(X) \to \pi_*(X^{t\mathbb{T}}))$. We refer to this spectral sequence as the Hesselholt–Madsen \mathbb{T} -Tate spectral sequence for X. The following hold:

 E^1 -page: The E^1 -page of the Hesselholt-Madsen \mathbb{T} -Tate spectral sequence can be written

$$E^1_{*,*}(HM_{\star}(X)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_*}(\mathbb{S}_*, P_* \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(P_*, \pi_*(X)))$$

where P_* is a projective resolution of \mathbb{S}_* as a trivial $\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_*$ -module and \widetilde{P}_* denotes the mapping cone of the augmentation $\epsilon \colon P_* \to \mathbb{S}_*$.

 E^2 -page: The E^2 -page is given in terms of Hopf algebra Tate cohomology, alias complete Ext, as

$$E^2_{*,*}(HM_{\star}(X)) \cong \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_*}^{-*}(\mathbb{S}_*, \pi_*(X)).$$

Convergence: If the Greenlees-May \mathbb{T} -Tate spectral sequence for X converges strongly, then the Hesselholt-Madsen \mathbb{T} -Tate spectral sequence for the same spectrum also converges strongly. Moreover, the two associated filtrations of $\pi_*(X^{t\mathbb{T}})$ agree.

PROOF. The first statement is Proposition 6.16, the second is Theorem 6.17, and the third statement is Theorem 6.43. $\hfill \Box$

Worth pointing out is that the Greenlees–May and the Hesselholt–Madsen versions of the T-Tate spectral sequence are isomorphic from the E^2 -page and on, per Proposition 6.31. In particular, the E^2 -page of both spectral sequences is given by

$$\begin{split} \hat{E}^{2}_{-c,*}(X) &\cong \check{E}^{2}_{-c,*}(X) \cong \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}^{c}_{\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_{*}}(\mathbb{S}_{*}, \pi_{*}(X)) \\ &\cong \begin{cases} \frac{\ker(s:\pi_{*}(X) \to \pi_{*+1}(X))}{\operatorname{im}(s+\eta:\pi_{*-1}(X) \to \pi_{*}(X))} & \text{for } c \text{ even,} \\ \\ \frac{\ker(s+\eta:\pi_{*}(X) \to \pi_{*+1}(X))}{\operatorname{im}(s:\pi_{*-1}(X) \to \pi_{*}(X))} & \text{for } c \text{ odd,} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

where the last isomorphism is the result of the computation of Section 2.6.

Regarding convergence, we note that Lemma 6.40 gives a criterion, internal to the spectral sequence itself, for when Boardman's whole-plane obstruction vanishes. In particular, if X is bounded below, either version of the T-Tate spectral sequence is a half-plane spectral sequence with entering differentials (at least from the E^2 page), which guarantees this. In the applications we have in mind, we are in this situation if we consider topological Hochschild homology X = THH(B) for some connective orthogonal ring spectrum B.

Let us now summarise the multiplicative structure of the two spectral sequences discussed.

Theorem 6.47.

Multiplicativity: The Hesselholt–Madsen \mathbb{T} -Tate spectral sequence is multiplicative, in the sense that any pairing $\phi: X \wedge Y \to Z$ of orthogonal \mathbb{T} -spectra gives rise to a pairing $\phi: (\hat{E}^*(X), \hat{E}^*(Y)) \to \hat{E}^*(Z)$ of the associated spectral sequences. Explicitly, ϕ gives rise to homomorphisms

$$\phi^r \colon \hat{E}^r(X_\star) \otimes \hat{E}^r(Y_\star) \longrightarrow \hat{E}^r(Z_\star)$$

for all $r \geq 1$, such that:

(1) The Leibniz rule

$$d^r \phi^r = \phi^r (d^r \otimes 1) + \phi^r (1 \otimes d^r)$$

holds as an equality of homomorphisms

$$\hat{E}_i^r(X) \otimes \hat{E}_i^r(Y) \longrightarrow \hat{E}_{i+j-r}^r(Z)$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \geq 1$.

(2) The diagram

$$\hat{E}^{r+1}(X) \otimes \hat{E}^{r+1}(Y) \xrightarrow{\phi^{r+1}} \hat{E}^{r+1}(Z)
 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \cong
 H(\hat{E}^{r}(X) \otimes \hat{E}^{r}(Y)) \xrightarrow{H(\phi^{r})} H(\hat{E}^{r}(Z))$$
commutes for all $r \geq 1$

commutes for all $r \geq 1$.

