The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill University of Virginia Mike Hopkins Harvard University Doug Ravenel University of Rochester





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill University of Virginia Mike Hopkins Harvard University Doug Ravenel University of Rochester



Banff Workshop on Algebraic K-Theory and Equivariant Homotopy Theory February 16, 2012

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem is still open.



The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem is still open.

We have a program for solving it similar to what we did for p = 2.



The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem is still open.

We have a program for solving it similar to what we did for p = 2.



We are missing a crucial ingredient.



The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill



Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem is still open.

?

We have a program for solving it similar to what we did for p = 2.



We are missing a crucial ingredient.

Maybe you can find it!







Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Defining the problem

The Arf-Kervaire invariant problem for a prime p is to determine the fate of the elements

$$\theta_j = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} h_j^2 & \text{for } p = 2\\ b_{j-1} & \text{for } p > 2 \end{array} \right\} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{2,2p^j(p-1)}\left(\mathbf{Z}/p,\mathbf{Z}/p\right) \quad (1)$$

where A denotes the mod p Steenrod algebra.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel The main point of this talk Introduction Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group Difficulties at p = 3What might happen Entering Fantasyland Two spectral sequences Norming up to C_{q} A possible Gap Theorem

Defining the problem

The Arf-Kervaire invariant problem for a prime p is to determine the fate of the elements

$$\theta_{j} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} h_{j}^{2} & \text{for } \boldsymbol{p} = 2\\ b_{j-1} & \text{for } \boldsymbol{p} > 2 \end{array} \right\} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2,2p^{j}(\boldsymbol{p}-1)}\left(\mathbf{Z}/\boldsymbol{p},\mathbf{Z}/\boldsymbol{p}\right) \quad (1)$$

where *A* denotes the mod *p* Steenrod algebra. This Ext group is the E_2 -term for the classical Adams spectral sequence converging to the *p*-component of the stable homotopy groups of spheres.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem

The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Defining the problem

The Arf-Kervaire invariant problem for a prime p is to determine the fate of the elements

$$\theta_j = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} h_j^2 & \text{for } p = 2\\ b_{j-1} & \text{for } p > 2 \end{array} \right\} \in \operatorname{Ext}_A^{2,2p^j(p-1)}\left(\mathbf{Z}/p, \mathbf{Z}/p\right) \quad (1)$$

where A denotes the mod p Steenrod algebra. This Ext group is the E_2 -term for the classical Adams spectral sequence converging to the *p*-component of the stable homotopy groups of spheres.



Frank Adams 1930–1989

In these bidegrees the groups are known to be isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}/p in each case, generated by these elements.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem



Entering Fantasyland Two spectral sequences

Norming up to C₉ A possible Gap Theorem



Bill Browder

Browder's Theorem of 1969 states that for p = 2, h_j^2 is a permanent cycle in the Adams spectral sequence if and only if there is a framed manifold with nontrivial Kervaire invariant manifold in dimension $2^{j+1}-2$.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem

The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen



Bill Browder

Browder's Theorem of 1969 states that for p = 2, h_j^2 is a permanent cycle in the Adams spectral sequence if and only if there is a framed manifold with nontrivial Kervaire invariant manifold in dimension $2^{j+1}-2$. Such manifolds are known to exist for $1 \le j \le 5$. The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill

> Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel

The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem

The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen



Bill Browder

Browder's Theorem of 1969 states that for p = 2, h_j^2 is a permanent cycle in the Adams spectral sequence if and only if there is a framed manifold with nontrivial Kervaire invariant manifold in dimension $2^{j+1}-2$. Such manifolds are known to exist for $1 \le j \le 5$.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem

The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Entering Fantasyland Two spectral sequences Norming up to *C*₉ A possible Gap Theorem

We recently showed that for p = 2, θ_j does not exist for $j \ge 7$.



Bill Browder

We recently showed that for p = 2, θ_j does not exist for $j \ge 7$. The case j = 6 remains open.

Browder's Theorem of 1969 states that for p = 2, h_j^2 is a permanent cycle in the Adams spectral sequence if and only if there is a framed manifold with nontrivial Kervaire invariant manifold in dimension $2^{j+1}-2$. Such manifolds are known to exist for $1 \le j \le 5$.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill

Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel

The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem

The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Again, we want to know the fate of the elements

$$\theta_j = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} h_j^2 & \text{for } p = 2\\ b_{j-1} & \text{for } p > 2 \end{array} \right\} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{2,2p^j(p-1)}$$





What might happen

Again, we want to know the fate of the elements

$$\theta_j = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} h_j^2 & \text{for } p = 2\\ b_{j-1} & \text{for } p > 2 \end{array} \right\} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{2,2p^j(p-1)}$$

The corresponding Adams-Novikov group, $\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)}^{2,2p^j(p-1)}$, is more complicated.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill



Introduction

Defining the problem

The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Again, we want to know the fate of the elements

$$\theta_j = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} h_j^2 & \text{for } p = 2\\ b_{j-1} & \text{for } p > 2 \end{array} \right\} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{2,2p^j(p-1)}$$

The corresponding Adams-Novikov group, $\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)}^{2,2p^j(p-1)}$, is more complicated. It is an elementary abelian *p*-group of rank roughly j/2.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill

Mike Hopkins

Doug Ravenel

talk

Introduction

Defining the problem

The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Again, we want to know the fate of the elements

$$\theta_j = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} h_j^2 & \text{for } p = 2\\ b_{j-1} & \text{for } p > 2 \end{array} \right\} \in \operatorname{Ext}_A^{2,2p^j(p-1)}$$

The corresponding Adams-Novikov group, $\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)}^{2,2p^j(p-1)}$, is more complicated. It is an elementary abelian *p*-group of rank roughly *j*/2. The Thom reduction map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)}^{2,2p^i(p-1)} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \operatorname{Ext}_A^{2,2p^i(p-1)}$$

is onto in all but one case, with

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill

Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel

Defining the problem

The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Again, we want to know the fate of the elements

$$\theta_j = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} h_j^2 & \text{for } p = 2\\ b_{j-1} & \text{for } p > 2 \end{array} \right\} \in \operatorname{Ext}_A^{2,2p^j(p-1)}$$

The corresponding Adams-Novikov group, $\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)}^{2,2p^j(p-1)}$, is more complicated. It is an elementary abelian *p*-group of rank roughly *j*/2. The Thom reduction map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)}^{2,2p^i(p-1)} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \operatorname{Ext}_A^{2,2p^i(p-1)}$$

is onto in all but one case, with

$$\widehat{\theta}_{j} = \beta_{p^{j-1}/p^{j-1}} \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } j = 1 \text{ and } p = 2\\ \theta_{j} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem



What might happen

Again, we want to know the fate of the elements

$$\theta_j = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} h_j^2 & \text{for } p = 2\\ b_{j-1} & \text{for } p > 2 \end{array} \right\} \in \operatorname{Ext}_A^{2,2p^j(p-1)}$$

The corresponding Adams-Novikov group, $\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)}^{2,2p^j(p-1)}$, is more complicated. It is an elementary abelian *p*-group of rank roughly *j*/2. The Thom reduction map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)}^{2,2p^{i}(p-1)} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2,2p^{i}(p-1)}$$

is onto in all but one case, with

$$\widehat{\theta}_{j} = \beta_{p^{j-1}/p^{j-1}} \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } j = 1 \text{ and } p = 2\\ \theta_{j} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

A reformulation of the problem is the following:



Introduction

Defining the problem

The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Again, we want to know the fate of the elements

$$\theta_j = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} h_j^2 & \text{for } p = 2\\ b_{j-1} & \text{for } p > 2 \end{array} \right\} \in \operatorname{Ext}_A^{2,2p^j(p-1)}$$

The corresponding Adams-Novikov group, $\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)}^{2,2p^j(p-1)}$, is more complicated. It is an elementary abelian *p*-group of rank roughly *j*/2. The Thom reduction map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)}^{2,2p^{i}(p-1)} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2,2p^{i}(p-1)}$$

is onto in all but one case, with

$$\widehat{\theta}_{j} = \beta_{p^{j-1}/p^{j-1}} \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } j = 1 \text{ and } p = 2\\ \theta_{j} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

A reformulation of the problem is the following:

Is any element of $\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)}^{2,2p^j(p-1)}$ mapping to θ_j a permanent cycle?

Art-Kervaire Invariant problem Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel The main point of this talk Introduction Deling the problem The role of the Moran stabilizer group

The 3-primary

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

There is no known intrepretation of the problem at odd primes in terms of manifolds.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill

Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel

The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem

The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

There is no known intrepretation of the problem at odd primes in terms of manifolds. In the late 70s the third author showed for that for $p \ge 5$, the element θ_j for j > 1 is not a permanent cycle,

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill

Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel

The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem

The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

There is no known intrepretation of the problem at odd primes in terms of manifolds. In the late 70s the third author showed for that for $p \ge 5$, the element θ_j for j > 1 is not a permanent cycle, while θ_1 is a permanent cycle representing

$$\widehat{\theta}_1 = \beta_1 \in \pi_{2p^2 - 2p - 2} S^0.$$

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel

The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem

The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

There is no known intrepretation of the problem at odd primes in terms of manifolds. In the late 70s the third author showed for that for $p \ge 5$, the element θ_j for j > 1 is not a permanent cycle, while θ_1 is a permanent cycle representing

$$\widehat{ heta}_1=eta_1\in\pi_{2p^2-2p-2}S^0$$

Modulo some indeterminacy, there are differentials

$$d_{2p-1}(\widehat{ heta}_j) = h_0 \widehat{ heta}_{j-1}^p$$

where $h_0 \in \operatorname{Ext}_A^{1,2p-1}$ represents $\alpha_1 \in \pi_{2p-3}S^0$.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem



(2)

In order to describe the difficulties at p = 3, we need to recall the methods of of [HHR] for p = 2 and myself for $p \ge 5$.



