The Kervaire Invariant

John Jones

Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick

14 June 2010

John Jones The Kervaire Invariant

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

E 900

Abstract

- Just over a year ago Hill, Hopkins and Ravenel announced that they have a solution to the Kervaire invariant one problem – the only dimensions in which there are framed manifolds with Kervaire invariant one are 2, 6, 14, 30, 62 and possibly 126.
- The hunt to find examples in these six special cases has begun!
- Summarize what is known about constructing examples.
- Bokstedt's approach involving exceptional Lie groups in an essential way.
- There is a relation with the (differential) geometry of the Gromoll Meyer sphere.

ヘロン 人間 とくほど くほとう

Start with the complex polynomial

$$f_d(z_1,\ldots,z_{d+1}) = z_1^2 + \cdots + z_d^2 + z_{d+1}^3$$

The Kervaire sphere is the link of the singular point of f_{2n+1}

$$K^{4n+1} = f_{2n+1}^{-1}(0) \cap S^{4n+3} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+2}$$

- We know that K^{4n+1} is homeomorphic to S^{4n+1} .
- Problem: When is K^{4n+1} diffeomorphic to S^{4n+1} .
- Answer: When 4n + 1 = 1, 5, 13, 29, 61 and possibly 125

The Kervaire Invariant

- A framing of a manifold *M* is an isomorphism *F* of the stable normal bundle of *M* with a trivial bundle.
- Suppose the dimension of *M* is 2*n*. Use a framing *F* to construct a quadratic function

$$q = q_F : H^n(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

$$q(x + y) = q(x) + q(y) + \langle x, y \rangle$$

where $\langle x, y \rangle$ is the mod 2 intersection number of x and y.

- Since q is quadratic $|q^{-1}(1)| \neq |q^{-1}(0)|$
- q has a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ invariant (its Arf invariant)

$$A(q) = 1 \Longleftrightarrow |q^{-1}(1)| > |q^{-1}(0)|.$$

- The Kervaire invariant K(M, F) is the Arf invariant of q_F .
- The Kervaire invariant in dimensions 4k + 2 can be thought of as the analogue of the signature in dimensions 4k.

The Kervaire Invariant

- K^{4n+1} is the boundary of a framed 4n + 2 manifold P_0^{4n+2} .
- If K^{4n+1} is diffeomorphic to S^{4n+1} we can glue a disc onto P_0^{4n+2} to form a smooth manifold P^{4n+2} .
- P^{4n+2} can be framed and there is a framing F of P^{4n+2} such that $K(P^{4n+2}, F) = 1$
- Kervaire goes on to prove that

$$K(M^{10}, F) = 0$$
, for all (M^{10}, F)

- It follows that K^9 cannot be diffeomorphic to S^9 .
- Problem: In what dimensions is the Kervaire invariant of framed manifolds non-zero.
- Answer: It is zero except in dimensions 2, 6, 14, 30, 62 and possibly 126.

◆□ → ◆ 三 → ◆ 三 → ◆ ○ ◆

Browder plus Hill, Hopkins, and Ravenel

- Browder (1969): The Kervaire invariant of framed manifolds is zero except in dimensions of the form $2^{k+1} 2$.
- Browder (continued): There is a framed manifold with Kervaire invariant one in dimension 2^{k+1} – 2 if and only if h²_k in the E₂-term of the classical mod 2 Adams spectral sequence is an infinite cycle.
- Hill, Hopkins and Ravenel (2009): *h*²_k is not an infinite cycle if k ≥ 7

・ 通 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- *h*²₁, *h*²₂ and *h*²₃ are infinite cycles this follows from the existence of elements of Hopf invariant one.
- h₄² is an infinite cycle this is due to Barratt, Mahowald, and Tangora (1970)
- h²₅ is an infinite cycle this is due to Barratt, Jones and Mahowald (1983)
- It is not known whether h_6^2 is an infinite cycle or not

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{S}^1 \times \mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_1) = 1$$

where F_1 is the complex framing of S^1

$$K(S^3 \times S^3, F_3 \times F_3) = 1$$

where F_3 is the quaternionic framing of S^3

$$K(S^7 \times S^7, F_7 \times F_7) = 1$$

where F_7 is the octonionic framing of S^7

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶

æ

Dimension 30

- The dihedral group D₈ acts freely on a closed orientable surface Y² of genus 5 with quotient RP² + (S¹ × S¹).
- Via its usual permutation representation in Σ₄ it also acts on (S⁷)⁴.
- Now form

$$M^{30} = Y^2 \times_{D_8} (S^7 \times S^7 \times S^7 \times S^7).$$

- Any framing of S^7 induces a framing of M^{30} .
- Let *F* be the framing of M^{30} induced by the octonionic framing of S^7 . Then

$$K(M,F)=1.$$

- This seems to be the only known explicit example in dimension 30.
- There is no explicit example in dimension 62.