(3) The pairing

$$\phi^2 \colon \hat{E}^2(X_\star) \otimes \hat{E}^2(Y_\star) \longrightarrow \hat{E}^2(Z_\star)$$

agrees with the cup product

$$: \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_*}^{-i,*}(\mathbb{S}_*,\pi_*(X)) \otimes \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_*}^{-j,*}(\mathbb{S}_*,\pi_*(Y)) \longrightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_*}^{-i-j,*}(\mathbb{S}_*,\pi_*(Z)).$$

For $r \geq 2$ the same statements hold for the Greenlees-May spectral sequence, with \check{E}^r in place of \hat{E}^r .

Multiplicative abutment: We have an induced pairing

$$\phi_* \colon \pi_*(X^{t\mathbb{T}}) \otimes \pi_*(Y^{t\mathbb{T}}) \longrightarrow \pi_*(Z^{t\mathbb{T}})$$

of abutments with the Hesselholt–Madsen filtrations, which is compatible with the pairing ϕ^{∞} of E^{∞} -pages. Explicitly, the diagram

commutes, for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If the Greenlees–May spectral sequence is strongly convergent, then the same statements hold for the Greenlees–May filtrations and \check{E}_{***}^{∞} .

PROOF. For the Hesselholt–Madsen \mathbb{T} -Tate spectral sequence this is Theorem 6.18 and Theorem 6.21. The statements about multiplicativity of the E^r -pages and d^r -differentials can be transported to the Greenlees–May spectral sequence for $r \geq 2$ by way of the isomorphism of Proposition 6.31. The statements about multiplicativity of filtered abutments carry over to the Greenlees–May spectral sequence when $GM_{\star}(X)$ and $HM_{\star}(X)$ induce the same filtration on $\pi_{*}(X^{t\mathbb{T}})$, which holds under the hypothesis of strong convergence by Theorem 6.43.

Recalling the discussion of Remark 2.56, in the context of the circle group, the Hopf algebra Tate cohomology can also be described as the homology of the differential graded $\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{T}]_*$ -module

$$\pi_*(X)[t, t^{-1}], \quad |t| = -1$$

with differential characterised by

$$d(x) = txs$$
 and $d(t) = t^2\eta$

Moreover, given a pairing $X \wedge Y \to Z$ we have an induced pairing $\pi_*(X) \otimes \pi_*(Y) \to \pi_*(Z)$ on homotopy groups, and the cup product on Tate cohomology is precisely the one induced by the obvious map

$$\pi_*(X)[t,t^{-1}] \otimes \pi_*(Y)[t,t^{-1}] \longrightarrow \pi_*(Z)[t,t^{-1}]$$

on homology. By Theorem 6.21, the multiplicative structure on the second page of (both versions of) the \mathbb{T} -Tate spectral sequence corresponds to this cup product. By Proposition 6.35 we can formally impose this algebra structure on the Greenlees–May E^1 -page, in which case the d^1 -differential is a derivation, and this lets us extend the multiplicativity statement for the Greenlees–May \mathbb{T} -Tate spectral sequence to

the range $r \ge 1$, in place of $r \ge 2$, even if there is no underlying topological source of the pairing of E^1 -pages.

REMARK 6.48. Blumberg and Mandell also set up \mathbb{T} -Tate spectral sequences in line with Greenlees–May and Hesselholt–Madsen in $[\mathbf{BM17}]$; let us elaborate on how our spectral sequences compare to theirs. While the homotopy theoretical technicalities are resolved in a different manner to what we have done in this memoir, the main ideas are the same: their Hesselholt–Madsen filtration $[\mathbf{BM17}$, Section 12] is essentially the same as ours. It is worth noting that Blumberg and Mandell prove that conditional convergence for the Hesselholt–Madsen \mathbb{T} -Tate spectral sequence always holds $[\mathbf{BM17}$, Lemma 3.16, p. 38], something that we have not proved in this memoir. We believe that a similar argument to theirs works to show that the Hesselholt–Madsen *G*-Tate spectral sequence is conditionally convergent for all compact Lie groups *G*, but refrain from making any definite statement before the details are checked.

As mentioned in Section 4.3, some form of cofibrant replacement of maps is necessary to solve homotopy theoretical difficulties when dealing with sequences of spectra. Blumberg–Mandell deal with these by referring to model structures on such categories, with a focus on h-cofibrations [**BM17**, Section 6]. While such model structures do exist, and we also started out trying to solve technicalities in such a manner, we were ultimately unable to locate a reference for why such a model structure is monoidal, which is needed for multiplicative structures on spectral sequences. This was one of the main reasons that we ended up choosing to work with explicit models for our homotopy colimits (with convenient monoidal properties), as well as with strong h-cofibrations (so that we can use Theorem 4.17), rather than referring to the framework of model categories.