In order to describe the difficulties at p = 3, we need to recall the methods of of [HHR] for p = 2 and myself for $p \ge 5$. The starting point for $p \ge 5$ is the following result of Toda:



In order to describe the difficulties at p = 3, we need to recall the methods of of [HHR] for p = 2 and myself for $p \ge 5$. The starting point for $p \ge 5$ is the following result of Toda:



Hirosi Toda in 2009 In the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence for an odd prime *p* there is a nontrivial differential

$$d_{2p-1}(\widehat{\theta}_2) = \alpha_1 \widehat{\theta}_1^p.$$

The 3-primary Art-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel

The main point of this talk

Introduction

(3)

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

In order to describe the difficulties at p = 3, we need to recall the methods of of [HHR] for p = 2 and myself for $p \ge 5$. The starting point for $p \ge 5$ is the following result of Toda:



In the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence for an odd prime *p* there is a nontrivial differential

$$\mathcal{Q}_{2p-1}(\widehat{\theta}_2) = \alpha_1 \widehat{\theta}_1^p. \tag{3}$$

We also show that there are relations

Hirosi Toda in 2009

$$\widehat{\theta}_{j}\widehat{\theta}_{2}^{p^{j-1}} = \widehat{\theta}_{j+1}\widehat{\theta}_{1}^{p^{j-1}}.$$
 (4)

Art-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel The main point of this talk

The 3-primary

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

In order to describe the difficulties at p = 3, we need to recall the methods of of [HHR] for p = 2 and myself for p > 5. The starting point for p > 5 is the following result of Toda:



In the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence for an odd prime p there is a nontrivial differential

$$d_{2p-1}(\widehat{\theta}_2) = \alpha_1 \widehat{\theta}_1^p. \tag{3}$$

Hirosi Toda in 2009

We also show that there are relations

$$\widehat{\theta}_{j}\widehat{\theta}_{2}^{p^{j-1}} = \widehat{\theta}_{j+1}\widehat{\theta}_{1}^{p^{j-1}}.$$
 (4)

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel

The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland Two spectral sequences Norming up to C_{0} A possible Gap Theorem

Using (3-4) one can deduce that

 $d_{2p-1}(\widehat{\theta}_i) = \alpha_1 \widehat{\theta}_{i-1}^p$ for all i > 2.

In order to describe the difficulties at p = 3, we need to recall the methods of of [HHR] for p = 2 and myself for $p \ge 5$. The starting point for $p \ge 5$ is the following result of Toda:



In the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence for an odd prime *p* there is a nontrivial differential

$$d_{2p-1}(\widehat{\theta}_2) = \alpha_1 \widehat{\theta}_1^p.$$
(3)

Hirosi Toda in 2009 We also show that there are relations

$$\widehat{\theta}_{j}\widehat{\theta}_{2}^{p^{j-1}} = \widehat{\theta}_{j+1}\widehat{\theta}_{1}^{p^{j-1}}.$$
 (4)

Using (3-4) one can deduce that

 $d_{2p-1}(\widehat{\theta}_j) = \alpha_1 \widehat{\theta}_{j-1}^p$ for all $j \ge 2$.

The hard part is to use chromatic methods to show that these targets are all nontrivial.



The 3-primary

The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3





We now know (but only suspected in the late 70s) that the extended Morava stabilizer group \mathbf{G}_n

Jack Morava

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel The main point of this talk Introduction Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group Difficulties at p = 3What might happen Entering Fantasyland Two spectral sequences Norming up to C_{q} A possible Gap Theorem



Jack Morava

We now know (but only suspected in the late 70s) that the extended Morava stabilizer group \mathbf{G}_n acts on the Morava spectrum E_n in such a way that the homotopy fixed point set $E_n^{h\mathbf{G}_n}$ is $L_{K(n)}S^0$,

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill

Doug Ravenel

Mike Hopkins

The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem

The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen



Jack Morava

We now know (but only suspected in the late 70s) that the extended Morava stabilizer group \mathbf{G}_n acts on the Morava spectrum E_n in such a way that the homotopy fixed point set $E_n^{\mathbf{G}_n}$ is $L_{K(n)}S^0$, the Bousfield localization of the sphere spectrum with respect to the *n*th Morava K-theory.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem

The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen



We now know (but only suspected in the late 70s) that the extended Morava stabilizer group \mathbf{G}_n acts on the Morava spectrum E_n in such a way that the homotopy fixed point set $E_n^{h\mathbf{G}_n}$ is $L_{K(n)}S^0$, the Bousfield localization of the sphere spectrum with respect to the *n*th Morava K-theory.

Jack Morava

This is a corollary of the Hopkins-Miller theorem.



Mike Hopkins



Haynes Miller

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3


group \mathbf{G}_n acts on the Morava spectrum E_n in such a way that the homotopy fixed point set $E_n^{h\mathbf{G}_n}$ is $L_{K(n)}S^0$, the Bousfield localization of the sphere spectrum with respect to the *n*th Morava K-theory.

We now know (but only suspected in the

late 70s) that the extended Morava stabilizer

Jack Morava

This is a corollary of the Hopkins-Miller theorem. For any closed subgroup $H \subset \mathbf{G}_n$ there is a homotopy fixed point spectral sequence

$$H^*(H;\pi_*E_n) \implies \pi_*E_n^{hH}$$



Mike Hopkins



The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill



Two spectral sequences Norming up to C₉ A possible Gap Theorem



Jack Morava

We now know (but only suspected in the late 70s) that the extended Morava stabilizer group \mathbf{G}_n acts on the Morava spectrum E_n in such a way that the homotopy fixed point set $E_n^{h\mathbf{G}_n}$ is $L_{K(n)}S^0$, the Bousfield localization of the sphere spectrum with respect to the *n*th Morava K-theory.

This is a corollary of the Hopkins-Miller theorem. For any closed subgroup $H \subset \mathbf{G}_n$ there is a homotopy fixed point spectral sequence

$$H^*(H; \pi_* E_n) \implies \pi_* E_n^{hH}$$



Mike Hopkins







Entering Fantasyland Two spectral sequences Norming up to C₉ A possible Gap Theorem

which coincides with the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence for E_n^{hH} .



Jack Morava

We now know (but only suspected in the late 70s) that the extended Morava stabilizer group \mathbf{G}_n acts on the Morava spectrum E_n in such a way that the homotopy fixed point set $E_n^{h\mathbf{G}_n}$ is $L_{K(n)}S^0$, the Bousfield localization of the sphere spectrum with respect to the *n*th Morava K-theory.

This is a corollary of the Hopkins-Miller theorem. For any closed subgroup $H \subset \mathbf{G}_n$ there is a homotopy fixed point spectral sequence

$$H^*(H; \pi_* E_n) \implies \pi_* E_n^{hH}$$



Mike Hopkins



which coincides with the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence for E_n^{hH} . One has the expected restriction maps for subgroups.

Art-Kervaire Invariant problem Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel

The 3-primary

Introduction Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

The group \mathbf{G}_n is known to have a subgroup of order p (unique up to conjugacy) when p - 1 divides n.



Doug Ravenel

Difficulties at p = 3

The group G_n is known to have a subgroup of order p (unique up to conjugacy) when p - 1 divides n. This leads to a composite homomorphism, the detection map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_{\rho}; \pi_*E_{\rho-1}) \longrightarrow H^*(C_{\rho}; \mathbf{F}_{\rho^{\rho-1}}[u, u^{-1}])$$



The group G_n is known to have a subgroup of order p (unique up to conjugacy) when p - 1 divides n. This leads to a composite homomorphism, the detection map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_{\rho}; \pi_*E_{\rho-1}) \longrightarrow H^*(C_{\rho}; \mathbf{F}_{\rho^{\rho-1}}[u, u^{-1}])$$

where the second homomorphism is reduction modulo the maximal ideal in $\pi_* E_{p-1}$ and |u| = 2.



The group \mathbf{G}_n is known to have a subgroup of order p (unique up to conjugacy) when p - 1 divides n. This leads to a composite homomorphism, the detection map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_{\rho}; \pi_*E_{\rho-1}) \longrightarrow H^*(C_{\rho}; \mathbf{F}_{\rho^{\rho-1}}[u, u^{-1}])$$

where the second homomorphism is reduction modulo the maximal ideal in $\pi_* E_{p-1}$ and |u| = 2. The action of C_p here is trivial, so the target is a bigraded form of the usual mod p cohomology of C_p .