• We can consider 62 dimensional manifolds of the form

$$M^{62} = Y^6 imes_G (S^7)^8$$

where *G* is a subgroup of Σ_8 .

- We can choose Y⁶ and G so that framings of S⁷ induce framings of M⁶²
- However if we equip such a manifold with a framing induced by a framing of S⁷ then it has Kervaire invariant zero.

A second attempt

- We could try to replace $S^7 \times S^7$ by a 30 dimensional framed manifold *P* with Kervaire invariant one and an involution.
- The involution gives an action of D₈ on P × P and we can form

 $Y^2 imes_{D_8} (P imes P)$

where Y^2 is the surface of genus 5 used in the 30 dimensional example.

- It is not true that any framing of *P* induces a framing of *M*.
- If *F* is a framing of *P* which does induce a framing of $Y^2 \times_{D_8} (P \times P)$, then K(P, F) = 0.

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

Bokstedt

• We have the following list of six special examples of homogeneous spaces with dimensions 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128

 $U(3)/(U(2) \times U(1))$ $Sp(3)/(Sp(2) \times Sp(1))$

 $F_4/Spin(9)$ $E_6/((Spin(10) \times U(1))/\mathbb{Z}_4)$

 $E_7/((Spin(12) \times Sp(1))/\mathbb{Z}_2) = E_8/((Spin(16)/\mathbb{Z}_2))$

- The first three are CP^2 , HP^2 and OP^2 .
- The last three are sometimes called the projective planes of the bio-ctonions C ⊗ O, the quater-octonions H ⊗ O and the octo-octonions O ⊗ O
- In each case there is a middle dimensional cohomology class u such that u² is the fundamental class in the top dimensional cohomology.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Some Homotopy Theory

• Let X be a space and

$$f: S^{2n+m} \to X, \quad g: X \to S^m$$

be two maps. Form the mapping cones

$$Y = X \cup_f D^{2n+m+1}, \quad Z = S^m \cup_f C(X).$$

• Assume *f* and *g* are both zero in mod 2 cohomology. Then there are isomorphisms

$$H^j(Y)
ightarrow H^j(X)
ightarrow H^{j+1}(Z) \quad ext{for } m < j < 2n+m+1$$

- *a* ∈ *H^m*(*Z*) is the mod 2 cohomology class corresponding to *S^m*
- b ∈ H^{2n+m+1}(Y) is the cohomology class corresponding to the 2n + m + 1 disc
- $\phi: H^{j}(Y) \to H^{j+1}(Z)$ is the above isomorphism

• Are there triples (*X*, *f*, *g*) as above such that

$$Sq^{n+1}(a) = \phi(x), \quad Sq^{n+1}(x) = b$$

- Easy to show that if so n + 1 must be of the form 2^k
- When $n + 1 = 2^k$ such a triple exists if and only if h_k^2 is an infinite cycle.
- When k = 1, 2, 3 then by Hopf invariant one we can take X to be the sphere S^{2n-1} .
- When k = 4 there are examples where X has two cells.
- When k = 5 in the only known example X has 9 cells

Back to Bokstedt

- *P* is the homogeneous space and $2n + 2 = 2^{k+1}$ is its dimension.
- X is the 2n + 1 skeleton of P and $f : S^{2n+1} \rightarrow X$ is the attaching map of the 2n + 2 cell.
- Now suppose X is (stably 2n + 2 Spanier Whitehead) self dual. Then for some (large) m we can form the triple

$$\Sigma^{m-1}f: S^{2n+m} \to \Sigma^{m-1}X, \quad g: \Sigma^{m-1}X \to S^m$$

where g is the appropriate Spanier Whitehead dual of f.

 This triple then satisfies the conditions required to show that h²_k is an infinite cycle.

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

• In the first three cases X is

$$S^2 = CP^1, \quad S^4 = HP^1, \quad S^8 = OP^1$$

so it is self dual.