Bibliography

[Ada69]	J. F. Adams, <i>Lectures on generalised cohomology</i> , Category Theory, Homology Theory and their Applications, III (Battelle Institute Conference, Seattle, Wash., 1968, Vol.
	Three), Springer, Berlin, 1969, pp. 1–138. MR0251716
[AR02]	C. Ausoni and J. Rognes, Algebraic K-theory of topological K-theory, Acta Math. 188 (2002), no. 1, 1–39, DOI 10.1007/BF02392794. MR1947457
[BMS19]	B. Bhatt, M. Morrow, and P. Scholze, Topological Hochschild homology and integral p-adic Hodge theory, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 129 (2019), 199–310, DOI 10.1007/s10240-019-00106-9. MR3949030
[BM17]	A. J. Blumberg and M. A. Mandell, The strong Künneth theorem for topological peri- odic cyclic homology, Preprint, arXiv:1706.06846, 2017.
[Boa99]	J. M. Boardman, <i>Conditionally convergent spectral sequences</i> , Homotopy invariant algebraic structures (Baltimore, MD, 1998), Contemp. Math., vol. 239, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 49–84, DOI 10.1090/conm/239/03597. MR1718076
[Bro82]	K. S. Brown, <i>Cohomology of groups</i> , Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 87, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982. MR672956
[BR05]	R. R. Bruner and J. Rognes, Differentials in the homological homotopy fixed point spec- tral sequence, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 5 (2005), 653–690, DOI 10.2140/agt.2005.5.653. MR2153113
[Bök85]	M. Bökstedt, Topological Hochschild homology (1985). Preprint, Bielefeld.
[BM94]	M. Bökstedt and I. Madsen, <i>Topological cyclic homology of the integers</i> , Astérisque 226 (1994), 7–8, 57–143. K-theory (Strasbourg, 1992). MR1317117
[Car84]	G. Carlsson, Equivariant stable homotopy and Segal's Burnside ring conjecture, Ann. of Math. (2) 120 (1984), no. 2, 189–224, DOI 10.2307/2006940. MR763905
[CE56]	H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, <i>Homological algebra</i> , Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956. MR0077480
[CK97]	J. Cornick and P. H. Kropholler, On complete resolutions, Topology Appl. 78 (1997), no. 3, 235–250, DOI 10.1016/S0166-8641(96)00126-5. MR1454602
[Dou59a]	A. Douady, La suite spectrale d'Adams, Séminaire Henri Cartan 11 (1959), no. 2, http://www.numdam.org/item/SHC_1958-195911_2_A9_0. Talk no. 18.
[Dou59b]	A. Douady, La suite spectrale d'Adams: structure multiplicative, Séminaire Henri Cartan 11 (1959), no. 2, http://www.numdam.org/item/SHC_1958-195911_2_A10_0. Talk no. 19.
[Gre87]	J. P. C. Greenlees, <i>Representing Tate cohomology of G-spaces</i> , Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) 30 (1987), no. 3, 435–443, DOI 10.1017/S0013091500026833. MR908451
[Gre95]	J. P. C. Greenlees, <i>Commutative algebra in group cohomology</i> , J. Pure Appl. Algebra 98 (1995), no. 2, 151–162, DOI 10.1016/0022-4049(94)00040-P. MR1319967
[GM95]	J. P. C. Greenlees and J. P. May, <i>Generalized Tate cohomology</i> , Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 113 (1995), no. 543, viii+178, DOI 10.1090/memo/0543. MR1230773
[GM74]	V. K. A. M. Gugenheim and J. P. May, On the theory and applications of differential torsion products, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 142, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1974. MR0394720
[Hel17]	G. O. Helle, <i>Pairings and convergence of spectral sequences</i> (2017). Master's Thesis, Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo.
[HR19]	G. O. Helle and J. Rognes, Boardman's whole-plane obstruction group for Cartan- Eilenberg systems, Doc. Math. 24 (2019), 1855–1878. MR4369361
[Hes96]	L. Hesselholt, On the p-typical curves in Quillen's K-theory, Acta Math. 177 (1996), no. 1, 1–53, DOI 10.1007/BF02392597. MR1417085