The group \mathbf{G}_n is known to have a subgroup of order p (unique up to conjugacy) when p - 1 divides n. This leads to a composite homomorphism, the detection map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_{\rho}; \pi_* E_{\rho-1}) \longrightarrow H^*(C_{\rho}; \mathbf{F}_{\rho^{\rho-1}}[u, u^{-1}])$$

where the second homomorphism is reduction modulo the maximal ideal in $\pi_* E_{p-1}$ and |u| = 2. The action of C_p here is trivial, so the target is a bigraded form of the usual mod p cohomology of C_p . For p odd this cohomology is

 $E(\alpha) \otimes P(\beta) \otimes \mathbf{F}_{p^{p-1}}[u, u^{-1}]$

where $\alpha \in H^1$ and $\beta \in H^2$ each have topological degree 0.



Again we have the detection map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_{\rho}; \pi_* E_{\rho-1}) \longrightarrow H^*(C_{\rho}; \mathbf{F}_{\rho^{\rho-1}}[u, u^{-1}])$$
(5)

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill

Mike Hopkins



The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Again we have the detection map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_p; \pi_*E_{p-1}) \longrightarrow H^*(C_p; \mathbf{F}_{p^{p-1}}[u, u^{-1}])$$
(5)

We showed that under this map we have

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \alpha_{1} & \mapsto & \boldsymbol{U}^{\boldsymbol{p}-1}\boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \widehat{\theta}_{j}\beta_{\boldsymbol{p}^{j-1}/\boldsymbol{p}^{j-1}} & \mapsto & \boldsymbol{U}^{\boldsymbol{p}^{j}(\boldsymbol{p}-1)}\beta \end{array}$$

up to unit scalar.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem



What might happen Entering Fantasyland Two spectral sequences Norming up to C₉ A possible Gap Theorem

(6)

Again we have the detection map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_p; \pi_*E_{p-1}) \longrightarrow H^*(C_p; \mathbf{F}_{p^{p-1}}[u, u^{-1}])$$
(5)

We showed that under this map we have

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \alpha_{1} & \mapsto & \boldsymbol{U}^{p-1}\alpha \\ \widehat{\theta}_{j}\beta_{p^{j-1}/p^{j-1}} & \mapsto & \boldsymbol{U}^{p^{j}(p-1)}\beta \end{array}$$

up to unit scalar. Hence all monomials in the $\hat{\theta}_j$ and their products with α_1 have nontrivial images.

Introduction

(6)

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

Again we have the detection map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_p; \pi_*E_{p-1}) \longrightarrow H^*(C_p; \mathbf{F}_{p^{p-1}}[u, u^{-1}])$$
(5)

We showed that under this map we have

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \alpha_{1} & \mapsto & u^{p-1}\alpha \\ \widehat{\theta}_{j}\beta_{p^{j-1}/p^{j-1}} & \mapsto & u^{p^{j}(p-1)}\beta \end{array}$$

up to unit scalar. Hence all monomials in the $\hat{\theta}_j$ and their products with α_1 have nontrivial images. This implies that the differentials

$$d_{2p-1}(\widehat{\theta}_j) = \alpha_1 \widehat{\theta}_{j-1}^p$$

are nontrivial as desired.



Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel
Doug Ravenel
Doug Ravenel
Doug Ravenel
Doug Ravenel
Defining the problem
Defining the problem
The role of the Morava
stabilizer group
Difficulties at
$$p = 3$$

What might happen Entering Fantasyland Two spectral sequences Norming up to C₉ A possible Gap Theorem

(6)

To summarize:



To summarize:

 The existence of an element of order *p* in S_{p-1} leads to the detection map of (5),

$$\widehat{\theta}_{i} \longmapsto u^{p^{i}(p-1)}\beta$$

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invarian problem
Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel
The main point of this talk
Introduction Defining the problem
The role of the Morava stabilizer group
Difficulties at $p = 3$
What might happen Entering Fantasyland Two spectral sequences Norming up to <i>C</i> ₉ A possible Gap Theorem

To summarize:

 The existence of an element of order *p* in S_{p-1} leads to the detection map of (5),

The multiplicative relations among the θ_j and the Toda differential on θ₂ lead to differentials on all higher θ_j.



To summarize:

 The existence of an element of order *p* in S_{p-1} leads to the detection map of (5),

• The multiplicative relations among the $\hat{\theta}_j$ and the Toda differential on $\hat{\theta}_2$ lead to differentials on all higher $\hat{\theta}_j$. They are nontrivial by the detection data above.

Art-Kervaire invariant problem
Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel
The main point of this talk
Introduction
Defining the problem
The role of the Morava stabilizer group
Difficulties at $p = 3$
What might happen
Entering Fantasyland
Two spectral sequences
Norming up to C ₉
A possible Gap Theorem

The 3-primar

Why does this approach fail for p < 5?





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Why does this approach fail for p < 5?

• For p = 2, the target of the Toda "differential",

$$d_3(\widehat{\theta}_2) = \alpha_1 \widehat{\theta}_1^2 = \mathbf{0},$$





Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Why does this approach fail for p < 5?

• For p = 2, the target of the Toda "differential",

$$d_3(\widehat{\theta}_2) = \alpha_1 \widehat{\theta}_1^2 = 0$$

so this method does not show that any $\hat{\theta}_j$ fails to be a permanent cycle.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem

The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Why does this approach fail for p < 5?

• For *p* = 2, the target of the Toda "differential",

$$d_3(\widehat{\theta}_2) = \alpha_1 \widehat{\theta}_1^2 = \mathbf{0}$$

so this method does not show that any $\hat{\theta}_j$ fails to be a permanent cycle.

The group Ext^{2,2p^j(p−1)}_{BP*(BP)} is known to have [(j − 1)/2] other generators besides θ_j.





Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Why does this approach fail for p < 5?

• For *p* = 2, the target of the Toda "differential",

$$d_3(\widehat{\theta}_2) = \alpha_1 \widehat{\theta}_1^2 = 0$$

so this method does not show that any $\hat{\theta}_j$ fails to be a permanent cycle.

• The group $\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)}^{2,2p^j(p-1)}$ is known to have [(j-1)/2] other generators besides $\widehat{\theta}_j$. For p = 3 these other generators, such as β_7 in the bidegree of $\widehat{\theta}_3$, can have nontrivial images under the detection map.



The 3-primary

The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Why does this approach fail for p < 5?

• For p = 2, the target of the Toda "differential",

$$d_3(\widehat{\theta}_2) = \alpha_1 \widehat{\theta}_1^2 = 0$$

so this method does not show that any $\hat{\theta}_j$ fails to be a permanent cycle.

• The group $\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)}^{2,2p'(p-1)}$ is known to have [(j-1)/2] other generators besides $\widehat{\theta}_j$. For p = 3 these other generators, such as β_7 in the bidegree of $\widehat{\theta}_3$, can have nontrivial images under the detection map. This has to do with the fact that they are v_2 -periodic and hence v_{p-1} -periodic.



Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Why does this approach fail for p < 5?

• For p = 2, the target of the Toda "differential",

$$d_3(\widehat{\theta}_2) = \alpha_1 \widehat{\theta}_1^2 = 0$$

so this method does not show that any $\hat{\theta}_j$ fails to be a permanent cycle.

• The group $\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)}^{2,2p^j(p-1)}$ is known to have [(j-1)/2] other generators besides $\widehat{\theta}_j$. For p = 3 these other generators, such as β_7 in the bidegree of $\widehat{\theta}_3$, can have nontrivial images under the detection map. This has to do with the fact that they are v_2 -periodic and hence v_{p-1} -periodic. It turns out that $\widehat{\theta}_3 \pm \beta_7$ and hence θ_3 are permanent cycles even though θ_2 is not.





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Why does this approach fail for p < 5?

• For p = 2, the target of the Toda "differential",

$$d_3(\widehat{\theta}_2) = \alpha_1 \widehat{\theta}_1^2 = 0$$

so this method does not show that any $\widehat{\theta}_j$ fails to be a permanent cycle.

• The group $\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)}^{2,2p^j(p-1)}$ is known to have [(j-1)/2] other generators besides $\widehat{\theta}_j$. For p = 3 these other generators, such as β_7 in the bidegree of $\widehat{\theta}_3$, can have nontrivial images under the detection map. This has to do with the fact that they are v_2 -periodic and hence v_{p-1} -periodic. It turns out that $\widehat{\theta}_3 \pm \beta_7$ and hence θ_3 are permanent cycles even though θ_2 is not. The argument above establishes the nonexistence of $\widehat{\theta}_j$ for j > 1, but not that of θ_j .



Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

In order to describe the way out of these difficulties we need to say more about finite subgroups of G_n .

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

In order to describe the way out of these difficulties we need to say more about finite subgroups of \mathbf{G}_n . It is by definition an extension of the Morava stabilizer group \mathbf{S}_n by $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{F}_{p^n} : \mathbf{F}_p)$.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

In order to describe the way out of these difficulties we need to say more about finite subgroups of \mathbf{G}_n . It is by definition an extension of the Morava stabilizer group \mathbf{S}_n by $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{F}_{p^n} : \mathbf{F}_p)$. The Galois group (which is cyclic of order *n*) is there for technical reasons but plays no role on our calculations.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

In order to describe the way out of these difficulties we need to say more about finite subgroups of \mathbf{G}_n . It is by definition an extension of the Morava stabilizer group \mathbf{S}_n by $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{F}_{p^n} : \mathbf{F}_p)$. The Galois group (which is cyclic of order *n*) is there for technical reasons but plays no role on our calculations. \mathbf{S}_n is the group of units in the maximal order of a certain division algebra over the *p*-adic numbers \mathbf{Q}_p .