- In the next case X is not self-dual.
- However, by using a combination of Morse theory and some computations in homotopy theory, Bokstedt manages to find a self-dual subcomplex of X and to compress f to this self dual subcomplex.
- It is not known whether this approach can be made to work in dimensions 62 and 126.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

The Gromoll – Meyer sphere following Duran and Puttmann

- The Gromoll Meyer sphere is a Riemannian manifold whose underlying smooth manifold is Milnor's exotic 7 sphere W⁷.
- In other words it is a metric on W⁷.
- We can identify W^7 with the subspace of \mathbb{C}^5 defined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} & z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 + z_4^3 + z_5^5 = 0 \\ & |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^{"2} + |z_3|^2 + |z_4|^2 + |z_5|^2 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

- Notice that it contains the Kervaire 5 sphere.
- It is a quotient of a free S³ action on SP(2) and this defines the metric.

The Gromoll – Meyer sphere

- S⁷ is a quotient of a (different) S³ action on Sp(2) and this quotient also defines the usual metric on S⁷.
- Let $\pi_S : Sp(2) \to S^7$, and $\pi_W : Sp(2) \to W^7$ be the two projections.
- It is not true in general that fibres of π_S are fibres of π_W.
 However if a fibre of π_S contains a matrix A whose entries are real then it is also a fibre of π_W.
- Choose a real matrix $A \in Sp(2)$ with determinant 1.
- Let $Q \in S^7$ be the point $\pi_S(A)$ and let γ be a great circle in S^7 passing through Q.
- Duran and Puttmann show how to lift γ to a smooth (not necessarily closed) curve γ̃ ∈ Sp(2) such that π_Wγ̃ is a geodesic in W which starts and ends at the point π_W(A).
- However the closed curve $\pi_W \tilde{\gamma}$ is not smooth.

(個) (日) (日) 日

- From these geometric facts Duran and Puttmann construct an explicit homeomorphism of S^7 with W^7 which is smooth in the complement of a point.
- This diffeomorphism maps a copy of S⁵ contained in S⁷ diffeomorphically onto the Kervaire sphere K⁵ ⊂ W⁷.
- They then write down a formula for this diffeomorphism which uses quaternionic multiplication.
- Their formula with quaternionic multiplication replaced by octonionic multiplication gives a diffeomorphism of S^{13} with Σ^{13}
- Their diffeomorphism is *G*₂ invariant.

・ 通 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

One final point

- Using the general theory of Browder and Brown it is possible to define a quadratic form q on $H^n(M^{2n})$ using a weaker structure than a framing.
- However, this quadratic form may not be defined for all values of *n*.
- When it is defined it will in general take values in \mathbb{Z}_4 and quadratic will mean

$$q(x+y) = q(x) + q(y) + 2\langle x, y \rangle.$$

- This Z₄ valued quadratic from has a generalized Kervaire invariant B(q) ∈ Z₈.
- If *q* takes values in {0,2} ⊂ Z₄ we can identify *q* with a Z₂ valued quadratic form.
- In this case B(q) ∈ {0,4} ⊂ Z₈ and B(q) ≠ 0 if and only if the Arf invariant of the corresponding Z₂ valued quadratic form is non-zero

Codimension 1 immersions

- For example this more general theory applies if the manifold *M* comes equipped with an isomorphism of *TM* ⊕ *L* with the trivial bundle where *L* is a line bundle. If *L* is trivial this is the same as a framing.
- Geometrically such a structure corresponds (via Smale's immersion theory) to an immersion of *M* in codimension 1.
- In this context the invariant is defined in all the dimensions $2^{k+1} 2$ and it is non-zero in all these dimensions.
- In fact in dimensions 2, 6 this generalized Kervaire invariant can take any value in Z₈. In the other dimnsions of the form 2^{k+1} − 2 it can take any values in {0,2,4,6} ⊂ Z₈.

▶ ★ 同 ▶ ★ 回 ▶ ★ 回 ▶ → 回

Oriented codimension 2 immersions

- The more general theory also applies if the manifold M comes equipped with an isomorphism of $TM \oplus P$ with the trivial bundle where P is an oriented 2-plane bundle.
- This time immersion theory shows that this structure corresponds to an orientation of *M* and an oriented immersion in codimension 2.
- The quadratic form is defined for all dimensions of the form $2^{k+1} 2$
- In these dimensions the quadratic from is always Z₂ valued so the invariant is the Kervaire invariant of a Z₂ valued quadratic form.
- In each dimension of the form 2^{k+1} 2 there is an oriented codimension 2 immersion with Kervaire invariant one.

(日本) (日本) (日本)