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[Hes18]	L. Hesselholt, <i>Topological Hochschild homology and the Hasse-Weil zeta function</i> , An alpine bouquet of algebraic topology, Contemp. Math., vol. 708, Amer. Math. Soc., [Providence], BL 2018, pp. 157–180, DOI 10.1090/conm/708/14264, MB3807755
[HM03]	L. Hesselholt and I. Madsen, On the K-theory of local fields, Ann. of Math. (2) 158 (2003), no. 1, 1–113, DOI 10.4007/annals.2003.158.1. MR1998478
[LMSM86]	L. G. Lewis Jr., J. P. May, M. Steinberger, and J. E. McClure, <i>Equivariant stable homotopy theory</i> , Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1213, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. With contributions by J. E. McClure, DOI 10.1007/BFb0075778. MR866482
[LNR12]	S. Lunøe-Nielsen and J. Rognes, <i>The topological Singer construction</i> , Doc. Math. 17 (2012), 861–909. MR3007679
[ML95]	S. Mac Lane, <i>Homology</i> , Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. Reprint of the 1975 edition. MR1344215
[MM02]	M. A. Mandell and J. P. May, Equivariant orthogonal spectra and S-modules, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 159 (2002), no. 755, x+108, DOI 10.1090/memo/0755. MR1922205
[Mas52]	W. S. Massey, <i>Exact couples in algebraic topology. I, II</i> , Ann. of Math. (2) 56 (1952), 363–396, DOI 10.2307/1969805. MR52770
[Mau63]	C. R. F. Maunder, The spectral sequence of an extraordinary cohomology theory, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 59 (1963), 567–574, DOI 10.1017/s0305004100037245. MR150765
[May72]	J. P. May, <i>The geometry of iterated loop spaces</i> , Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 271, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972. MR0420610
[May75]	J. P. May, Classifying spaces and fibrations, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. ${\bf 1}$ (1975), no. 1, 155, xiii+98, DOI 10.1090/memo/0155. MR370579
[McC01]	J. McCleary, A user's guide to spectral sequences, 2nd ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 58, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001. MR1793722
[Mil56]	J. Milnor, <i>Construction of universal bundles. II</i> , Ann. of Math. (2) 63 (1956), 430–436, DOI 10.2307/1970012. MR77932
[Mos68]	R. E. Mosher, Some stable homotopy of complex projective space, Topology 7 (1968), 179–193, DOI 10.1016/0040-9383(68)90026-8. MR227985
[NS18]	T. Nikolaus and P. Scholze, $On\ topological\ cyclic\ homology,\ Acta\ Math.\ 221\ (2018),$ no. 2, 203–409, DOI 10.4310/ACTA.2018.v221.n2.a1. MR3904731
[Par71]	B. Pareigis, When Hopf algebras are Frobenius algebras, J. Algebra 18 (1971), 588–596, DOI 10.1016/0021-8693(71)90141-4. MR280522
[SV02]	R. Schwänzl and R. M. Vogt, Strong cofibrations and fibrations in enriched categories, Arch. Math. (Basel) 79 (2002), no. 6, 449–462, DOI 10.1007/PL00012472. MR1967263
[Sch18]	S. Schwede, <i>Global homotopy theory</i> , New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 34, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018, DOI 10.1017/9781108349161. MR3838307
[Seg68]	G. Segal, Classifying spaces and spectral sequences, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 34 (1968), 105–112. MR232393
[Sey83]	R. M. Seymour, Some functional constructions on G-spaces, Bull. London Math. Soc. 15 (1983), no. 4, 353–359, DOI 10.1112/blms/15.4.353. MR703760
[Tat52]	 J. 1ate, The higher aimensional cohomology groups of class field theory, Ann. of Math. (2) 56 (1952), 294–297, DOI 10.2307/1969801. MR49950 W. Theorem The human during them have the structure of the Northwart and the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the structu
[1 NO83]	R. w. 1 nomason, <i>The homotopy limit problem</i> , Proceedings of the Northwestern Ho- motopy Theory Conference (Evanston, Ill., 1982), Contemp. Math., vol. 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1983, pp. 407–419. MR711065

Index

abutment multiplicative, 61, 79 of exact couple, 57 algebra spectral sequence, 59 bar complex, 26 bar construction, 25, 85 bar resolution, 27 bar spectral sequence, 51, 91 Boardman's whole plane obstruction group, 124boundary, in chain complex, 15 Cartan-Eilenberg system, 56 associated to a sequence, 62 extended, 56 finite, 56 chain complex, 15 chain map, 15 circle object, 17 coinduction, 13 complete Ext, 3, 4, 18, 27, 112 of circle group \mathbb{T} , 46 complete G-universe, 47 complete resolution, 1, 21, 27 conditional convergence, 63 conjugate action, 12 convolution product, 79 crystalline cohomology, 1 cup product, 97 on Ext, 5, 28 on complete Ext, 5, 28–32, 115 Day convolution, 79 degree filtration, 57, 64 homological, 16 internal, 16, 64 total, 16, 56, 64 diagonal action, 12 diagonal approximation, 86, 100 diagonal map, 86 equivariant homotopy groups, 47-49 evaluation pairing, 14, 16