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava

stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

In order to describe the way out of these difficulties we need to say more about finite subgroups of \mathbf{G}_n . It is by definition an extension of the Morava stabilizer group \mathbf{S}_n by $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{F}_{p^n} : \mathbf{F}_p)$. The Galois group (which is cyclic of order *n*) is there for technical reasons but plays no role on our calculations. \mathbf{S}_n is the group of units in the maximal order of a certain division algebra over the *p*-adic numbers \mathbf{Q}_p . Its finite subgroups have been classified by Hewett.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

In order to describe the way out of these difficulties we need to say more about finite subgroups of \mathbf{G}_n . It is by definition an extension of the Morava stabilizer group \mathbf{S}_n by $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{F}_{p^n} : \mathbf{F}_p)$. The Galois group (which is cyclic of order *n*) is there for technical reasons but plays no role on our calculations. \mathbf{S}_n is the group of units in the maximal order of a certain division algebra over the *p*-adic numbers \mathbf{Q}_p . Its finite subgroups have been classified by Hewett.

S_{*n*} has an element of order *p* iff p - 1 divides *n*, a condition that is trivial when p = 2.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

In order to describe the way out of these difficulties we need to say more about finite subgroups of \mathbf{G}_n . It is by definition an extension of the Morava stabilizer group \mathbf{S}_n by $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{F}_{p^n} : \mathbf{F}_p)$. The Galois group (which is cyclic of order *n*) is there for technical reasons but plays no role on our calculations. \mathbf{S}_n is the group of units in the maximal order of a certain division algebra over the *p*-adic numbers \mathbf{Q}_p . Its finite subgroups have been classified by Hewett.

S_{*n*} has an element of order *p* iff p - 1 divides *n*, a condition that is trivial when p = 2. More generally **S**_{*n*} has an element of order p^{k+1} iff $p^k(p-1)$ divides *n*.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

In order to describe the way out of these difficulties we need to say more about finite subgroups of \mathbf{G}_n . It is by definition an extension of the Morava stabilizer group \mathbf{S}_n by $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{F}_{p^n} : \mathbf{F}_p)$. The Galois group (which is cyclic of order *n*) is there for technical reasons but plays no role on our calculations. \mathbf{S}_n is the group of units in the maximal order of a certain division algebra over the *p*-adic numbers \mathbf{Q}_p . Its finite subgroups have been classified by Hewett.

S_{*n*} has an element of order *p* iff p - 1 divides *n*, a condition that is trivial when p = 2. More generally **S**_{*n*} has an element of order p^{k+1} iff $p^k(p-1)$ divides *n*. For such *n* we could replace the detection map (5) by

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; \pi_*E_n) \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; ?),$$

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

In order to describe the way out of these difficulties we need to say more about finite subgroups of \mathbf{G}_n . It is by definition an extension of the Morava stabilizer group \mathbf{S}_n by $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{F}_{p^n} : \mathbf{F}_p)$. The Galois group (which is cyclic of order *n*) is there for technical reasons but plays no role on our calculations. \mathbf{S}_n is the group of units in the maximal order of a certain division algebra over the *p*-adic numbers \mathbf{Q}_p . Its finite subgroups have been classified by Hewett.

S_{*n*} has an element of order *p* iff p - 1 divides *n*, a condition that is trivial when p = 2. More generally **S**_{*n*} has an element of order p^{k+1} iff $p^k(p-1)$ divides *n*. For such *n* we could replace the detection map (5) by

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; \pi_*E_n) \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; ?),$$

for some coefficient ring in the target.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

In order to describe the way out of these difficulties we need to say more about finite subgroups of \mathbf{G}_n . It is by definition an extension of the Morava stabilizer group \mathbf{S}_n by $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{F}_{p^n} : \mathbf{F}_p)$. The Galois group (which is cyclic of order *n*) is there for technical reasons but plays no role on our calculations. \mathbf{S}_n is the group of units in the maximal order of a certain division algebra over the *p*-adic numbers \mathbf{Q}_p . Its finite subgroups have been classified by Hewett.

S_{*n*} has an element of order *p* iff p - 1 divides *n*, a condition that is trivial when p = 2. More generally **S**_{*n*} has an element of order p^{k+1} iff $p^k(p-1)$ divides *n*. For such *n* we could replace the detection map (5) by

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; \pi_*E_n) \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; ?),$$

for some coefficient ring in the target. The naive choice of $\mathbf{F}_{p^n}[u, u^{-1}]$ for this ring turns out not to detect $\hat{\theta}_j$ for n > p - 1.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Again, for *n* divisible by $p^{k}(p-1)$ we have a detection map

 $\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; \pi_*E_n) \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; ?).$

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill



Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Again, for *n* divisible by $p^k(p-1)$ we have a detection map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; \pi_*E_n) \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; ?).$$

Experience has shown two things:

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem



The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

Two spectral sequences Norming up to C₉ A possible Gap Theorem
Again, for *n* divisible by $p^{k}(p-1)$ we have a detection map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; \pi_*E_n) \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; ?).$$

Experience has shown two things:

(i) In order to flush out the spurious elements (which are v₂-periodic) having the same bidegree as θ_j, we need to have n > 2.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Again, for *n* divisible by $p^{k}(p-1)$ we have a detection map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; \pi_*E_n) \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; ?).$$

Experience has shown two things:

- (i) In order to flush out the spurious elements (which are v₂-periodic) having the same bidegree as θ_j, we need to have n > 2.
- (ii) In order to detect the $\hat{\theta}_j$ itself, we need to have *n* be equal to $p^k(p-1)$ for some $k \ge 0$, not just be divisible by it.

Art-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Holl Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel

The 3-primary

The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Again, for *n* divisible by $p^{k}(p-1)$ we have a detection map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; \pi_*E_n) \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; ?).$$

Experience has shown two things:

- (i) In order to flush out the spurious elements (which are v₂-periodic) having the same bidegree as θ_j, we need to have n > 2.
- (ii) In order to detect the $\hat{\theta}_j$ itself, we need to have *n* be equal to $p^k(p-1)$ for some $k \ge 0$, not just be divisible by it. Then $\hat{\theta}_j$ will map to an element of order *p* in a cohomology group isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}/p^{k+1} .



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Again, for *n* divisible by $p^{k}(p-1)$ we have a detection map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; \pi_*E_n) \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; ?).$$

Experience has shown two things:

- (i) In order to flush out the spurious elements (which are v₂-periodic) having the same bidegree as θ_j, we need to have n > 2.
- (ii) In order to detect the $\hat{\theta}_j$ itself, we need to have *n* be equal to $p^k(p-1)$ for some $k \ge 0$, not just be divisible by it. Then $\hat{\theta}_j$ will map to an element of order *p* in a cohomology group isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}/p^{k+1} . We cannot detect higher products of these elements for k > 0.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill

Mike Hopkins



Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Again, for *n* divisible by $p^{k}(p-1)$ we have a detection map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; \pi_*E_n) \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; ?).$$

Experience has shown two things:

- (i) In order to flush out the spurious elements (which are v₂-periodic) having the same bidegree as θ_j, we need to have n > 2.
- (ii) In order to detect the $\hat{\theta}_j$ itself, we need to have *n* be equal to $p^k(p-1)$ for some $k \ge 0$, not just be divisible by it. Then $\hat{\theta}_j$ will map to an element of order *p* in a cohomology group isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}/p^{k+1} . We cannot detect higher products of these elements for k > 0.

For p = 2 these considerations suggest using the group C_8 and n = 4, which is the approach used in [HHR].

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill Mike Hookins

Doug Ravenel



Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Again, for *n* divisible by $p^{k}(p-1)$ we have a detection map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)} \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; \pi_*E_n) \longrightarrow H^*(C_{p^{k+1}}; ?).$$

Experience has shown two things:

- (i) In order to flush out the spurious elements (which are v₂-periodic) having the same bidegree as θ_j, we need to have n > 2.
- (ii) In order to detect the $\hat{\theta}_j$ itself, we need to have *n* be equal to $p^k(p-1)$ for some $k \ge 0$, not just be divisible by it. Then $\hat{\theta}_j$ will map to an element of order *p* in a cohomology group isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}/p^{k+1} . We cannot detect higher products of these elements for k > 0.

For p = 2 these considerations suggest using the group C_8 and n = 4, which is the approach used in [HHR].

For p = 3 we need to use the group C_9 with n = 6.