filtration, 65 finite type, 22 fold map, 28, 41, 102, 105 forgetful functor, 12 functional dual of module over Hopf algebra, 14 of spectrum, 118 functorial G-CW replacement, 66 Greenlees filtration, 7 Greenlees-May filtration, 9, 116-123 multiplicative, 117 Greenlees-May-Tate spectral sequence, 116 conditional convergence, 123 for the circle group \mathbb{T} , 127 strong convergence, 125 h-cofibration, 64, 130 h-fibration, 64 Hesselholt-Madsen filtration, 8, 108-110 Hesselholt-Madsen-Tate spectral sequence, 109conditional convergence, 8, 130 for the circle group \mathbb{T} , 127 multiplicative, 113 strong convergence, 9, 125 homotopy extension property, 64 homotopy fixed point spectral sequence, 85 - 100multiplicative, 90 pairing, 89, 97 homotopy fixed points, 2, 87 homotopy lifting property, 64 homotopy orbits, 2 Hopf algebra, 11, 27, 49-52 cocommutative, 11 Hopf fibration, 51 Hurewicz cofibration, 64 Hurewicz fibration, 64 \mathcal{I} -system, 56 image filtration, 57, 66 induction, 12

133

internal Hom

INDEX

of chain complexes, 16 of modules over Hopf algebra, 12 interval object, 17 left couple, 57, 63 Leibniz rule, 7, 59, 78 mapping cone of chain map, 17 mapping cylinder, 66 Milnor's infinite join construction, 100 module, over Hopf algebra, 11-15, 50 normalised bar complex, 26 normalised bar resolution, 27, 91 odd spheres filtration, 99, 121 orthogonal G-spectrum, 47-49pairing of Cartan-Eilenberg systems, 6, 59, 78 of equivariant homotopy groups, 48 of finite Cartan-Eilenberg systems, 58 of sequences, 6, 66, 78 of spectral sequences, 6, 59, 78 periodic topological cyclic homology, 1 pushout-product map, 65 q-cofibration, 65 Quillen cofibration, 65 r-boundaries, 57 r-cycles, 57 restriction homomorphism, 48, 52-54 sequence, 6, 61 multiplicative, 66, 67, 79, 80 smash product, 48 (SP.5), 56 Spanier-Whitehead dual, 118 spectral sequence associated to a Cartan-Eilenberg system, 57associated to a sequence, 6, 64 multiplicative, 59, 79 (SPP I), 58, 67 (SPP II), 58, 71 (SPP III), 60, 67 strong h-cofibration, 64 strong convergence, 61 suspension of a chain complex, 17 Tate cohomology of a finite group, 1 of a Hopf algebra, 4-6, 18, 27 Tate complex, 4, 18 Tate construction, 2, 107 multiplicative, 2 telescope construction, 6, 62, 65-66 tensor product

of chain complexes, 16 of modules over Hopf algebra, 12 topological Hochschild homology, 1, 128

untwisting isomorphism, 13

Whitehead tower, 89, 121

134

Editorial Information

To be published in the *Memoirs*, a paper must be correct, new, nontrivial, and significant. Further, it must be well written and of interest to a substantial number of mathematicians. Piecemeal results, such as an inconclusive step toward an unproved major theorem or a minor variation on a known result, are in general not acceptable for publication.

Papers appearing in *Memoirs* are generally at least 80 and not more than 200 published pages in length. Papers less than 80 or more than 200 published pages require the approval of the Managing Editor of the Transactions/Memoirs Editorial Board. Published pages are the same size as those generated in the style files provided for \mathcal{AMS} -LATEX.

Information on the backlog for this journal can be found on the AMS website starting from http://www.ams.org/memo.

A Consent to Publish is required before we can begin processing your paper. After a paper is accepted for publication, the Providence office will send a Consent to Publish and Copyright Agreement to all authors of the paper. By submitting a paper to the *Memoirs*, authors certify that the results have not been submitted to nor are they under consideration for publication by another journal, conference proceedings, or similar publication.

Information for Authors

Memoirs is an author-prepared publication. Once formatted for print and on-line publication, articles will be published as is with the addition of AMS-prepared frontmatter and backmatter. Articles are not copyedited; however, confirmation copy will be sent to the authors.

Initial submission. The AMS uses Centralized Manuscript Processing for initial submissions. Authors should submit a PDF file using the Initial Manuscript Submission form found at www.ams.org/submission/memo, or send one copy of the manuscript to the following address: Centralized Manuscript Processing, MEMOIRS OF THE AMS, 201 Charles Street, Providence, RI 02904-2294 USA. If a paper copy is being forwarded to the AMS, indicate that it is for *Memoirs* and include the name of the corresponding author, contact information such as email address or mailing address, and the name of an appropriate Editor to review the paper (see the list of Editors below).