The 3-primary

Arf-Kervaire invariant

Difficulties at p = 3

For the prime 2, our strategy in [HHR] was to construct a ring spectrum Ω with a unit map $S^0 \rightarrow \Omega$ satisfying three properties:

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

For the prime 2, our strategy in [HHR] was to construct a ring spectrum Ω with a unit map $S^0 \rightarrow \Omega$ satisfying three properties:

(i) DETECTION THEOREM. If θ_j exists, its image in $\pi_*\Omega$ is nontrivial.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

For the prime 2, our strategy in [HHR] was to construct a ring spectrum Ω with a unit map $S^0 \rightarrow \Omega$ satisfying three properties:

- (i) DETECTION THEOREM. If θ_j exists, its image in $\pi_*\Omega$ is nontrivial.
- (ii) PERIODICITY THEOREM. $\pi_k \Omega$ depends only on the congruence class of *k* modulo 256.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

For the prime 2, our strategy in [HHR] was to construct a ring spectrum Ω with a unit map $S^0 \rightarrow \Omega$ satisfying three properties:

- (i) DETECTION THEOREM. If θ_j exists, its image in $\pi_*\Omega$ is nontrivial.
- (ii) PERIODICITY THEOREM. $\pi_k \Omega$ depends only on the congruence class of *k* modulo 256.
- (iii) GAP THEOREM. $\pi_{-2}\Omega = 0$.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava

stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

For the prime 2, our strategy in [HHR] was to construct a ring spectrum Ω with a unit map $S^0 \rightarrow \Omega$ satisfying three properties:

- (i) DETECTION THEOREM. If θ_j exists, its image in $\pi_*\Omega$ is nontrivial.
- (ii) PERIODICITY THEOREM. $\pi_k \Omega$ depends only on the congruence class of *k* modulo 256.
- (iii) Gap Theorem. $\pi_{-2}\Omega = 0$.

The nonexistence of θ_j for $j \ge 7$ follows from the fact that its dimension is congruent to -2 modulo 256.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava

stabilizer group

What might happen

For the prime 2, our strategy in [HHR] was to construct a ring spectrum Ω with a unit map $S^0 \rightarrow \Omega$ satisfying three properties:

- (i) DETECTION THEOREM. If θ_j exists, its image in $\pi_*\Omega$ is nontrivial.
- (ii) PERIODICITY THEOREM. $\pi_k \Omega$ depends only on the congruence class of *k* modulo 256.
- (iii) Gap Theorem. $\pi_{-2}\Omega = 0$.

The nonexistence of θ_j for $j \ge 7$ follows from the fact that its dimension is congruent to -2 modulo 256.

Ever since the discovery of the Hopkins-Miller theorem, it has been possible to prove that $E_4^{hC_6}$ satisfies the first two of these properties

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

For the prime 2, our strategy in [HHR] was to construct a ring spectrum Ω with a unit map $S^0 \rightarrow \Omega$ satisfying three properties:

- (i) DETECTION THEOREM. If θ_j exists, its image in $\pi_*\Omega$ is nontrivial.
- (ii) PERIODICITY THEOREM. $\pi_k \Omega$ depends only on the congruence class of *k* modulo 256.
- (iii) Gap Theorem. $\pi_{-2}\Omega = 0$.

The nonexistence of θ_j for $j \ge 7$ follows from the fact that its dimension is congruent to -2 modulo 256.

Ever since the discovery of the Hopkins-Miller theorem, it has been possible to prove that $E_4^{hC_8}$ satisfies the first two of these properties without the use of equivariant stable homotopy theory.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

For p = 3, the same goes for $E_6^{hC_9}$ with the periodicity dimension being 972 (2 more than the dimension of θ_5) instead of 256.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

For p = 3, the same goes for $E_6^{hC_9}$ with the periodicity dimension being 972 (2 more than the dimension of θ_5) instead of 256. If all goes well, we would get a theorem saying θ_j does not exist for $j \ge 5$, leaving the status of θ_4 (in the 322-stem) open.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

For p = 3, the same goes for $E_6^{hC_9}$ with the periodicity dimension being 972 (2 more than the dimension of θ_5) instead of 256. If all goes well, we would get a theorem saying θ_j does not exist for $j \ge 5$, leaving the status of θ_4 (in the 322-stem) open. We already know that θ_1 (in the 10-stem) and θ_3 (in the 106-stem) exist while θ_2 (in the 34-stem) does not.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

For p = 3, the same goes for $E_6^{hC_9}$ with the periodicity dimension being 972 (2 more than the dimension of θ_5) instead of 256. If all goes well, we would get a theorem saying θ_j does not exist for $j \ge 5$, leaving the status of θ_4 (in the 322-stem) open. We already know that θ_1 (in the 10-stem) and θ_3 (in the 106-stem) exist while θ_2 (in the 34-stem) does not.

For $p \ge 5$, the same holds for $E_{p-1}^{hC_p}$ with periodicity $2p^2(p-1)$, which is 2 more than the dimension of θ_2 .

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

For p = 3, the same goes for $E_6^{hC_9}$ with the periodicity dimension being 972 (2 more than the dimension of θ_5) instead of 256. If all goes well, we would get a theorem saying θ_j does not exist for $j \ge 5$, leaving the status of θ_4 (in the 322-stem) open. We already know that θ_1 (in the 10-stem) and θ_3 (in the 106-stem) exist while θ_2 (in the 34-stem) does not.

For $p \ge 5$, the same holds for $E_{p-1}^{hC_p}$ with periodicity $2p^2(p-1)$, which is 2 more than the dimension of θ_2 . In this case the spectrum also detects the product of α_1 with any monomial in the θ_i s.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

For p = 3, the same goes for $E_6^{hC_9}$ with the periodicity dimension being 972 (2 more than the dimension of θ_5) instead of 256. If all goes well, we would get a theorem saying θ_j does not exist for $j \ge 5$, leaving the status of θ_4 (in the 322-stem) open. We already know that θ_1 (in the 10-stem) and θ_3 (in the 106-stem) exist while θ_2 (in the 34-stem) does not.

For $p \ge 5$, the same holds for $E_{p-1}^{hC_p}$ with periodicity $2p^2(p-1)$, which is 2 more than the dimension of θ_2 . In this case the spectrum also detects the product of α_1 with any monomial in the θ_j s. As explained above, this enables us to use Toda's differential to show that none of the θ_j for j > 1 exists.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

For p = 3, the same goes for $E_6^{hC_9}$ with the periodicity dimension being 972 (2 more than the dimension of θ_5) instead of 256. If all goes well, we would get a theorem saying θ_j does not exist for $j \ge 5$, leaving the status of θ_4 (in the 322-stem) open. We already know that θ_1 (in the 10-stem) and θ_3 (in the 106-stem) exist while θ_2 (in the 34-stem) does not.

For $p \ge 5$, the same holds for $E_{p-1}^{hC_p}$ with periodicity $2p^2(p-1)$, which is 2 more than the dimension of θ_2 . In this case the spectrum also detects the product of α_1 with any monomial in the θ_j s. As explained above, this enables us to use Toda's differential to show that none of the θ_j for j > 1 exists.

We cannot use Toda's differential for p < 5 because

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

For p = 3, the same goes for $E_6^{hC_9}$ with the periodicity dimension being 972 (2 more than the dimension of θ_5) instead of 256. If all goes well, we would get a theorem saying θ_j does not exist for $j \ge 5$, leaving the status of θ_4 (in the 322-stem) open. We already know that θ_1 (in the 10-stem) and θ_3 (in the 106-stem) exist while θ_2 (in the 34-stem) does not.

For $p \ge 5$, the same holds for $E_{p-1}^{hC_p}$ with periodicity $2p^2(p-1)$, which is 2 more than the dimension of θ_2 . In this case the spectrum also detects the product of α_1 with any monomial in the θ_j s. As explained above, this enables us to use Toda's differential to show that none of the θ_j for j > 1 exists.

We cannot use Toda's differential for p < 5 because (a) for p = 2 its target is trivial, and

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

For p = 3, the same goes for $E_6^{hC_9}$ with the periodicity dimension being 972 (2 more than the dimension of θ_5) instead of 256. If all goes well, we would get a theorem saying θ_j does not exist for $j \ge 5$, leaving the status of θ_4 (in the 322-stem) open. We already know that θ_1 (in the 10-stem) and θ_3 (in the 106-stem) exist while θ_2 (in the 34-stem) does not.

For $p \ge 5$, the same holds for $E_{p-1}^{hC_p}$ with periodicity $2p^2(p-1)$, which is 2 more than the dimension of θ_2 . In this case the spectrum also detects the product of α_1 with any monomial in the θ_j s. As explained above, this enables us to use Toda's differential to show that none of the θ_j for j > 1 exists.

We cannot use Toda's differential for p < 5 because

- (a) for p = 2 its target is trivial, and
- (b) since we cannot detect products of the θ_j s, we cannot make an inductive argument.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

The proof of the Gap Theorem requires the use of equivariant stable homotopy theory and the slice filtration.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

The proof of the Gap Theorem requires the use of equivariant stable homotopy theory and the slice filtration. The slice filtration is an equivariant analogue of the classical Postnikov filtration.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

The proof of the Gap Theorem requires the use of equivariant stable homotopy theory and the slice filtration. The slice filtration is an equivariant analogue of the classical Postnikov filtration. Analyzing it for a general equivariant spectrum is difficult.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

The proof of the Gap Theorem requires the use of equivariant stable homotopy theory and the slice filtration. The slice filtration is an equivariant analogue of the classical Postnikov filtration. Analyzing it for a general equivariant spectrum is difficult. We do not know how to do it directly for the case of interest, the Morava E_6 at p = 3 as a C_9 -spectrum,

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

The proof of the Gap Theorem requires the use of equivariant stable homotopy theory and the slice filtration. The slice filtration is an equivariant analogue of the classical Postnikov filtration. Analyzing it for a general equivariant spectrum is difficult. We do not know how to do it directly for the case of interest, the Morava E_6 at p = 3 as a C_9 -spectrum, or for E_4 at p = 2 for the group C_8 .