The paper must contain a *descriptive title* and an *abstract* that summarizes the article in language suitable for workers in the general field (algebra, analysis, etc.). The *descriptive title* should be short, but informative; useless or vague phrases such as "some remarks about" or "concerning" should be avoided. The *abstract* should be at least one complete sentence, and at most 300 words. Included with the footnotes to the paper should be the 2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification* representing the primary and secondary subjects of the article. The classifications are accessible from www.ams.org/msc/. The Mathematics Subject Classification footnote may be followed by a list of *key words and phrases* describing the subject matter of the article and taken from it. Journal abbreviations used in bibliographies are listed in the latest *Mathematical Reviews* annual index. The series abbreviations are also accessible from www.ams.org/msnhtml/serials.pdf. To help in preparing and verifying references, the AMS offers MR Lookup, a Reference Tool for Linking, at www.ams.org/mrlookup/.

Electronically prepared manuscripts. The AMS encourages electronically prepared manuscripts, with a strong preference for $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$ -IATEX. To this end, the Society has prepared $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$ -IATEX author packages for each AMS publication. Author packages include instructions for preparing electronic manuscripts, samples, and a style file that generates the particular design specifications of that publication series.

Authors may retrieve an author package for *Memoirs of the AMS* from www.ams.org/ journals/memo/memoauthorpac.html. The *AMS Author Handbook* is available in PDF format from the author package link. The author package can also be obtained free of charge by sending email to tech-support@ams.org or from the Publication Division, American Mathematical Society, 201 Charles St., Providence, RI 02904-2294, USA. When requesting an author package, please specify the publication in which your paper will appear. Please be sure to include your complete mailing address.

After acceptance. The source files for the final version of the electronic manuscript should be sent to the Providence office immediately after the paper has been accepted for publication. The author should also submit a PDF of the final version of the paper to the editor, who will forward a copy to the Providence office.

Accepted electronically prepared files can be submitted via the web at www.ams.org/ submit-book-journal/, sent via FTP, or sent on CD to the Electronic Prepress Department, American Mathematical Society, 201 Charles Street, Providence, RI 02904-2294 USA. T_EX source files and graphic files can be transferred over the Internet by FTP to the Internet node ftp.ams.org (130.44.1.100). When sending a manuscript electronically via CD, please be sure to include a message indicating that the paper is for the *Memoirs*.

Electronic graphics. Comprehensive instructions on preparing graphics are available at www.ams.org/authors/journals.html. A few of the major requirements are given here.

Submit files for graphics as EPS (Encapsulated PostScript) files. This includes graphics originated via a graphics application as well as scanned photographs or other computergenerated images. If this is not possible, TIFF files are acceptable as long as they can be opened in Adobe Photoshop or Illustrator.

Authors using graphics packages for the creation of electronic art should also avoid the use of any lines thinner than 0.5 points in width. Many graphics packages allow the user to specify a "hairline" for a very thin line. Hairlines often look acceptable when proofed on a typical laser printer. However, when produced on a high-resolution laser imagesetter, hairlines become nearly invisible and will be lost entirely in the final printing process.

Screens should be set to values between 15% and 85%. Screens which fall outside of this range are too light or too dark to print correctly. Variations of screens within a graphic should be no less than 10%.

Any graphics created in color will be rendered in grayscale for the printed version unless color printing is authorized by the Managing Editor and the Publisher. In general, color graphics will appear in color in the online version.

Inquiries. Any inquiries concerning a paper that has been accepted for publication should be sent to memo-query@ams.org or directly to the Electronic Prepress Department, American Mathematical Society, 201 Charles St., Providence, RI 02904-2294 USA.

Editors

This journal is designed particularly for long research papers, normally at least 80 pages in length, and groups of cognate papers in pure and applied mathematics. Papers intended for publication in the *Memoirs* should be addressed to one of the following editors. The AMS uses Centralized Manuscript Processing for initial submissions to AMS journals. Authors should follow instructions listed on the Initial Submission page found at www.ams. org/memo/memosubmit.html.

Managing Editor: Dan Abramovich Department of Mathematics, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912 USA; e-mail: Dan_Abramovich+TAMS@brown.edu

1. GEOMETRY, TOPOLOGY & LOGIC

Coordinating Editor: Richard Canary, Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1043 USA; e-mail: canary@umich.edu

Algebraic topology, Craig Westerland, School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, 206 Church St. SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455 USA; e-mail: cwesterl@umn.edu

Geometric topology, Jennifer Hom, School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, 686 Cherry Street, Atlanta, GA 30332 USA; e-mail: jhom@@gatech.edu

Homotopy theory, higher category theory, and geometric applications, Clark Barwick, School of Mathematics, University of Edinburgh, James Clerk Maxwell Building, Peter Guthrie Tait Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3FD, United Kingdom; e-mail: clarkbarAMS@pm.me

Logic, Mariya Ivanova Soskova, Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706 USA; e-mail: msoskova@math.wisc. edu

Low-dimensional topology and geometric structures, Richard Canary

Symplectic geometry, Yael Karshon, School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel-Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel; and Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 2E4, Canada; e-mail: karshon@math.toronto.edu

2. ALGEBRA AND NUMBER THEORY

Coordinating Editor: Henri Darmon, Department of Mathematics, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec H3A 0G4, Canada; e-mail: darmon@math.mcgill.ca

Algebra, algebraic and arithmetic geometry, Daniel Krashen, 3E6 David Rittenhouse Lab., University of Pennsylvania, Department of Mathematics, 209 South 33rd Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104 USA; e-mail: daniel.krashen@gmail.com

Algebraic geometry, Dan Abramovich, Department of Mathematics, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912 USA; e-mail: Dan_bramovich+TAMS0 brown.edu

Analytic number theory, Lillian B. Pierce, Department of Mathematics, Duke University, 120 Science Drive Box 90320, Durham, NC 27708 USA; e-mail: pierce@math.duke.edu

Associative rings and algebras, category theory, homological algebra, group theory and generalizations, Daniel Nakano, Department of Mathematics, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602 USA; e-mail: nakano@uga.edu

Commutative algebra, Irena Peeva, Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 USA; e-mail: irena@math.cornell.edu

Number theory, Henri Darmon

Representation theory and group theory, Radha Kessar, Department of Mathematics, The Alan Turing Building, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL United Kingdom; e-mail: radha.kessar@manchester.ac.uk

 GEOMETRIC ANALYSIS & PDE Coordinating Editor: Joachim Krieger, Bâtiment de Mathématiques, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Station 8, 1015 Lausanne Vaud, Switzerland; e-mail: joachim.krieger@epfl.ch

Calculus of variations, geometric measure theory, elliptic PDEs, Francesco Maggi, Department of Mathematics, The University of Texas at Austin, 2515 Speedway, Stop C1200, Austin TX 78712-1202 USA; e-mail: maggi@math.utexas.edu

Elliptic and parabolic PDEs, geometric analysis, Ben Weinkove, Mathematics Department, Northwestern University, 2033 Sheridan Rd, Evanston, IL 60201 USA; e-mail: weinkove@math. northwestern.edu

Elliptic PDEs, geometric analysis, Eugenia Malinnikova, Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305 USA; e-mail: eugeniam@stanford.edu

Harmonic analysis and partial differential equations, Monica Visan, Department of Mathematics, University of California Los Angeles, 520 Portola Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90095 USA; e-mail: visan@math.ucla.edu

Nonlinear Fourier and harmonic analysis and partial differential equations, Andrea R. Nahmod, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Massachusetts Amherst, 710 N. Pleasant St. Lederle GRT, Amherst, MA 01003 USA; e-mail: nahmod@umass.edu

Real analysis and partial differential equations, Joachim Krieger

Riemannian geometry, metric geometry, mathematical general relativity, and geometric measure theory, Christina Sormani, Lehman College and CUNY Graduate Center, 250 Bedford Park Boulevard West, Bronx, NY 10468 USA; e-mail: sormanic@gmail.com

4. ANALYSIS, DYNAMICS, PROBABILITY & COM-BINATORICS

Coordinating Editor: Jim Haglund, Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104 USA; e-mail: jhaglund@math.upenn.edu Coordinating Editor: Davar Khoshnevisan, Department of Mathematics, The University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112 USA; e-mail: davar@math.utah. edu

Algebraic and enumerative combinatorics, Jim Haglund

Analysis, probability and ergodic theory, Tim Austin, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095 USA; e-mail: tim@math.ucla.edu

Combinatorics, Jacob Fox, Department of Mathematics, 450 Jane Stanford Way, Building 380, Stanford, CA 94305 USA; e-mail: jacobfox@stanford.edu

Ergodic theory and dynamical systems, Krzysztof Frączek, Faculty of Math and Computer Science, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Ul. Chopina 12/18 87-100 Toruń, Poland; e-mail: fraczek@mat.umk.pl

Ergodic theory, applications to combinatorics and number theory, Nikos Frantzikinakis, University of Crete, Rethymno, Crete, Greece; e-mail: frantzikinakis@gmail.com