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

The proof of the Gap Theorem requires the use of equivariant stable homotopy theory and the slice filtration. The slice filtration is an equivariant analogue of the classical Postnikov filtration. Analyzing it for a general equivariant spectrum is difficult. We do not know how to do it directly for the case of interest, the Morava E_6 at p = 3 as a C_9 -spectrum, or for E_4 at p = 2 for the group C_8 .

We do know how to do it for $MU_{\rm R}$, which is MU as a C_2 -spectrum via complex conjugation,

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

The proof of the Gap Theorem requires the use of equivariant stable homotopy theory and the slice filtration. The slice filtration is an equivariant analogue of the classical Postnikov filtration. Analyzing it for a general equivariant spectrum is difficult. We do not know how to do it directly for the case of interest, the Morava E_6 at p = 3 as a C_9 -spectrum, or for E_4 at p = 2 for the group C_8 .

We do know how to do it for $MU_{\mathbf{R}}$, which is MU as a C_2 -spectrum via complex conjugation, and for $N_2^{2^{n+1}}MU_{\mathbf{R}}$, which is underlain by $MU^{(2^n)}$ with a $C_{2^{n+1}}$ -action.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

The proof of the Gap Theorem requires the use of equivariant stable homotopy theory and the slice filtration. The slice filtration is an equivariant analogue of the classical Postnikov filtration. Analyzing it for a general equivariant spectrum is difficult. We do not know how to do it directly for the case of interest, the Morava E_6 at p = 3 as a C_9 -spectrum, or for E_4 at p = 2 for the group C_8 .

We do know how to do it for $MU_{\rm R}$, which is MU as a C_2 -spectrum via complex conjugation, and for $N_2^{2^{n+1}}MU_{\rm R}$, which is underlain by $MU^{(2^n)}$ with a $C_{2^{n+1}}$ -action. A crucial step here is the Reduction Theorem, which says roughly that if we kill all of the underlying homotopy groups in positive dimensions in a certain equivariant way, we get the equivariant Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum $H\underline{Z}$.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

In order to do a similar thing at an p = 3 we need an analog MU_{Ξ} of the C_2 -spectrum MU_{R} .

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill

Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel

The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

In order to do a similar thing at an p = 3 we need an analog MU_{Ξ} of the C_2 -spectrum MU_{R} . It should be a C_3 -spectrum underlain by $MU^{(2)}$ with two properties:

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill

Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel

The main point of this

Introduction

talk

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

In order to do a similar thing at an p = 3 we need an analog MU_{Ξ} of the C_2 -spectrum MU_{R} . It should be a C_3 -spectrum underlain by $MU^{(2)}$ with two properties:

(i) It has a tractable slice filtration with a certain description.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

In order to do a similar thing at an p = 3 we need an analog MU_{Ξ} of the C_2 -spectrum MU_{R} . It should be a C_3 -spectrum underlain by $MU^{(2)}$ with two properties:

- (i) It has a tractable slice filtration with a certain description.
- (ii) Its geometric fixed point spectrum MU^{gC₃} is a wedge of suspensions of *H*/3, the mod 3 Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

In order to do a similar thing at an p = 3 we need an analog MU_{Ξ} of the C_2 -spectrum MU_{R} . It should be a C_3 -spectrum underlain by $MU^{(2)}$ with two properties:

- (i) It has a tractable slice filtration with a certain description.
- (ii) Its geometric fixed point spectrum $MU_{\Xi}^{gC_3}$ is a wedge of suspensions of H/3, the mod 3 Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum. For p = 2 we have $MU_{R}^{gC_2} = MO$, the unoriented cobordism spectrum, which fits this description.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

In order to do a similar thing at an p = 3 we need an analog MU_{Ξ} of the C_2 -spectrum MU_{R} . It should be a C_3 -spectrum underlain by $MU^{(2)}$ with two properties:

- (i) It has a tractable slice filtration with a certain description.
- (ii) Its geometric fixed point spectrum $MU_{\Xi}^{gC_3}$ is a wedge of suspensions of H/3, the mod 3 Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum. For p = 2 we have $MU_{R}^{gC_2} = MO$, the unoriented cobordism spectrum, which fits this description. This identification is a pivotal step in determining differentials in the slice spectral sequence needed to prove the Periodicity Theorem.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen
Difficulties at p = 3 (continued)

In order to do a similar thing at an p = 3 we need an analog MU_{Ξ} of the C_2 -spectrum MU_{R} . It should be a C_3 -spectrum underlain by $MU^{(2)}$ with two properties:

- (i) It has a tractable slice filtration with a certain description.
- (ii) Its geometric fixed point spectrum $MU_{\Xi}^{gC_3}$ is a wedge of suspensions of H/3, the mod 3 Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum. For p = 2 we have $MU_{R}^{gC_2} = MO$, the unoriented cobordism spectrum, which fits this description. This identification is a pivotal step in determining differentials in the slice spectral sequence needed to prove the Periodicity Theorem.

We do not know how to construct this spectrum!



The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Difficulties at p = 3 (continued)

In order to do a similar thing at an p = 3 we need an analog MU_{Ξ} of the C_2 -spectrum MU_{R} . It should be a C_3 -spectrum underlain by $MU^{(2)}$ with two properties:

- (i) It has a tractable slice filtration with a certain description.
- (ii) Its geometric fixed point spectrum $MU_{\Xi}^{gC_3}$ is a wedge of suspensions of H/3, the mod 3 Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum. For p = 2 we have $MU_{R}^{gC_2} = MO$, the unoriented cobordism spectrum, which fits this description. This identification is a pivotal step in determining differentials in the slice spectral sequence needed to prove the Periodicity Theorem.

We do not know how to construct this spectrum!

It is our missing piece.



The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill

Mike Hopkins



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Difficulties at p = 3 (continued)

In order to do a similar thing at an p = 3 we need an analog MU_{Ξ} of the C_2 -spectrum MU_{R} . It should be a C_3 -spectrum underlain by $MU^{(2)}$ with two properties:

- (i) It has a tractable slice filtration with a certain description.
- (ii) Its geometric fixed point spectrum $MU_{\Xi}^{gC_3}$ is a wedge of suspensions of H/3, the mod 3 Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum. For p = 2 we have $MU_{R}^{gC_2} = MO$, the unoriented cobordism spectrum, which fits this description. This identification is a pivotal step in determining differentials in the slice spectral sequence needed to prove the Periodicity Theorem.

We do not know how to construct this spectrum!

It is our missing piece. Maybe you can find it.





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen



The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

Two spectral sequences Norming up to C_9 A possible Gap Theorem

Let's suppose the hypothetical MU_{Ξ} exists as described above.



The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

Two spectral sequences Norming up to C_9 A possible Gap Theorem

Let's suppose the hypothetical MU_{Ξ} exists as described above. For convenience we will work with its *BP* analog, BP_{Ξ} .



The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

> Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

Two spectral sequences Norming up to C_9 A possible Gap Theorem

Let's suppose the hypothetical MU_{Ξ} exists as described above. For convenience we will work with its *BP* analog, BP_{Ξ} .

A useful technical notion.



The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

> Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

Two spectral sequences Norming up to C_9 A possible Gap Theorem

Let's suppose the hypothetical MU_{Ξ} exists as described above. For convenience we will work with its *BP* analog, BP_{Ξ} .

A useful technical notion. Let *E* be a connective equivariant spectrum with $\pi^u_* E$ (its underlying homotopy groups) free abelian.



Let's suppose the hypothetical MU_{\pm} exists as described above. For convenience we will work with its *BP* analog, BP_{\pm} .

A useful technical notion. Let *E* be a connective equivariant spectrum with π^u_*E (its underlying homotopy groups) free abelian. A refinement of this group is an equivariant map $W \rightarrow E$ where *W* is underlain by a wedge of spheres mapping to the generators of π^u_*E .

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland



Let's suppose the hypothetical MU_{Ξ} exists as described above. For convenience we will work with its *BP* analog, BP_{Ξ} .

A useful technical notion. Let *E* be a connective equivariant spectrum with π^u_*E (its underlying homotopy groups) free abelian. A refinement of this group is an equivariant map $W \rightarrow E$ where *W* is underlain by a wedge of spheres mapping to the generators of π^u_*E . The reduction theorem for *E* is the statement that the map

$$E \underset{W}{\wedge} S^0 \to H\mathbf{Z}$$

is an equivariant equivalence.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

If all goes according to plan, $\pi^u_* BP_{\Xi}$ is refined by a map from

$$W = \bigwedge_{n\geq 1} W_n$$

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

> Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

If all goes according to plan, $\pi^u_* BP_{\Xi}$ is refined by a map from

$$W = \bigwedge_{n\geq 1} W_n$$

with

$$W_n = S^0 \left[\overline{S}^{2 \cdot 3^{n-1} \rho - 1}
ight]$$

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

> Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

If all goes according to plan, $\pi^u_* BP_{\Xi}$ is refined by a map from

$$W = \bigwedge_{n\geq 1} W_n$$

with

$$W_n = S^0 \left[\overline{S}^{2 \cdot 3^{n-1} \rho - 1}
ight]$$

where

• ρ denotes the regular representation of C_3 and

The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

If all goes according to plan, $\pi^u_* BP_{\Xi}$ is refined by a map from

$$W=\bigwedge_{n\geq 1}W_n$$

with

$$W_n = S^0 \left[\overline{S}^{2 \cdot 3^{n-1}\rho - 1}
ight]$$

where

- ρ denotes the regular representation of C_3 and
- \overline{S}^{V} denotes the codimension one skeleton of S^{V} .

For n = 1 we have

$$W_1 = S^0 \left[\overline{S}^{2
ho-1}
ight]$$



Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

For n = 1 we have

$$W_1 = S^0 \left[\overline{S}^{2\rho-1}\right]$$

Here
$$\overline{S}^{2\rho-1}$$
 is underlain by $S^4 \vee S^4$,

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

> Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

For n = 1 we have

$$W_1 = S^0 \left[\overline{S}^{2\rho-1}\right]$$

Here $\overline{S}^{2\rho-1}$ is underlain by $S^4 \vee S^4$, and W_1 is underlain by a wedge of spheres with k + 1 summands in dimension 4k for each $k \ge 0$.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

For n = 1 we have

$$W_1 = S^0 \left[\overline{S}^{2\rho-1}\right]$$

Here $\overline{S}^{2\rho-1}$ is underlain by $S^4 \vee S^4$, and W_1 is underlain by a wedge of spheres with k + 1 summands in dimension 4k for each $k \ge 0$. There is a C_3 -action on the space $X = S^5 \times S^5$ such that $W_1 = \Sigma^{\infty} \Omega X$.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

For n = 1 we have

$$W_1 = S^0 \left[\overline{S}^{2\rho-1}\right]$$

Here $\overline{S}^{2\rho-1}$ is underlain by $S^4 \vee S^4$, and W_1 is underlain by a wedge of spheres with k + 1 summands in dimension 4k for each $k \ge 0$. There is a C_3 -action on the space $X = S^5 \times S^5$ such that $W_1 = \Sigma^{\infty} \Omega X$.

Equivariantly we have

$$W_1 = S^0 \left[S^{4
ho}
ight] \wedge \left(S^0 \vee \overline{S}^{2 \cdot
ho - 1} \vee C_{3+} \wedge \left(igvee_{i \geq 2} S^{4i}
ight)
ight)$$

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

For n = 1 we have

$$W_1 = S^0 \left[\overline{S}^{2\rho-1}\right]$$

Here $\overline{S}^{2\rho-1}$ is underlain by $S^4 \vee S^4$, and W_1 is underlain by a wedge of spheres with k + 1 summands in dimension 4k for each $k \ge 0$. There is a C_3 -action on the space $X = S^5 \times S^5$ such that $W_1 = \Sigma^{\infty} \Omega X$.

Equivariantly we have

$$W_1 = S^0 \left[S^{4
ho}
ight] \wedge \left(S^0 ee \overline{S}^{2 \cdot
ho - 1} ee C_{3+} \wedge \left(igvee igvee S^{4i}
ight)
ight).$$

Free summands here contribute torsion free summands to $\pi_* E^{C_3}$,

problem Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel

The 3-primary

Arf-Kervaire invariant



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

For n = 1 we have

$$W_1 = S^0 \left[\overline{S}^{2\rho-1}\right]$$

Here $\overline{S}^{2\rho-1}$ is underlain by $S^4 \vee S^4$, and W_1 is underlain by a wedge of spheres with k + 1 summands in dimension 4k for each $k \ge 0$. There is a C_3 -action on the space $X = S^5 \times S^5$ such that $W_1 = \Sigma^{\infty} \Omega X$.

Equivariantly we have

$$W_1 = S^0 \left[S^{4
ho}
ight] \wedge \left(S^0 ee \overline{S}^{2 \cdot
ho - 1} ee C_{3+} \wedge \left(igvee_{i \geq 2} S^{4i}
ight)
ight).$$

Free summands here contribute torsion free summands to $\pi_* E^{C_3}$, so they are irrelevant to the Kervaire invariant problem.

Doug Ravenel The main point of this tak Introduction Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

The 3-primary

Arf-Kervaire invariant problem Mike Hill Mike Hopkins

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

Hence will ignore the free summands in W_1 and replace it by

$$W_1' = S^0 \left[S^{4
ho}
ight] \wedge \left(S^0 \lor \overline{S}^{2
ho-1}
ight)$$

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

Hence will ignore the free summands in W_1 and replace it by

$$W_1' = S^0 \left[S^{4
ho}
ight] \wedge \left(S^0 \lor \overline{S}^{2
ho-1}
ight)$$

We have a map

$$W_1' = S^0 \left[S^{4\rho}
ight] \wedge \left(S^0 \vee \overline{S}^{2
ho - 1}
ight) \longrightarrow BP_{\Xi}$$

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

Hence will ignore the free summands in W_1 and replace it by

$$W_1' = S^0 \left[S^{4
ho}
ight] \wedge \left(S^0 \lor \overline{S}^{2
ho-1}
ight)$$

We have a map

$$W_1' = S^0 \left[S^{4\rho}
ight] \wedge \left(S^0 \vee \overline{S}^{2
ho - 1}
ight) \longrightarrow BP_{\Xi}$$

Thus there is an element $Nv_1 \in \pi_{4\rho}BP_{\Xi}$.





Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

Hence will ignore the free summands in W_1 and replace it by

$$W_1' = S^0 \left[S^{4
ho}
ight] \wedge \left(S^0 ee \overline{S}^{2
ho-1}
ight)$$

We have a map

$$W_1' = S^0 \left[S^{4\rho}
ight] \wedge \left(S^0 \vee \overline{S}^{2\rho-1}
ight) \longrightarrow BP_{\Xi}$$

Thus there is an element $Nv_1 \in \pi_{4\rho}BP_{\Xi}$. We can invert it and throw away the higher generators.





The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

Hence will ignore the free summands in W_1 and replace it by

$$W_1' = S^0 \left[S^{4
ho}
ight] \wedge \left(S^0 \lor \overline{S}^{2
ho-1}
ight)$$

We have a map

$$W_1' = S^0 \left[S^{4\rho}
ight] \wedge \left(S^0 \vee \overline{S}^{2\rho-1}
ight) \longrightarrow BP_{\Xi}$$

Thus there is an element $Nv_1 \in \pi_{4\rho}BP_{\Xi}$. We can invert it and throw away the higher generators. The resulting fixed point spectrum looks a lot like *tmf*, but with periodicity in dimension 36 instead of 72.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland

Two spectral sequences

Here is its slice spectral sequence.



The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland Two spectral sequences

Norming up to C₉ A possible Gap Theorem

Two spectral sequences (continued)

Here is its homotopy fixed point spectral sequence.



The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland Two spectral sequences

Norming up to C₉ A possible Gap Theorem

Now we need to norm up from C_3 to C_9 .

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland Two spectral sequences

Norming up to C_9

A possible Gap Theorem

Now we need to norm up from C_3 to C_9 . Recall that

$$W_1' = \left(S^0 \vee \overline{S}^{2 \cdot
ho - 1}
ight) \wedge S^0 \left[S^{4
ho}
ight]$$

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

> Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen Entering Fantasyland Two spectral sequences

Norming up to C₉ A possible Gap Theorem

1.25

Now we need to norm up from C_3 to C_9 . Recall that

$$W_1' = \left(S^0 \vee \overline{S}^{2 \cdot
ho - 1}
ight) \wedge S^0 \left[S^{4
ho}
ight]$$

The norm functor commutes with smash products.



Now we need to norm up from C_3 to C_9 . Recall that

$$W_1' = \left(S^0 \vee \overline{S}^{2 \cdot
ho - 1}
ight) \wedge S^0 \left[S^{4
ho}
ight]$$

The norm functor commutes with smash products. For the first factor we have

$$N_{3}^{9}\left(S^{0}\vee\overline{S}^{2\rho-1}\right) = S^{0}\vee\left(C_{9+}\wedge\left(\overline{S}^{2\rho-1}\vee S^{3\rho-1}\right)\right)$$
$$\vee\left(C_{9+}\wedge S^{8}\right)\vee\overline{S}^{\rho_{9}+2\lambda}$$

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

Now we need to norm up from C_3 to C_9 . Recall that

$$W_1' = \left(S^0 \vee \overline{S}^{2 \cdot
ho - 1}
ight) \wedge S^0 \left[S^{4
ho}
ight]$$

The norm functor commutes with smash products. For the first factor we have

$$N_{3}^{9}\left(S^{0}\vee\overline{S}^{2\rho-1}\right) = S^{0}\vee\left(C_{9+}\bigwedge_{C_{3}}\left(\overline{S}^{2\rho-1}\vee S^{3\rho-1}\right)\right)$$
$$\vee\left(C_{9+}\wedge S^{8}\right)\vee\overline{S}^{\rho_{9}+2\lambda}$$

where λ denotes the 2-dimensional representation of C_9 with a rotation of order 9.



Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

Now we need to norm up from C_3 to C_9 . Recall that

$$W_1' = \left(S^0 \vee \overline{S}^{2 \cdot
ho - 1}
ight) \wedge S^0 \left[S^{4
ho}
ight]$$

The norm functor commutes with smash products. For the first factor we have

$$N_{3}^{9}\left(S^{0}\vee\overline{S}^{2\rho-1}\right) = S^{0}\vee\left(C_{9+}\bigwedge_{C_{3}}\left(\overline{S}^{2\rho-1}\vee S^{3\rho-1}\right)\right)$$
$$\vee\left(C_{9+}\wedge S^{8}\right)\vee\overline{S}^{\rho_{9}+2\lambda}$$

where λ denotes the 2-dimensional representation of C_9 with a rotation of order 9.