Probability theory, Robin Pemantle, Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania, 209 S. 33rd Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104 USA; e-mail: pemantle@math.upenn.edu

5. ANALYSIS, LIE THEORY & PROBABILITY

Functional analysis, groups and operator algebras, analysis in quantum information theory, Magdalena Musat, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark; e-mail: musat@math. ku.dk

Operator algebras and ergodic theory, David Kerr, Mathematisches Institut, University of Münster, Einsteinstrasse 62, 48149 Münster, Germany; e-mail: kerrd@uni-muenster.de

Probability theory and stochastic analysis, Davar Khoshnevisan
SELECTED PUBLISHED TITLES IN THIS SERIES

- 1463 You Qi and Joshua Sussan, p-DG Cyclotomic nilHecke Algebras II, 2024
- 1462 Mikhail Khovanov, You Qi, and Joshua Sussan, *p*-DG Cyclotomic nilHecke Algebras, 2024
- 1461 James Gabe, Classification of \mathcal{O}_{∞} -Stable C*-Algebras, 2024
- 1460 **Erik Bates**, Empirical Measures, Geodesic Lengths, and a Variational Formula in First-Passage Percolation, 2024
- 1459 Jonathan Brundan and Catharina Stroppel, Semi-Infinite Highest Weight Categories, 2024
- 1458 Siddhant Agrawal, Angled Crested Like Water Waves with Surface Tension II: Zero Surface Tension Limit, 2024
- 1457 Paul-Elliot Anglès d'Auriac, Peter A. Cholak, Damir D. Dzhafarov, Benoît Monin, and Ludovic Patey, Milliken's Tree Theorem and Its Applications: A Computability-Theoretic Perspective, 2024
- 1456 Paul Gartside and Max Pitz, Eulerian Spaces, 2023
- 1455 Francisco Gancedo, Eduardo García-Juárez, Neel Patel, and Robert M. Strain, Global Regularity for Gravity Unstable Muskat Bubbles, 2023
- 1454 Michael Handel and Lee Mosher, Hyperbolic Actions and 2nd Bounded Cohomology of Subgroups of $Out(F_n)$, 2023
- 1453 **Yang Li**, SYZ Geometry for Calabi-Yau 3-folds: Taub-NUT and Ooguri-Vafa Type Metrics, 2023
- 1452 Chris Parker, Gerald Pientka, Andreas Seidel, and Gernot Stroth, Finite Groups Which Are Almost Groups of Lie Type in Characteristic **p**, 2023
- 1451 Gili Golan Polak, The Generation Problem in Thompson Group F, 2023
- 1450 Mathias Pétréolle, Alan D. Sokal, and Bao-Xuan Zhu, Lattice Paths and Branched Continued Fractions: An Infinite Sequence of Generalizations of the Stieltjes–Rogers and Thron–Rogers Polynomials, with Coefficientwise Hankel-Total Positivity, 2023
- 1449 **Quoc-Hung Nguyen**, Potential Estimates and Quasilinear Parabolic Equations with Measure Data, 2023
- 1448 S. Launois, T. H. Lenagan, and B. M. Nolan, Total Positivity is a Quantum Phenomenon: The Grassmannian Case, 2023
- 1447 Andrew Lawrie, Jonas Lührmann, Sung-Jin Oh, and Sohrab Shahshahani, Local Smoothing Estimates for Schrödinger Equations on Hyperbolic Space, 2023
- 1446 Camillo De Lellis, Guido De Philippis, Jonas Hirsch, and Annalisa Massaccesi, On the Boundary Behavior of Mass-Minimizing Integral Currents, 2023
- 1445 K. R. Goodearl and M. T. Yakimov, Cluster Algebra Structures on Poisson Nilpotent Algebras, 2023
- 1444 Zev Rosengarten, Tate Duality in Positive Dimension over Function Fields, 2023
- 1443 **Karl-Theodor Sturm**, The Space of Spaces: Curvature Bounds and Gradient Flows on the Space of Metric Measure Spaces, 2023
- 1442 Christophe Breuil and Yiwen Ding, Sur un Problème de Compatibilité Local-Global Localement Analytique, 2023
- 1441 A. Stingo, Global Existence of Small Amplitude Solutions for a Model Quadratic Quasilinear Coupled Wave-Klein-Gordon System in Two Space Dimension, with Mildly Decaying Cauchy Data, 2023
- 1440 **Olivier Bernardi, Nina Holden, and Xin Sun**, Percolation on Triangulations: A Bijective Path to Liouville Quantum Gravity, 2023

For a complete list of titles in this series, visit the AMS Bookstore at www.ams.org/bookstore/memoseries/.