For the second factor of W'_1 ,

$$N_3^9 S^0 \left[S^{4
ho}
ight] = S^0 \left[S^{4
ho_9}
ight] \wedge \left(S^0 \lor \left(C_{9+} \bigwedge_{C_3} \bigvee_{i,j \ge 0} S^{4(i+j+1)
ho}
ight)
ight)$$

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

A possible Gap Theorem

After inverting the right element in $\pi_{4\rho_9}$, we get a spectrum $\tilde{\Omega}$ whose fixed point set Ω is 972-periodic and detects the θ_j for $j \geq 5$.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

A possible Gap Theorem

After inverting the right element in $\pi_{4\rho_9}$, we get a spectrum $\tilde{\Omega}$ whose fixed point set Ω is 972-periodic and detects the θ_j for $j \geq 5$. The key question here is

Do we get a Gap Theorem stating that $\pi_{-2}\Omega$ is torsion free?

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

A possible Gap Theorem

After inverting the right element in $\pi_{4\rho_9}$, we get a spectrum $\hat{\Omega}$ whose fixed point set Ω is 972-periodic and detects the θ_j for $j \ge 5$. The key question here is

Do we get a Gap Theorem stating that $\pi_{-2}\Omega$ is torsion free?

To answer this we need to look at the equivariant homotopy groups of

$$X \wedge \Sigma^{4m\rho_9} H\mathbf{Z}$$
 and $X \wedge C_{9+} \bigwedge_{C_3} \Sigma^{4n\rho_3} H\mathbf{Z}$

for $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$, where X is one of the following:

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen
A possible Gap Theorem

After inverting the right element in $\pi_{4\rho_9}$, we get a spectrum $\hat{\Omega}$ whose fixed point set Ω is 972-periodic and detects the θ_j for $j \ge 5$. The key question here is

Do we get a Gap Theorem stating that $\pi_{-2}\Omega$ is torsion free?

To answer this we need to look at the equivariant homotopy groups of

$$X \wedge \Sigma^{4m\rho_9} H \mathbf{Z}$$
 and $X \wedge C_{9+} \bigwedge_{C_3} \Sigma^{4n\rho_3} H \mathbf{Z}$

for $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$, where X is one of the following:

$$S^0$$
, $C_{9+} \wedge \overline{S}^{2\rho-1}$, $C_{9+} \wedge C_{3} S^{3\rho-1}$ or $\overline{S}^{\rho_9+2\lambda}$.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Entering Fantasyland Two spectral sequences Norming up to C_9 A possible Gap Theorem

The following table indicates the dimensions in which

$$\underline{\pi}_{i}X \wedge \Sigma^{4m\rho_{9}}H\mathbf{Z}$$
 and $\underline{\pi}_{i}X \wedge C_{9+} \underset{C_{2}}{\wedge} \Sigma^{4n\rho_{3}}H\mathbf{Z}$

can be nontrivial for $m, n \ge 0$, with one caveat as indicated below.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

The following table indicates the dimensions in which

$$\underline{\pi}_{i}X \wedge \Sigma^{4m\rho_{9}}H\mathbf{Z}$$
 and $\underline{\pi}_{i}X \wedge C_{9+} \underset{C_{3}}{\wedge} \Sigma^{4n\rho_{3}}H\mathbf{Z}$

can be nontrivial for $m, n \ge 0$, with one caveat as indicated below.

X	$\underline{\pi}_i X \wedge \Sigma^{4m_{ ho_9}} H\mathbf{Z}$	$\underline{\pi}_{i}X \wedge C_{9+} \underset{C_{3}}{\wedge} \Sigma^{4n\rho_{3}}H\mathbf{Z}$
S ⁰	$4m \le i \le 36m$	4 <i>n</i> ≤ <i>i</i> ≤ 12 <i>n</i>
$C_{9+} \wedge \overline{S}^{2\rho-1}$	$12m+1 \le i \le 36m+4$	$4n+1 \le i \le 12n+4$
$C_{9+} \stackrel{\circ}{\underset{C_3}{\wedge}} S^{3 ho-1}$	$12m+2\leq i\leq 36m+8$	$4n+2 \le i \le 12n+8$
$\overline{S}^{ ho_9+2\lambda}$	$4m+1 \le i \le 36m+12$	$4n+3 \le i \le 12n+12$
		for $n \ge -1$



Norming up to C₉ A possible Gap Theorem

Here is a similar table for $m, n \leq -1$.



Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

Here is a similar table for $m, n \leq -1$.

X	$\underline{\pi}_{i}X\wedge\Sigma^{4m ho_{9}}H\mathbf{Z}$	$\underline{\pi}_{i}X \wedge C_{9+} \underset{C_{3}}{\wedge} \Sigma^{4n\rho_{3}}H\mathbf{Z}$	
S^0	$36m \le i \le 4m - 3$	$12n \le i \le 4n - 3$	Th ta
$C_{9+} \wedge \overline{S}^{2 ho-1}$	$36m+4 \le i \le 12m-2$	$12n+4 \le i \le 4n-2$	ln: D
$C_{9+} \bigwedge_{C_2} S^{3 ho-1}$	$36m+8 \le i \le 12m-1$	$12n+8 \le i \le 4n-1$	T S
$\overline{S}^{\rho_9+2\lambda}$	$36m+12 \le i \le 4m-2$	12 <i>n</i> + 12 ≤ <i>i</i> ≤ 4 <i>n</i>	w
		for $n \leq -2$	T

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this alk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

Here is a similar table for $m, n \leq -1$.

X	$\underline{\pi}_i X \wedge \Sigma^{4m_{ ho_9}} H\mathbf{Z}$	$\underline{\pi}_{i}X \wedge C_{9+} \underset{C_{3}}{\wedge} \Sigma^{4n ho_{3}}H\mathbf{Z}$
S^0	$36m \le i \le 4m - 3$	$12n \le i \le 4n - 3$
$C_{9+} \wedge \overline{S}^{2 ho-1}$	$36m+4 \le i \le 12m-2$	$12n+4 \le i \le 4n-2$
$C_{9+} \mathop{\wedge}\limits_{C_3} S^{3 ho-1}$	$36m+8\leq i\leq 12m-1$	$12n+8 \le i \le 4n-1$
$\overline{S}^{ ho_9+2\lambda}$	$36m+12 \le i \le 4m-2$	$12n+12 \le i \le 4n$
		for <i>n</i> ≤ −2

In each case the upper bound here is 3 less than the corresponding lower bound in the previous table.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this alk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

Here is a similar table for $m, n \leq -1$.

X	$\underline{\pi}_{i}X\wedge\Sigma^{4m ho_{9}}H\mathbf{Z}$	$\underline{\pi}_{i}X \wedge C_{9+} \underset{C_{3}}{\wedge} \Sigma^{4n ho_{3}}H\mathbf{Z}$
S^0	$36m \le i \le 4m - 3$	$12n \le i \le 4n - 3$
$C_{9+} \wedge \overline{S}^{2 ho-1}$	$36m+4 \le i \le 12m-2$	$12n+4 \le i \le 4n-2$
$C_{9+} \mathop{\wedge}\limits_{C_3} S^{3 ho-1}$	$36m+8 \le i \le 12m-1$	$12n+8 \le i \le 4n-1$
$\overline{S}^{\rho_9+2\lambda}$	$36m+12 \le i \le 4m-2$	$12n+12 \leq i \leq 4n$

In each case the upper bound here is 3 less than the corresponding lower bound in the previous table. The calculation behind this is the same for p = 3 as it was for p = 2.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

Here is a similar table for $m, n \leq -1$.

X	$\underline{\pi}_{i}X\wedge\Sigma^{4m ho_{9}}H\mathbf{Z}$	$\underline{\pi}_{i}X \wedge C_{9+} \underset{C_{3}}{\wedge} \Sigma^{4n ho_{3}}H\mathbf{Z}$
S ⁰	$36m \le i \le 4m - 3$	$12n \le i \le 4n - 3$
$C_{9+} \wedge \overline{S}^{2 ho-1}$	$36m+4 \le i \le 12m-2$	$12n+4 \le i \le 4n-2$
$C_{9+} \mathop{\wedge}\limits_{C_3} S^{3 ho-1}$	$36m+8 \le i \le 12m-1$	$12n+8 \le i \le 4n-1$
$\overline{S}^{\rho_9+2\lambda}$	$36m+12 \le i \le 4m-2$	$12n + 12 \le i \le 4n$
		for $n \le -2$

In each case the upper bound here is 3 less than the corresponding lower bound in the previous table. The calculation behind this is the same for p = 3 as it was for p = 2.

Since $m, n \leq -1$, our upper bound is always ≤ -4 , so we have the desired Gap Theorem.

Mike Hopkins

Doug Ravenel

The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

The C₉ slice spectral sequence

Here is a color coded illustration of these fixed point homotopy groups.

The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3

What might happen

The C₉ slice spectral sequence

Here is a color coded illustration of these fixed point homotopy groups.



The 3-primary Arf-Kervaire invariant problem

Mike Hill Mike Hopkins Doug Ravenel



The main point of this talk

Introduction

Defining the problem The role of the Morava stabilizer group

Difficulties at p = 3